

## Some Abstract Critical Point Theorems for Self-adjoint Operator Equations and Applications\*

Chungen LIU<sup>1</sup>      Qi WANG<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract** By using the index theory for linear bounded self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space related to a fixed self-adjoint operator  $A$  with compact resolvent, the authors discuss the existence and multiplicity of solutions for (nonlinear) operator equations, and give some applications to some boundary value problems of first order Hamiltonian systems and second order Hamiltonian systems.

**Keywords** Self-adjoint operator equations, Index theory, Relative Morse index, Dual variational method, Morse theory, Hamiltonian systems

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 34C25, 58E05, 58F05

### 1 Introduction and Main Results

Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $Y \subset X$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ , and the embedding  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  is compact. Let  $A : Y \rightarrow X$  be continuous, self-adjoint, i.e.,  $(Ax, y) = (x, Ay)$  for any  $x, y \in Y$  with the inner product of  $X$ ,  $\text{Im}(A)$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $\text{Im}(A) \oplus \ker(A) = X$ . In this paper, by an index theory of the following linear operator equation

$$Ax + Bx = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

we consider the existence and multiplicity of solutions of the following nonlinear operator equation:

$$Ax + \Phi'(x) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  (the set of bounded self-adjoint operator), and  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable.

In 1980, Amann and Zehnder [1] discussed equation (1.2) under the assumption that  $A : \text{dom}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is a unbounded self-adjoint operator. By the saddle point reduction methods, they obtained some existence results for nontrivial solutions. They also discussed semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, periodic solutions of semilinear wave equations, and periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems as special cases of the abstract equation. In 1981, Chang [3] extended their results by a simpler and unified approach. Especially, Chang obtained an existence result yielding three distinct solutions. Chang [4] also discussed equation (1.2) by assuming that  $A \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  has a finite Morse index and  $\Phi'$  is compact. This framework can

---

Manuscript received November 22, 2009. Revisé April 26, 2010. Published online December 28, 2010.

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. E-mail: liucg@nankai.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. E-mail: mathwq@henu.edu.cn

\*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11071127, 10621101, 10901118) and the 973 project of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2011CB808002).

be used to discuss elliptic partial differential equations. In 1990, Ekeland [8] discussed (1.2) by the dual variational methods and convex analysis theory. He assumed that  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  is closed and self-adjoint. As applications, he mainly focussed on second order and first order Hamiltonian systems satisfying various boundary conditions.

As far as authors know, an index theory for convex linear Hamiltonian systems was established first by Ekeland [9] in 1984. By the works of Conley, Zehnder and Long [5, 20, 21, 22], an index theory for symplectic paths was introduced. These index theories have important applications (see e.g., [7, 10, 11, 16, 23]). In [26, 24], Long and Zhu defined spectral flows for paths of linear operators and relative Morse index, and redefined Maslov index for symplectic paths. In the study of the  $L$ -solutions (the solutions starting and ending at the same Lagrangian subspace  $L$ ) of Hamiltonian systems, the first author of this paper introduced in [15] an index theory for symplectic paths using the algebraic methods and given some applications in [14, 15]. And this index was generalized by the authors of this paper and Lin in [17]. As in paper [6], we introduce the index  $(i_A(B), \nu_A(B))$  for equation (1.1). With this index, we receive the existence and multiplicity of solutions for (1.2). As applications, we consider the existence and multiplicity of solutions for first order Hamiltonian systems and second order Hamiltonian systems. First we give a brief introduction to the index theory, for some details, we refer to [6].

**Definition 1.1** (see [6, Definition 3.1.1]) *For any  $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ , we define*

$$\nu_A(B) = \dim \ker(A + B).$$

It was proved in [6] that the nullity  $\nu_A(B)$  is finite.

**Definition 1.2** (see [6, 14]) *For any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  with  $B_1 < B_2$ , we define*

$$I_A(B_1, B_2) = \sum_{\lambda \in [0, 1)} \nu_A((1 - \lambda)B_1 + \lambda B_2);$$

and for any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ , we define

$$I_A(B_1, B_2) = I_A(B_1, K \cdot \text{Id}) - I_A(B_2, K \cdot \text{Id}),$$

where  $\text{Id} : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity map and  $K \cdot \text{Id} > B_1, K \cdot \text{Id} > B_2$  for some real number  $K > 0$ .

Let  $0 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  be the zero operator. We give the following definition for related index.

**Definition 1.3** *For any  $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ , we define*

$$i_A(B) = I_A(0, B).$$

We call  $i_A(B)$  index of  $B$  related to  $A$ . If  $A = -J \frac{d}{dt}$ ,  $B = B(t)$  is a symmetric continuous matrix function and  $Y = W_L = \{z = (x, y)^T \in W^{1,2}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid z(0), z(1) \in L\}$ ,  $X = L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , in [14] it was proved that  $I_A(0, B)$  is the index  $i_L(B)$  up to a constant when considering the  $L$ -boundary value problems for some Lagrangian subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

By [6, Proposition 3.1.5], we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.1** *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *For any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ ,  $I_A(B_1, B_2)$  and  $i_A(B)$  are well-defined and finite;*
- (2) *For any  $B_1, B_2, B_3 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ ,  $I_A(B_1, B_2) + I_A(B_2, B_3) = I_A(B_1, B_3)$ ;*
- (3) *For any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ ,  $I_A(B_1, B_2) = i_A(B_2) - i_A(B_1)$ ;*
- (4) *For any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  with  $B_1 < B_2$ ,  $\nu_A(B_1) + i_A(B_1) \leq i_A(B_2)$ .*

Next for any given  $\widehat{B} \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$ , with  $\nu_A(\widehat{B}) = 0$ , the operator  $\Lambda := (A + \widehat{B}) : Y \rightarrow X$  is invertible and the inverse  $\Lambda^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$  is compact. For any  $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  with  $B - \widehat{B} \geq \epsilon \cdot \text{Id}$  for some constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define a bilinear form:

$$\phi_{A,B|\widehat{B}}(x, y) = (\Lambda^{-1}x, y) + ((B - \widehat{B})^{-1}x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.3)$$

We have

$$X = E_A^+(B | \widehat{B}) \oplus E_A^0(B | \widehat{B}) \oplus E_A^-(B | \widehat{B}), \quad (1.4)$$

such that  $\phi_{A,B|\widehat{B}}$  is positive definite, null and negative definite on  $E_A^+(B | \widehat{B})$ ,  $E_A^0(B | \widehat{B})$  and  $E_A^-(B | \widehat{B})$  respectively. Moreover,  $E_A^0(B | \widehat{B})$  and  $E_A^-(B | \widehat{B})$  are finitely dimensional.

**Definition 1.4** (see [6, Definition 3.2.3]) *For any  $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  with  $B - \widehat{B} \geq \epsilon \cdot \text{Id}$  for some constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define*

$$i_A(B | \widehat{B}) = \dim E_A^-(B | \widehat{B}), \quad \nu_A(B | \widehat{B}) = \dim E_A^0(B | \widehat{B}).$$

This relative index is a kind of Morse index. It plays an important role in the relationship between Morse Theory and the index  $(i_A(B), \nu_A(B))$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (see [6, Theorem 3.2.4]) *We have the following statements:*

(1) *For any  $B > \widehat{B}$ , we have*

$$\nu_A(B | \widehat{B}) = \nu_A(B).$$

(2) *Assume  $B_2 > B_1 > \widehat{B}$ . Then*

$$i_A(B_2 | \widehat{B}) \geq i_A(B_1 | \widehat{B}) + \nu_A(B_1 | \widehat{B}).$$

(3) *Assume  $B_2, B_1 > \widehat{B}$ . Then*

$$i_A(B_2 | \widehat{B}) - i_A(B_1 | \widehat{B}) = I_A(B_1, B_2) = i_A(B_2) - i_A(B_1).$$

Now we use the index  $(i_A(B), \nu_A(B))$  to reach our main results.

**Theorem 1.2** *Assume that  $\Phi \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies*

(P) *There exists  $C > 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\|\Phi'(z)\| < C\|z\|, \quad \Phi''(z) \geq M \cdot \text{Id}, \quad \forall z \in X.$$

(P<sub>0</sub>)  $\Phi'(\theta) = \theta$ ,  $\Phi''(\theta) = B_0 \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  and  $\nu_A(B_0) = 0$ .

(P<sub>∞</sub>) *There exist a  $B_\infty \in \mathcal{L}_s(X)$  with  $\nu_A(B_\infty) = 0$  and  $K > 0$ , such that*

$$\Phi''(z) \geq B_\infty, \quad \|z\| \geq K. \quad (1.5)$$

(P<sub>t</sub>)  $i_A(B_\infty) > i_A(B_0) + 1$ .

Then (1.2) has at least one nontrivial solution.

**Remark 1.1** In the condition (P<sub>∞</sub>), the requirement  $\nu_A(B_\infty) = 0$  is not essential since if  $\nu_A(B_\infty) \neq 0$ , we can perturb the operator  $B_\infty$  slightly to the operator  $\widetilde{B}_\infty$  such that  $\nu_A(\widetilde{B}_\infty) = 0$ ,  $i_A(B_\infty) = i_A(\widetilde{B}_\infty)$  and  $\Phi''(z) \geq \widetilde{B}_\infty$ ,  $\|z\| \geq K$ . Up to the authors known, some similar conditions as (1.5) in (P<sub>∞</sub>) were introduced in [14, 18].

**Theorem 1.3** *Assume that the conditions in Theorem 1.2 are all satisfied and further more  $\Phi$  is even, then (1.2) has at least  $i_A(B_\infty) - i_A(B_0) - 1$  pairs of nontrivial solutions.*

**Remark 1.2** Comparing with [6, Theorem 3.1.7], the functional  $\Phi$  is more restricted at infinity than that in our Theorem 1.2, where it is required essentially that  $\Phi''(x)$  is pinched by two linear self-adjoint bounded operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , that is  $B_1 \leq \Phi''(x) \leq B_2$ , and with the conditions  $i_A(B_1) + \nu_A(B_1) = i_A(B_2) + \nu_A(B_2)$ ,  $\nu_A(B_2) = 0$ . Namely, in [6, Theorem 3.1.7], the functional  $\Phi$  behaves as a quadratic functional at infinity but in our Theorem 1.2 it is only required that the functional  $\Phi$  is estimated from below by a quadratic functional.

## 2 Proofs of the Main Results

The following lemma is similar to [18, Lemma 3.3].

**Lemma 2.1** *Assume that  $\Phi \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies (P),  $(P_\infty)$ . Then there exists a sequence of functions  $\Phi_m \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying*

(1) *there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers  $R_m \rightarrow \infty$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that*

$$\Phi_m(z) \equiv \Phi(z), \quad \forall \|z\| \leq R_m, \quad (2.1)$$

(2) *for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\Phi_m''(z) \geq B_\infty, \quad \forall \|z\| \geq K, \quad (2.2)$$

(3) *there exists  $\tilde{C} > 0$  and  $\tilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$\|\Phi_m'(z)\| < \tilde{C}\|z\|, \quad \Phi_m'' \geq \tilde{M} \cdot \text{Id}, \quad \forall z \in X, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.3)$$

(4) *there exists  $\gamma$ , satisfying  $\gamma \cdot \text{Id} > B_\infty$ ,  $\nu_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id}) = 0$  and  $C_m > 0$ , such that*

$$\|\Phi_m'(z) - \gamma z\| < C_m. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof** Choose a sequence  $\{R_m\}$  of positive numbers such that  $K < R_1 < R_2 < \dots < R_m < \dots \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\phi_m : [R_m, 2R_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\phi_m(s) = \frac{2}{9R_m^3}(s - R_m)^3 - \frac{1}{9R_m^4}(s - R_m)^4, \quad s \in [R_m, 2R_m]. \quad (2.5)$$

Then define the function

$$\psi_m(s) = 1 - \frac{128R_m^2}{9(12R_m^2 + s^2)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the function

$$\eta_m(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq s \leq R_m, \\ \phi_m(s), & R_m \leq s \leq 2R_m, \\ \psi_m(s), & 2R_m \leq s \leq \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Then define  $\Phi_m$  by

$$\Phi_m(z) = (1 - \eta_m(\|z\|))\Phi(z) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\eta_m(\|z\|)\|z\|^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.8)$$

which satisfies the properties (1)–(4). In fact, we can get the statements (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) by direct computations. In order to check (2.2), we show

$$(\Phi_m''(z)x, x) \geq (B_\infty x, x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , with  $\|z\| \geq K$ . The proof is the same as that of Lemma 3.4 in [19]. The only difference is that in [19, Lemma 3.4], it deals with the finite dimensional case, but here we deal with the infinite dimensional case. Since where involved only formal computations, all the estimates are still valid, the proof carries over verbatim.

Then we choose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $-\alpha$  large enough, such that

$$\nu_A(\alpha \cdot \text{Id}) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id} \geq \text{Id}, \quad \widetilde{M} - \alpha > 1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$N_m''(z) \geq \text{Id}, \quad \forall z \in X, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$N_m''(z) \geq B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id}, \quad \|z\| \geq K, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $N_m(z) = \Phi_m(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(z, z)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $N_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{2}((B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id})z, z)$ ,  $\widetilde{N}_\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \alpha)(z, z)$ ,  $N(z) = \Phi(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(z, z)$ . We have  $N_m, N_\infty, \widetilde{N}_\gamma \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $N_m''(z), N_\infty''(z), \widetilde{N}_\gamma''(z) \geq \text{Id}$ ,  $\forall z \in X$ . Define

$$\Lambda z = Az + \alpha z, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\Psi_m(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1}z, z) + N_m^*(z), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1}z, z) + \widetilde{N}_\gamma^*(z), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\Psi_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1}z, z) + N_\infty^*(z), \quad (2.17)$$

where  $N_m^*$ ,  $\widetilde{N}_\gamma^*$  and  $N_\infty^*$  are the Fenchel dual of  $N_m$ ,  $\widetilde{N}_\gamma$  and  $N_\infty$  (see [8] for the definition and properties). We know  $\Psi_m, \Psi_\infty, \widetilde{\Psi}_\gamma \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a  $\widetilde{C}_m$ , such that  $\|N_m^{*'}(z) - \widetilde{N}_\gamma^{*''}(0)z\| \leq \widetilde{C}_m$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, z \in X$ .*

**Proof** Otherwise, there are  $\{z_n\} \subset X$ , such that  $N_m^{*'}(z_n) - \widetilde{N}_\gamma^{*''}(0)z_n = y_n$ , and  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). That is

$$N_m^{*'}(z_n) = \widetilde{N}_\gamma^{*''}(0)z_n + y_n = (\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n, \quad (2.18)$$

$$N_m'((\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n) = z_n, \quad (2.19)$$

and from the definition of  $N_m$ , we have

$$\Phi_m'((\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n) - \alpha((\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n) = z_n, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\Phi_m'((\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n) - \gamma((\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z_n + y_n) = (\alpha - \gamma)y_n, \quad (2.21)$$

but from the proposition (4) in Lemma 2.1, the left-hand side is bounded. This is a contradiction to the fact that  $y_n$  are unbounded.

**Lemma 2.3** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Psi_m$  satisfies the (PS) condition, and the critical-point set  $\mathcal{K}_m = \{z \in X \mid \Psi'_m(z) = 0\}$  is compact set.*

**Proof** For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume  $\{z_n\} \subset X$ , and  $\Psi'_m(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\Psi'_m(z_n) - \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)z_n\| = \|N_m^{*'}(z_n) - \tilde{N}_\gamma^{*''}(0)z_n\| \leq \tilde{C}_m. \quad (2.22)$$

And since  $\nu_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id}) = 0$ , we have that  $\tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)$  has bounded inverse, so  $\{z_n\}$  are bounded. Then there exists a subsequence  $z_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z_0$  in  $X$ , and  $\Lambda^{-1}z_{n_k} \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}z_0$  in  $X$ . From the definition of  $\Psi_m$ , we have

$$\Lambda^{-1}z_{n_k} + N_m^{*'}(z_{n_k}) = \Psi'_m(z_{n_k}), \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$N_m^{*'}(z_{n_k}) = \Psi'_m(z_{n_k}) - \Lambda^{-1}z_{n_k}, \quad (2.24)$$

so  $z_{n_k} = N'_m(\Psi'_m(z_{n_k}) - \Lambda^{-1}z_{n_k}) \rightarrow N'_m(-\Lambda^{-1}z_0)$ ,  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ . The (PS) condition is satisfied. From the similar reason, we have that  $\mathcal{K}_m$  is a compact set.

Because  $\nu_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id}) = 0$ , we have  $X = E_\gamma^- \oplus E_\gamma^+$ , where  $\tilde{\Psi}_\gamma$  is negative definite on  $E_\gamma^-$  and positive definite on  $E_\gamma^+$ , and  $\dim(E_\gamma^-) = i_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id} \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ . Similarly to Lemma II.5.1 in [2], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $a_m \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $-a_m$  large enough, such that*

$$H_q(X, (\Psi_m)_{a_m}; \mathbb{R}) = \delta_{qr} \mathbb{R},$$

where  $r = \dim(E_\gamma^-) = i_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id} \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ .

**Proof** Since  $\nu_A(\gamma) = 0$ , 0 is a non-degenerate critical point of  $\tilde{\Psi}_\gamma$ , we have

$$X = E_\gamma^- \oplus E_\gamma^+, \quad (2.25)$$

such that there is a  $c_\gamma > 0$ , satisfying

$$\tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)|_{E_\gamma^-} \leq -c_\gamma \cdot \text{Id}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)|_{E_\gamma^+} \geq c_\gamma \cdot \text{Id}. \quad (2.26)$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\Psi'_m(z) - \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)z\| = \|N_m^{*'}(z) - (\gamma - \alpha)^{-1}z\| \leq \tilde{C}_m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, z \in X. \quad (2.27)$$

Let  $R_m^+ > \tilde{C}_m \setminus c_\gamma$ . Then if  $z^+ \in E_\gamma^+$  and  $\|z^+\| \geq R_m^+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi'_m(z), z^+ \rangle &= \langle \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)z^+, z^+ \rangle + \langle (\Psi'_m(z) - \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)z), z^+ \rangle \\ &\geq c_\gamma \|z^+\|^2 - \tilde{C}_m \|z^+\| > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus E_\gamma^-$ . We have that  $\Psi_m$  has no critical point outside  $\mathcal{M}$ , and that  $-\Psi'(z)$  points inward to  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . Further more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_m(z) &= \Psi_m(0) + \int_0^1 \langle \Psi'_m(tz), z \rangle dt \\ &= \Psi_m(0) + \int_0^1 \langle \Psi'_m(tz) - \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)tz, z \rangle dt + \int_0^1 \langle \tilde{\Psi}''_\gamma(0)tz, z \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_m(0) - \tilde{C}_m \|z\| - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\Psi}_\gamma''(0)\| \|z^-\|^2 \\ & \leq \Psi_m(z) \leq \Psi_m(0) + \tilde{C}_m \|z\| - \frac{c_\gamma}{2} \|z^-\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\Psi}_\gamma''(0)\| \|z^+\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

We obtain

$$\Psi_m(z) \rightarrow -\infty \Leftrightarrow \|z^-\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } z^+ \in E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}. \quad (2.31)$$

Thus,  $\forall T > 0$ ,  $\exists a'_m < a_m < -T$ ,  $r_1 > r_2 > 0$  such that

$$(E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus (E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_1}) \subset (\Psi_m)_{a'_m} \cap \mathcal{M} \subset (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus (E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_2}) \subset (\Psi_m)_{a_m} \cap \mathcal{M}. \quad (2.32)$$

And from Lemma 2.3 we choose  $T$  large enough such that  $\mathcal{K}_m \cap (\Psi_m)_{-T} = \emptyset$ . The negative gradient flow of  $\Psi_m$  defines a strong deformation retract

$$\tau_1 : (\Psi_m)_{a_m} \cap \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\Psi_m)_{a'_m} \cap \mathcal{M}. \quad (2.33)$$

Another strong deformation retract in  $(\Psi_m)_{a_m} \cap \mathcal{M}$

$$\tau_2 : (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus (E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_2}) \rightarrow (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus (E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_1}) \quad (2.34)$$

is defined by  $\tau_2 = \xi(1, \cdot)$ , where

$$\xi(t; z^+ + z^-) = \begin{cases} z^+ + z^-, & \|z^-\| \geq r_1, \\ z^+ + \frac{z^-}{\|z^-\|} (tr_1 + (1-t)\|z^-\|), & \|z^-\| \leq r_1. \end{cases} \quad (2.35)$$

We compose these two strong deformation retracts,  $\tau = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$ , and then obtain a strong deformation retract

$$\tau : (\Psi_m)_{a_m} \cap \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_1}, \quad (2.36)$$

and the following deformation

$$\eta(t; z^+ + z^-) = \begin{cases} z^+ + z^-, & \|z^+\| \leq R_m^+, \\ z^- + \frac{z^+}{\|z^+\|} (tR_m^+ + (1-t)\|z^+\|), & \|z^+\| \geq R_m^+ \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

is a strong deformation retract of the topological pair from  $(X, (\Psi_m)_{a_m})$  to  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \cap (\Psi_m)_{a_m})$ . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_q(X, (\Psi_m)_{a_m}) & \cong H_q(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \cap (\Psi_m)_{a_m}) \\ & \cong H_q((E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus E_\gamma^-, (E_\gamma^+ \cap B_{R_m^+}) \oplus E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_1}) \\ & \cong H_q(E_\gamma^-, E_\gamma^- \setminus B_{r_1}) \\ & \cong H_q(E_\gamma^- \cap B_{r_1}, \partial(E_\gamma^- \cap B_{r_1})) \\ & \cong \delta_{qr} \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_m^* = \mathcal{K}_m \setminus \{\theta\}$ . From Definition 1.4 and Theorem 1.1, we have that  $\theta$  is an isolate critical point of  $\Psi_m$ . And since  $\mathcal{K}_m$  is compact for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\mathcal{K}_m^*$  is also compact. Then we have the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** For any  $\varepsilon, \mu > 0$  small enough there exists a functional  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$ , such that

$$(1) \|\Psi_m - \widehat{\Psi}_m\|_{C^2} < \varepsilon,$$

$$(2) \Psi(z) = \widehat{\Psi}_m, z \notin N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*),$$

$$(3) \Psi_m''(z) = \widehat{\Psi}_m''(z), z \in N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*),$$

where  $N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*) = \{z \in X \mid \text{dist}(z, \mathcal{K}_m^*) < \mu\}$ . Moreover,  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  satisfies the (PS) condition and has only a finite number of critical points. All nontrivial critical points of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  are in  $N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$  and are non-degenerate.

**Proof** We follow the idea of [25]. Since  $\mathcal{K}_m^*$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , we have the following result. For every  $\mu > 0$ , there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $l : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , with all its derivatives bounded and

$$l(z) = 1, \quad \forall z \in N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*), \quad (2.38)$$

$$l(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in X \setminus N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*). \quad (2.39)$$

Let  $M = \sup_{z \in N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*)} \{\|z\|\}$ ,  $C = \|l(z)\|_{C^2}$ ,  $\delta = \inf_{z \in N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*) \setminus N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)} \{\|\Psi_m'(z)\|\} > 0$ . We use the Sard-Smale Theorem to find  $y \in X$  such that  $\|y\| < \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{C(2+2M)}, \frac{\delta}{2C(1+2M)}\}$ , and  $-y$  is a regular value for  $\Psi_m'$ . For any  $z_0 \in N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$ , the functional is defined by

$$\widehat{\Psi}_m(z) = \Psi_m(z) + l(z)\langle y, z - z_0 \rangle. \quad (2.40)$$

By  $\|y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{C(2+2M)}$  and the definition of  $l(z)$ , we have (1) and (2), (3). Since  $\|y\| < \frac{\delta}{2C(1+2M)}$  and  $-y$  is a regular value for  $\Psi_m$ , we have that all nontrivial critical points of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  are in  $N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$  and are non-degenerate.

In order to prove that  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  satisfies the (PS) condition, assume that there are  $\{z_n\} \subset X$  and  $\widehat{\Psi}_m'(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). From the definition of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$ , we have  $\|\widehat{\Psi}_m'(z)\| > \frac{\delta}{2}$ ,  $\forall z \in N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*) \setminus N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$ . So  $z_n \in (X \setminus N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*)) \cup N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$ , when  $n$  is large enough. From the proposition of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  and the proof in Lemma 2.3, we have that  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  satisfies the (PS) condition. So it has finitely many critical points.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** We divide the proof into two steps and follow the ideas of [18].

**Step 1** Note that  $z = 0$  is a critical point of  $\Psi_m$ . The Morse index of 0 for  $\Psi_m$  is  $i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ . Since  $\gamma \cdot \text{Id} > B_\infty$ , we have

$$i_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id} \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) \geq i_A(B_\infty \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) > i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1. \quad (2.41)$$

Now we claim that  $\Psi_m$  has a nontrivial critical point  $z_m$  with its Morse index satisfying

$$m^-(z_m) \leq i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1. \quad (2.42)$$

If  $\Psi_m$  has only finite critical points, we use the  $(i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1)^{\text{th}}$  Morse inequality:

$$\sum_{p=0}^q (-1)^{q-p} M_p(a_m, b_m, \Psi_m) \geq \sum_{p=0}^q (-1)^{q-p} \beta_p(a_m, b_m, \Psi_m), \quad (2.43)$$

where  $q = i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1$ , and  $b_m$  is large enough such that  $\mathcal{K}_m \subset \Psi_m^{-1}[a_m, b_m]$ .

Because  $\Psi_m$  satisfies the (PS) condition and from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\beta_p(a_m, b_m, \Psi_m) = \text{rank}(H_p(X, (\Psi_m)_{a_m})) = \delta_{pr}, \quad (2.44)$$

where  $r = i_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id} \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ . Since  $i_A(\gamma \cdot \text{Id} \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) > i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1$ , the right-hand side of the inequality is equal to 0. If  $\Psi_m$  has no nontrivial critical point with its Morse index less than  $i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1$ , the left-hand side of the inequality is equal to  $-1$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $\Psi_m$  has infinitely many critical points, assuming that for any  $z \in \mathcal{K}_m^*$ ,

$$m^-(z) > i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1, \quad (2.45)$$

we use Lemma 2.5 and choose  $\mu$  small enough, such that

(1)  $0 \notin N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$ , so 0 is also an isolated critical point of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  and has the same Morse index  $i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ .

(2) For any  $z \in N_\mu(\mathcal{K}_m^*)$ ,  $m^-(z)$ , which is the dimension of the negative subspace of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m''(z)$ , satisfies  $m^-(z) > i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1$ . (Because  $\Psi_m$  is  $C^2$  continuous, we can assume this.)

From the proposition (3) in Lemma 2.5, we have if  $z$  is a nontrivial critical point of  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$ , the Morse index  $m_{\widehat{\Psi}_m}^-(z)$  satisfies

$$m_{\widehat{\Psi}_m}^-(z) > i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1. \quad (2.46)$$

Then choose  $\tilde{a}_m$  satisfying  $N_{2\mu}(\mathcal{K}_m^*) \cap (\Psi_m)_{\tilde{a}_m} = \emptyset$ , that is  $(\Psi_m)_{\tilde{a}_m} = (\widehat{\Psi}_m)_{\tilde{a}_m}$ . So

$$H_q(X, (\Psi_m)_{\tilde{a}_m}; \mathbb{R}) = H_q(X, (\widehat{\Psi}_m)_{\tilde{a}_m}; \mathbb{R}) = \delta_{qr} \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.47)$$

Then  $\widehat{\Psi}_m$  do not satisfy the  $(i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1)^{\text{th}}$  Morse inequality. It is a contradiction.

**Step 2** Let  $y_m = -\Lambda^{-1}z_m$ , since  $\Psi_m'(z_m) = 0$ , that is  $\Lambda^{-1}z_m + N_m^{*'}(z_m) = 0$ . So we have  $y_m = -\Lambda^{-1}z_m = N_m^{*'}(z_m)$ , and  $y_m$  satisfies the equation  $Ay_m + \Phi_m'(y_m) = 0$ . If there is an  $R > 0$  such that  $\|y_m\| < R$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , so from the definition of  $\Phi_m$ ,  $y_m$  is a nontrivial solution of equation (1.2) when  $m$  large enough.

We prove it indirectly and assume  $\|y_m\| \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . From equation (2.13), we have  $N_m''(y_m) \geq B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id} > 0$  for  $m$  large enough. That is  $N_m^{*''}(z_m) \leq (B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id})^{-1}$ .

Let  $E_\infty^- = E^-(\Psi_\infty''(0))$ . We have  $\dim(E_\infty^-) = i_A(B_\infty \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ . For any  $z \in E_\infty^-$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_m''(z_m)z, z \rangle &= \langle \Lambda^{-1}z, z \rangle + \langle N_m^{*''}(z_m)z, z \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \Lambda^{-1}z, z \rangle + \langle (B_\infty - \alpha \cdot \text{Id})^{-1}z, z \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi_\infty''(0)z, z \rangle \leq -\delta\|z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $m_{\Psi_m}^-(z_m) \geq i_A(B_\infty \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ , and  $i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + 1 \geq i_A(B_\infty \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $i_A(B_\infty \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) - i_A(B_0 \mid \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) = i_A(B_\infty) - i_A(B_0) > 1$ . So  $\|y_m\|$  are bounded and equation (1.2) has a nontrivial solution.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. The difference is in Step 1. Instead of Morse theory, we make use of minimax arguments for multiplicity of critical points.

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and assume that  $\phi \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  is an even functional, satisfies the (PS) condition and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Denote  $S_a = \{u \in X \mid \|u\| = a\}$ .

**Lemma 2.6** (see [12, Corollary 10.19]) *Assume that  $Y$  and  $Z$  are subspaces of  $X$  satisfying  $\dim Y = j > k = \text{codim} Z$ . If there exist  $R > r > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$\inf \phi(S_r \cap Z) \geq \alpha, \quad \sup \phi(S_R \cap Y) \leq 0,$$

*then  $\phi$  has  $j - k$  pairs of nontrivial critical points  $\{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_{j-k}\}$ , so that  $\mu(u_i) \leq k + i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j - k$ .*

Since  $\Psi$  is even, we have that  $\Psi_m$  is also even, and satisfies Lemma 2.1. Let  $Y = E_\infty^-$ , and  $Z = E_m^+ = E^+(\Psi_m''(0))$ . We have  $\dim Y = i_A(B_\infty | \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ ,  $\text{codim} Z = i_A(B_0 | \alpha \cdot \text{Id})$ ,  $\dim Y > \text{codim} Z$ . Then it is easy to prove that  $\Psi_m$  satisfies Lemma 2.6 for  $R$ , and  $\frac{1}{r}$  is large enough. So  $\Psi_m$  has  $l := i_A(B_\infty) - i_A(B_0)$  pairs of nontrivial critical points

$$\{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_l\},$$

and  $l - 1$  pairs of them satisfy

$$m^-(x_i) \leq i_A(B_0 | \alpha \cdot \text{Id}) + i < i_A(B_\infty | \alpha \cdot \text{Id}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1. \quad (2.48)$$

From (2.48), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

### 3 Applications

We can use the abstract critical point Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 to deal with the existence and multiplicity problems of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations as in [19], the periodic solutions of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems as in [18] and the Lagrangian boundary value problems of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems as in [14, 17]. To avoid tedious, in the following, we only show an application of the abstract critical point Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 to the problem of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems with  $P$ -periodic boundary conditions.

#### 3.1 First order Hamiltonian systems

In this subsection, we consider the solutions of the nonlinear Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = JH'(t, z(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\ z(1) = Pz(0), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the standard symplectic matrix,  $N = \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}$  with  $I_n$  the identity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P \in \text{Sp}(2n) = \{M \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid M^T J M = J\}$ ,  $H \in C^2([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $H'(t, z)$  denotes the gradient of  $H$  with respect to the variable  $z$ .

Define  $L^2 = L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ ,  $\tilde{Y} = \{z : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid z' \in L^2 \text{ and } z(1) = Pz(0)\}$ . Define  $\tilde{A}z := Jz'$  for every  $z \in \tilde{Y}$ . By the spectral theory, there is a normal orthogonal basis  $\{e_n\}$  of  $L^2$ , and a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , such that  $\tilde{A}e_n = \lambda_n e_n$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for every  $z \in L^2$ , with  $z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_n e_n$ , we have  $\|z\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_n^2$ . Define

$$X = \left\{ z \in L^2 \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\lambda_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}) |z_n|^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $X$  is a separable Hilbert space with the norm  $\|z\|^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\lambda_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}) |z_n|^2$  and the corresponding inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Define

$$Y = \left\{ z \in L^2 \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\lambda_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}) |z_n|^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then  $Y$  is a separable Hilbert space with the norm  $\|z\|_Y^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\lambda_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}) |z_n|^2$  and the corresponding inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_Y$ . Define the operator  $A : Y \rightarrow X$  by

$$(Ay, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_n x_n y_n, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n e_n$ ,  $y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n e_n$ . It is easy to check that  $(X, Y, A)$  satisfy the conditions introduced in Section 1. Define  $K : L^2 \rightarrow X$  by

$$(Kz, x) = (z, x)_{L^2}, \quad \forall x \in X, z \in L^2, \quad (3.5)$$

and it is easy to see that  $K$  is a compact operator. Define  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^1 H(t, x(t)) dt. \quad (3.6)$$

If there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that  $\|H''(t, z)\| \leq C$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, 1], z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , then we have  $\Phi \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Phi'(x) = KH'(t, x(t))$ ,  $\Phi''(x) = KH''(t, x(t))$ . If  $z \in Y$ , satisfying  $Az + \Phi'(z) = 0$ , we have that  $z$  is a solution of (3.1).

Similarly to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.1** Assume  $H \in C^2([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{R})$  which satisfies

(H) There exists an  $M > 0$  such that

$$|H''(t, z)| \leq M, \quad \forall (t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

(H<sub>0</sub>)  $H'(t, 0) \equiv 0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H''(t, 0) = B_0(t)$  and  $\nu_A(KB_0(t)) = 0$ ,

(H<sub>∞</sub><sup>±</sup>) There exists a continuous symmetric matrix function  $B_\infty(t)$ , and some  $R > 0$ , such that

$$H''(t, z) \geq B_\infty \text{ (or } H''(t, z) \leq B_\infty) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } |z| > R,$$

(H<sub>t</sub>)  $i_A(KB_\infty) > i_A(KB_0) + 1$  (or  $i_A(KB_\infty) < i_A(KB_0) - 1$ ).

Then (3.1) has at least one nontrivial solution.

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 are all satisfied and further more  $H$  is even in  $z$ . Then (3.1) has at least  $|i_A(B_\infty) - i_A(B_0)| - 1$  pairs of nontrivial solutions.

**Remark 3.1** The cases of (H<sub>∞</sub><sup>+</sup>) and (H<sub>∞</sub><sup>-</sup>) are similar. In fact, the case (H<sub>∞</sub><sup>-</sup>) follows from the case (H<sub>∞</sub><sup>+</sup>) by applying to the Hamiltonian function  $-H(1-t, z)$ . So we only consider (H<sub>∞</sub><sup>+</sup>) from now on. By Remark 1.1, it does not lose any generality, if we can assume  $\nu_A(B_\infty) = 0$ .

The existence and multiplicity for nonlinear Hamiltonian systems with  $P$ -boundary conditions was first studied by the first author in [13], where the conditions on  $H$  are more restricted in some sense.

The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are similar to that of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Here we only give a brief statement. Similarly to Lemma 2.1, there exists a sequence of Hamiltonian functions  $H_m \in C^2([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})$  satisfying the following properties:

(1) there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers  $R_m \rightarrow \infty$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that

$$H_m(t, z) \equiv H(t, z), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], |z| \leq R_m,$$

(2) for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$H_m''(t, z) \geq B_\infty(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], |z| \geq R,$$

(3) there exists an  $\widetilde{M} > 0$ , such that

$$\|H_m''\| \leq \widetilde{M}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

(4) there exist a  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying  $\gamma K > KB_\infty$ ,  $\nu_A(\gamma K) = 0$ , and a  $C_m > 0$ , such that

$$\|H'_m(t, z) - \gamma z\| < C_m \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1], \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad H''_m(t, z) - \gamma = o(|z|), \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

So we can choose  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\nu_A(a \cdot \text{Id}) = \nu_A(b \cdot \text{Id}) = 0$ ,  $KB_\infty - a \cdot \text{Id} \geq \text{Id}$ , and satisfying

$$b \cdot \text{Id} \geq N''_m(z) \geq \text{Id}, \quad \forall z \in X, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $N_m(z) = \int_0^1 H_m(t, z(t)) dt - \frac{a}{2}(z, z)_X$ . Define

$$N(z) = \int_0^1 H(t, z(t)) dt - \frac{a}{2}(z, z)_X, \quad (3.8)$$

$$N_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{2}(KB_\infty z, z)_X - \frac{a}{2}(z, z)_X, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\tilde{N}_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma K z, z)_X - \frac{a}{2}(z, z)_X. \quad (3.10)$$

Let  $\Lambda = A + a \cdot \text{Id}$ . From  $\nu_A(a \cdot \text{Id}) = 0$ , we have that  $\Lambda$  is invertible and  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is compact. Define

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1} z, z) + N^*(z), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\Psi_m(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1} z, z) + N_m^*(z), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1} z, z) + \tilde{N}_\gamma^*(z), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\Psi_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda^{-1} z, z) + N_\infty^*(z). \quad (3.14)$$

With similar arguments as in Section 2, we see that  $N_m^*$  satisfies Lemmas 2.2,  $\Psi_m$  satisfies Lemmas 2.3–2.5. So  $\Psi_m$  possesses a nontrivial critical point  $z_m$  with Morse index satisfying  $m^-(z_m) \leq i_A(KB_0 \mid a \cdot \text{Id}) + 1$ . Denote by  $-\Lambda^{-1} z_m = y_m$ . We have that  $y_m$  satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_m(t) = JH'_m(t, y_m(t)), \\ y_m(1) = Py_m(0). \end{cases}$$

If there exists a  $C > 0$  independent of  $m$  such that  $\|y_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ , then  $y_m$  is a nontrivial solution of the original equations (3.1) for  $m$  large enough. Otherwise, if  $\|y_m\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by the same arguments as in the last part of [18], we have  $\min_{t \in [0, 1]} |y_m(t)| \geq R$  for  $m$  large enough. So from the definition of  $H_m$ , we have  $H''_m(t, y_m) \geq B_\infty$ . Thus we have  $N^{*''}(z_m) \leq (KB_\infty - a \cdot \text{Id})^{-1}$ . In this case, we have the contradiction as done in Section 2. That is to say  $\|y_m\|_{L^\infty}$  is bounded, so  $y_m$  is a nontrivial solution of the original equations (3.1).

### 3.2 Second order Hamiltonian systems

In this subsection, we consider the solutions of the nonlinear Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} (\Lambda(t)x')' + V'(t, x) = 0, \\ x(1) = Mx(0), \quad x'(1) = Nx'(0), \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $M \in \text{GL}(n)$ ,  $M^\tau \Lambda(1)N = \Lambda(0)$ ,  $\Lambda \in C([0, 1]; \text{GL}_s(n))$  and  $\Lambda(t)$  is positive definite for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

By the similar argument, let

$$\tilde{Y} = \{x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid (\Lambda(t)x'(t))' \in L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^n), x(1) = Mx(0), x'(1) = Nx'(0)\}. \quad (3.16)$$

We have a normal orthogonal basis  $\{f_n\}$  of  $L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and a sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$ , such that  $(\Lambda(t)x'(t))'f_n = \eta_n f_n$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For every  $x \in L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n f_n$ . Define

$$X = \left\{ x \in L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\eta_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}) x_n^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.17)$$

Then  $X$  is a separable Hilbert space with the norm  $\|x\|^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\eta_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}) |x_n|^2$  and the corresponding inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Define

$$Y = \left\{ y \in (0, 1; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\eta_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}) |y_n|^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.18)$$

Then  $Y$  is a separable Hilbert space with the norm  $\|y\|_Y^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |\eta_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}) |y_n|^2$  and the corresponding inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_Y$ . Define the operator  $A : Y \rightarrow X$  by

$$(Ay, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_n x_n y_n, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n f_n$ ,  $y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n f_n$ . Then we have that  $(X, Y, A)$  satisfies the conditions introduced in Section 1. We have the following results.

**Theorem 3.3** Assume that  $V \in C^2([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies

(V) there exists an  $M > 0$  such that

$$|V''(t, x)| \leq M, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

(V<sub>0</sub>)  $V'(t, 0) \equiv 0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $V''(t, 0) = B_0(t)$  and  $\nu_A(B_0(t)) = 0$ ,

(V<sub>∞</sub>) there exists a continuous symmetric matrix function  $B_\infty(t)$ , and some  $R > 0$ , satisfying

$$V''(t, x) \geq B_\infty \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |x| > R,$$

(V<sub>t</sub>)  $i_A(B_\infty) > i_A(B_0) + 1$ .

Then (3.15) has at least one nontrivial solution.

**Theorem 3.4** Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.3 are all satisfied and further more  $V$  is even in  $x$ . Then (3.15) has at least  $i_A(B_\infty) - i_A(B_0) - 1$  pairs of nontrivial solutions.

The proofs of the above two results are similar to that of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, in fact, problem (3.15) can be transferred to problem (3.1).

## References

- [1] Amann, H. and Zehnder, E., Nontrivial solutions for a class of nonresonance problems and applications to nonlinear differential equations, *Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa.*, **7**, 1980, 439–603.
- [2] Chang, K. C., Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, Birkhauser, Basel, 1993.
- [3] Chang, K. C., Solutions of asymptotically linear operator equations via Morse theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34**, 1981, 693–712.

- [4] Chang, K. C., Critical Point Theory and Its Application (in Chinese), Shanghai Sci. Tech. Press, Shanghai, 1986.
- [5] Conley, C. and Zehnder, E., Morse-type index theory for flows and periodic solutions for Hamiltonian equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **37**, 1984, 207–253.
- [6] Dong, Y., Index theory for linear self-adjoint operator equations and nontrivial solutions for asymptotically linear operator equations, *Calc. Var.*, **38**, 2010, 75–109.
- [7] Dong, D. and Long, Y., The iteration formula of Maslov-type index theory with applications to nonlinear Hamiltonian systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **349**, 1997, 2619–2661.
- [8] Ekeland, I., Convexity methods in Hamiltonian mechanics, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [9] Ekeland, I., Une theorie de Morse pour les systemes hamiltoniens convexes, *Ann IHP “Analyse non lineaire”*, **1**, 1984, 19–78.
- [10] Ekeland, I. and Hofer, H., Periodic solutions with prescribed period for convex autonomous Hamiltonian systems, *Invent. Math.*, **81**, 1985, 155–188.
- [11] Ekeland, I. and Hofer, H., Convex Hamiltonian energy surfaces and their closed trajectories, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **113**, 1987, 419–467.
- [12] Ghoussoub, N., Duality and Perturbation Methods in Critical Point Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [13] Liu, C., Maslov-type  $P$ -index theory for a symplectic path with applications, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **27B**(4), 2006, 441–458.
- [14] Liu, C., Asymptotically linear Hamiltonian system with Lagrangian boundary conditions, *PJM*, **232**, 2007, 232–254.
- [15] Liu, C., Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths with Lagrangian boundary conditions, *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, **7**, 2007, 131–161.
- [16] Liu, C., Long, Y. and Zhu, C., Multiplicity of closed characteristics on symmetric convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , *Math. Ann.*, **323**, 2002, 201–215.
- [17] Liu, C., Wang, Q. and Lin, X., An index theory for symplectic paths associated with two Lagrangian subspaces with applications, *Nonlinearity*, **24**, 2011, 43–70.
- [18] Liu, Z., Su, J. and Wang, Z., A twist condition and periodic solutions of Hamiltonian system, *Adv. Math.*, **218**, 2008, 1895–1913.
- [19] Liu, Z., Su, J. and Wang, Z., Solutions of elliptic problems with nonlinearities of linear growth, *Calc. Var.*, **35**, 2009, 463–480.
- [20] Long, Y., Maslov-type index, degenerate critical points, and asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems, *Sci. China*, **33**, 1990, 1409–1419.
- [21] Long, Y., A Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **10**, 1997, 47–78.
- [22] Long, Y. and Zehnder, E., Morse theory for forced oscillations of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems, *Stock. Process. Phys. Geom.*, S. Alberverio et al (eds.), World Scientific Publishing, Teaneck, New Jersey, 1990, 528–563.
- [23] Long, Y. and Zhu, C., Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , *Ann. Math.*, **155**, 2000, 317–368.
- [24] Long, Y. and Zhu, C., Maslov type index theory for symplectic paths and spectral flow (II), *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **21B**(1), 2000, 89–108.
- [25] Solimini, S., Morse index estimates in min-max theorems, *Manuscripta Math.*, **63**, 1989, 421–453.
- [26] Zhu, C. and Long, Y., Maslov type index theory for symplectic paths and spectral flow (I), *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **20B**(4), 1999, 413–424.