

On the Cauchy Problem Describing an Electron-Phonon Interaction*

João-Paulo DIAS¹ Mário FIGUEIRA¹ Filipe OLIVEIRA²

Abstract In this paper, a model is derived to describe a quartic anharmonic interatomic interaction with an external potential involving a pair electron-phonon. The authors study the corresponding Cauchy Problem in the semilinear and quasilinear cases.

Keywords Schrödinger-like equations, Cauchy problem, Blow-up, Phonon-electron interaction

2000 MR Subject Classification 37L50, 35L55, 35B44

1 Introduction

Electron-phonon interactions play a crucial role in the determination of the physical properties of many mixed crystals (see [16]).

In the present paper, we study the well-posedness of a nonlinear dispersive system arising in the framework of the electron-phonon interaction in a one-dimensional lattice. In [8], V. Konotop treated the temporal dynamics of such a system in the presence of resonant interactions between the electron and phonon subsystems. The Hamiltonian H for such a one-dimensional chain of particles is given by $H = H_{\text{el}} + H_{\text{ph}} + H_{\text{el-ph}}$, where, denoting by a dot the time derivative, the Hamiltonians for each subsystem and their interaction read in bracket notation

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{el}} &= -J \sum_n (|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n\rangle\langle n-1|), \\ H_{\text{ph}} &= \frac{M}{2} \sum_n \dot{\rho}_n^2 + \frac{U}{2} \sum_n (\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n)^2, \\ H_{\text{el-ph}} &= \chi \sum_n |n\rangle\langle n| (\rho_{n+1} - \rho_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, ρ_n denotes the distance to the equilibrium position of the n th atom of mass M , J is the energetical constant determined by the overlapping of the electronic orbitals, U is a force constant and χ represents the strength of the electron-phonon interaction.

Manuscript received March 30, 2011.

¹Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais e Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenue Professor Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal.

E-mail: dias@ptmat.fc.ul.pt figueira@ptmat.fc.ul.pt

²Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica, Portugal. E-mail: fso@fct.unl.pt

*Project supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Financiamento Base (Nos. 2008-ISFL-1-209, 2008-ISFL-1-297) and the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia Grant (No. PTDC/MAT/110613/2009).

In the continuum limit, the above Hamiltonians become

$$H_{\text{el}} = -J \int |u_x|^2 dx, \quad H_{\text{ph}} = \frac{M}{2} \int \rho_t^2 dx + \frac{U}{2} \int \rho_x^2 dx, \quad H_{\text{el-ph}} = \chi \int |u|^2 \rho_x dx,$$

where u is the electronic wave-function.

Putting $q = \rho$, $p = M\rho_t$, we obtain the Hamilton evolution set of equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}_{\text{ph}} = \frac{\partial(H_{\text{ph}} + H_{\text{el-ph}})}{\partial p_{\text{ph}}}, \\ \dot{p}_{\text{ph}} = -\frac{\partial(H_{\text{ph}} + H_{\text{el-ph}})}{\partial q_{\text{ph}}}, \\ i\hbar u_t = \frac{\partial(H_{\text{el}} + H_{\text{el-ph}})}{\partial u}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

In the present paper, we will treat the Cauchy problem associated with this evolution system. We will replace the Hamiltonian of the electronic and phonon subsystems respectively by

$$H_{\text{el}} = -J \int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int |u|^4 dx, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$H_{\text{ph}} = \frac{M}{2} \int \rho_t^2 dx + \frac{U}{2} \int \rho_x^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{4} \int \rho^4 dx, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.3)$$

allowing the possibility of nonlinear cubic potentials for the evolution of u and ρ . Also, we will incorporate in $H_{\text{el-ph}}$ a term to account for the anharmonic interatomic interactions (see [1]):

$$H_{\text{el-ph}} = \chi \int |u|^2 \rho_x dx + \lambda \int (\rho_x)^4 dx, \quad \lambda \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

By replacing (1.2)–(1.4) in (1.1), we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar u_t + Ju_{xx} = 2\chi u\rho_x + \alpha|u|^2 u, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ M\rho_{tt} - [U\rho_x + \lambda\rho_x^3]_x = \chi(|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Finally, after putting all physical constants equal to the unity and scaling out the remaining coefficient of the term $u\rho_x$ by the transformation $\tilde{\rho} = 2\rho$ and $\tilde{u} = \sqrt{2}u$, we obtain the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = u\rho_x + \alpha|u|^2 u, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \rho_{tt} - [\rho_x + \lambda\rho_x^3]_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \rho(0, x) = \rho_0(x), \quad \rho_t(0, x) = \rho_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

For $\alpha = \beta = \lambda = 0$, by putting $n = \rho_x$, we obtain the classical Zakharov system

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = un, \\ n_{tt} - n_{xx} = (|u|^2)_{xx}. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

The initial value problem for (1.7) is studied in [6, 12]. Also, in the case where $\beta = \lambda = 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$, (1.6) falls in the scope of the Zakharov-Rubenchik equation studied in [10, 9] for the global well-posedness and stability of solitary waves and in [11] for the adiabatic limit to the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we treat the local well-posedness of (1.6). The main difficulty of this system is the presence of the strongly nonlinear term with derivative-loss $\rho_x^2 \rho_{xx}$. In order to overcome this problem, we translate (1.6) in terms of its Riemann invariants. Next, we perform a change of functions technique developed in [15, 10, 5] which takes care of the derivative-loss and use a variant of a result derived by Kato [7] to prove the existence and uniqueness of strong local solutions to (1.6) for initial data $(u_0, \rho_0, \rho_{t0}) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}) \times H^3(\mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In Section 3, we derive some conservation laws for (1.6) and prove the existence of solutions which blow-up in L^2 in finite time (provided that $\beta > 0$) by adapting a result due to Reed and Simon [13]. Also, for $\beta \leq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, we prove that the solutions obtained in the previous section are in fact global in time.

Finally, if $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta \leq 0$, we establish in Section 4 the global existence of weak solutions for (1.6) by applying a compensated-compactness method developed in [14] by Serre and Shearer (see also [2]). The adaptation of this method to a Schrödinger-nonlinear elasticity system was made in [4]. The technique of using this compensated-compactness result in order to prove the existence of global weak solutions was introduced in [3] in the framework of a Schrödinger-conservation law system.

2 Local Existence of Strong Solutions

In this section, we address the local well-posedness of the initial value problem (1.6).

Let $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\rho_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

By setting $v = \rho_x$, $w = \rho_t$ and $\sigma(v) = v + \lambda v^3$, the Cauchy problem (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = uv + \alpha|u|^2u, \\ \rho_t = w, \\ v_t - w_x = 0, \\ w_t - (\sigma(v))_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}), & \rho(\cdot, 0) &= \rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}), \\ v(\cdot, 0) &= v_0 := \rho_{0x} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), & w(\cdot, 0) &= w_0 := \rho_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\lambda \geq 0$. By introducing the Riemann invariants

$$l = w + \int_0^v \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda\xi^2} d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad r = w - \int_0^v \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda\xi^2} d\xi,$$

we derive

$$l - r = 2 \int_0^v \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda\xi^2} d\xi = v\sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\lambda}} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{3\lambda} v), \quad w = \frac{l + r}{2}.$$

Noticing that

$$f(v) = v\sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\lambda}} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{3\lambda} v)$$

is one-one and smooth, we put $v = f^{-1}(l - r) = v(l, r)$. For classical solutions, the Cauchy

problem (2.1)–(2.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = uv + \alpha|u|^2u, \\ \rho_t = \frac{1}{2}(l + r), \\ l_t - \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} l_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3, \\ r_t + \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} r_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}), & \rho(\cdot, 0) &= \rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}), \\ l(\cdot, 0) &= l_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), & r(\cdot, 0) &= r_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$l_0 = w_0 + \int_0^{v_0} \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda\xi^2} d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 = w_0 - \int_0^{v_0} \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda\xi^2} d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

In order to obtain a local strong solution to the Cauchy problem (2.3)–(2.4) for a fixed $\lambda \geq 0$, we will follow the technique employed in [10, 5].

We consider the auxiliary system with non-local source terms

$$\begin{cases} iF_t + F_{xx} = 2\alpha|u|^2F + \alpha u^2\bar{F} + Fv + \frac{1}{2}u(l_x + r_x), \\ \rho_t = \frac{1}{2}(l + r), \\ l_t - \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} l_x = (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3, \\ r_t + \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda v^2} r_x = (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where \bar{F} is the complex conjugate of F and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= u_0(x) + \int_0^t F(x, s) ds, \\ \tilde{u}(x, t) &= (\Delta - 1)^{-1}(\alpha|u|^2u + u(v - 1) - iF), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} F(\cdot, 0) &= F_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), & \rho(\cdot, 0) &= \rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}), & l(\cdot, 0) &= l_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ r(\cdot, 0) &= r_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), & l_0 \text{ and } r_0 & \text{ given by (2.5).} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We will prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $(F_0, \rho_0, l_0, r_0) \in H^1 \times H^3 \times H^2 \times H^2$, $l_0 - r_0 = f(\rho_{x_0})$. There exists a $T^* = T^*(F_0, \rho_0, l_0, r_0) > 0$, such that for all $T < T^*$ there exists a unique solution (F, ρ, l, r) to the Cauchy problem (2.6)–(2.8) with*

$$\begin{aligned} (F, \rho, l, r) &\in C^j([0, T]; H^{1-2j}) \times C^j([0, T]; H^{3-j}) \\ &\times C^j([0, T]; H^{2-j}) \times C^j([0, T]; H^{2-j}), \quad j = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

From this result, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $(u_0, \rho_0, \rho_1) \in H^3 \times H^3 \times H^2$. There exists a $T^* = T^*(u_0, \rho_0, \rho_1) > 0$ such that for all $T < T^*$ there exists a unique solution (u, ρ) to the Cauchy problem (1.6) with*

$$(u, \rho) \in C^j([0, T]; H^{3-2j}) \times (C^j([0, T]; H^{3-j}) \cap C^{j+1}([0, T]; H^{2-j})), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1 We want to apply a variant of [7, Theorem 6]. Hence, we need to put the Cauchy problem in the framework of real spaces. Introduce the new variables $F_1 = \operatorname{Re}(F)$, $F_2 = \operatorname{Im}(F)$, $u_1 = \operatorname{Re}(u)$, $u_2 = \operatorname{Im}(u)$.

By setting $U = (F_1, F_2, \rho, l, r)$, $F_{10} = \operatorname{Re}(F_0)$ and $F_{20} = \operatorname{Im}(F_0)$, the initial value problem (2.6) and (2.8) can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U + A(U)U = g(t, U), \\ U(\cdot, 0) = U_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$A(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{1+3\lambda v^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1+3\lambda v^2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$g(t, U) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha|u|^2 F_2 - \alpha(u_1^2 - u_2^2)F_2 + 2\alpha u_1 u_2 F_1 + F_2 v + \frac{1}{2}u_2(l_x + r_x) \\ 2\alpha|u|^2 F_1 - \alpha(u_1^2 - u_2^2)F_1 - 2\alpha u_1 u_2 F_2 - F_1 v - \frac{1}{2}u_2(l_x + r_x) \\ \frac{1}{2}(l + r) \\ (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3 \\ (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_0 = (F_{10}, F_{20}, \rho_0, l_0, r_0) \in Y = (H^1(\mathbb{R}))^2 \times (H^2(\mathbb{R}))^3$$

(The condition $\rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ will be used later).

Note that the source term $g(t, U)$ is non-local.

We now set $X = (H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))^2 \times (L^2(\mathbb{R}))^3$ and $S = (1 - \Delta)I$, which is an isomorphism $S : Y \rightarrow X$.

Furthermore, we denote by W_R the open ball in Y of radius R centered at the origin and by $G(X, 1, \omega)$ the set of linear operators $\Lambda : D(\Lambda) \subset X \rightarrow X$, such that

- (1) $-\Lambda$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $\{e^{-t\Lambda}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$;
- (2) for all $t \geq 0$, $\|e^{-t\Lambda}\| \leq e^{\omega t}$, where for all $U \in W_R$,

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} a(\rho, l, r) \right\| \leq c(R), \quad c : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[\text{ is continuous,}$$

$$a(\rho, l, r) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{1+3\lambda v^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1+3\lambda v^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Following from [7, Paragraph 12], we get $A : U = (F_1, F_2, \rho, l, r) \in W_R \rightarrow G(X, 1, \omega)$. It is easy to see that g verifies for a fixed $T > 0$, $\|g(t, U(t))\|_Y \leq \theta_R$, $t \in [0, T]$, $U \in C([0, T]; W_R)$. For (ρ, l, r) in a ball \widetilde{W} in $(H^2(\mathbb{R}))^3$, we set

$$B_0(\rho, l, r) = [(1 - \Delta), a(\rho, l, r)](1 - \Delta)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}((L^2(\mathbb{R}))^3)$$

(see [7, 12.6]). We now introduce the operator $B(U) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $U = (F_1, F_2, \rho, l, r) \in W_R$ by

$$B(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & B_0(\rho, l, r) & & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

In [7, Paragraph 12], Kato proved that for $(\rho, l, r) \in \widetilde{W}$, we have

$$(1 - \Delta)a(\rho, l, r)(1 - \Delta)^{-1} = a(\rho, l, r) + B_0(\rho, l, r).$$

Hence, we easily derive for $U \in W_R$, $SA(U)S^{-1} = A(U) + B(U)$.

Now, for each pair $U, U^* \in C([0, T]; W_R)$, $U = (F_1, F_2, \rho, l, r)$, $U^* = (F_1^*, F_2^*, \rho^*, l^*, r^*)$, we claim that

$$\|g(\cdot, U) - g(\cdot, U^*)\|_{L^1(0, T'; X)} \leq c(T') \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T'} \|U(t) - U^*(t)\|_X, \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 \leq T' \leq T$ and $c(T')$ is a non-decreasing continuous function such that $c(0) = 0$.

Indeed, let us point out that for $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\|hw\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \|h\|_{H^{-1}}\|w\|_{H^1}$. Hence, for example,

$$\|F_1 u_1(u_1^* - u_1)\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \|F_1\|_{H^1}\|u_1\|_{H^1}\|u_1^* - u_1\|_{H^{-1}}$$

and for $t \leq T'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (l_x + r_x) \left(\int_0^t F_2 ds - \int_0^t F_2^* ds \right) \right\|_{H^{-1}} &\leq \|l_x + r_x\|_{H^1} \int_0^t \|F - F^*\|_{H^{-1}} d\tau \\ &\leq c(T') \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T'} \|U(t) - U^*(t)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying [7, Theorem 6] and replacing the local condition (7.7) in [7] by (2.10), we obtain the result described in Theorem 2.1, but with $\rho \in C^j([0, T]; H^{2-j})$, $j = 0, 1$. To obtain $\rho \in C^j([0, T]; H^{3-j})$, it is enough to remark that, since $\rho_t = w$, $\rho_0 \in H^3$, $v_0 = \rho_{0x} \in H^2$, $w_0 \in \rho_1 \in H^2$, we derive $\rho_x = v \in C^j([0, T], H^{2-j})$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 We will follow here the ideas in [5].

If (F, ρ, l, r) is a solution to (2.6) and (2.8), by differentiating (2.7) with respect to t , we obtain $u_t = F$. Applying it to the first equation of (2.6), we obtain

$$(iu_t + u_{xx})_t = 2\alpha|u|^2 F + \alpha u^2 \overline{F} + Fv + \frac{1}{2}u(l_x + r_x) = 2\alpha|u|^2 u_t + \alpha u^2 \overline{u}_t + u_t v + uv_t.$$

Hence, $(iu_t + u_{xx} - \alpha|u|^2 u - uv)_t = 0$ and $iu_t + u_{xx} - \alpha|u|^2 u - uv = \phi_0(x)$, where $\phi_0(x) = iF_0 + u_0'' - \alpha|u_0|^2 u_0 - u_0 v_0$. By choosing $F_0 = i(u_0'' - \alpha|u_0|^2 u_0 - u_0 v_0)$, we obtain that $\phi_0 = 0$ and (u, v) satisfy the first equation in (2.3).

Furthermore, from this equation, we derive

$$u = (\Delta - 1)^{-1}(\alpha|u|^2 u + u(v - 1) - iu_t). \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore $u = \tilde{u}$ and (u, ρ, l, r) satisfy (2.3)–(2.4). Note that $u_t = F \in C([0, T]; H^1)$. Moreover,

$$u(x, t) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t F(x, s) ds \in C([0, T]; H^1).$$

But from (2.11), we have in fact $u \in C([0, T]; H^3)$.

3 Global Well-Posedness for $\lambda = 0$ and Blow-Up Results

In this section, we prove that the local solutions obtained in Theorem 2.2 are in fact global in time in the case where $\beta \leq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. Conversely, if $\beta > 0$, we show the blow-up of the local solutions in finite time under some conditions on the initial data.

We consider the initial data $(u_0, \rho_0, \rho_1) \in H^3 \times H^3 \times H^2$. Let

$$(u, \rho) \in C^j([0, T]; H^{3-2j}) \times (C^j([0, T]; H^{3-j}) \cap C^{j+1}([0, T]; H^{2-j})), \quad j = 0, 1$$

be the unique corresponding maximal solution to the Cauchy problem (1.6). We begin the proof by deriving the following conservation laws:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |u|^2 dx = 0, \quad t \in [0, T[, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T[, \quad (3.2)$$

where the energy $E(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) = & \frac{1}{2} \int (\rho_t)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int (\rho_x)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int (\rho_x)^4 dx - \frac{\beta}{4} \int \rho^4 dx + \int \rho_x |u|^2 dx \\ & + \int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int |u|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first one we multiply the first equation in (1.6) by \bar{u} , and integrate the imaginary part. To obtain the conservation of energy, we derive from (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \int i u_t \bar{u}_t dx + \operatorname{Re} \int u_{xx} \bar{u}_t dx &= \operatorname{Re} \int \rho_x u \bar{u}_t dx + \alpha \operatorname{Re} \int |u|^2 u \bar{u}_t dx, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |u_x|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int |u|^4 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho_x |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_x |u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int |u|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x \partial t} |u|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} (|u|^2)_x dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\rho_x + \lambda(\rho_x)^3] - \beta \rho^3 \right\} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho_t)^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho_x)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{8} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho_x)^4 dx - \frac{\beta}{8} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho^4 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.2) is proved.

Next, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\beta \leq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$. Then Theorem 2.2 holds for $T^* = +\infty$.*

Proof In order to prove this result, it is sufficient to derive a priori bounds for the norms $\|u\|_{H^3}$, $\|\rho\|_{H^3}$, $\|\rho_t\|_{H^2}$ and $\|\rho_{tt}\|_{H^1}$.

Let us begin the proof by noticing that $|\int \rho_x |u|^2 dx| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int (\rho_x)^2 dx + \int |u|^4 dx$. By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (3.1), we have $\|u\|_{L^4}^4 \leq c_0 \|u\|_{L^2}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^2} \leq c_0 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^2} \leq c \|u_0\|_{L^2}^6 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2$. Since $\beta \leq 0$, we obtain from (3.2) that

$$\int (\rho_t)^2 dx + \int [(\rho_x)^2 + \lambda(\rho_x)^4] dx + \int |u_x|^2 dx \leq c \quad (3.3)$$

with c depending only on $(\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|\rho_0\|_{H^2}, \|\rho_1\|_{H^1})$.

Moreover, since $\rho(t) = \rho_0 + \int_0^t \rho_t(\tau) d\tau$, we have $\|\rho(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\rho_t(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau$. Hence, since $\beta \leq 0$, we have

$$\int (\rho_t)^2 dx + \int (\rho)^2 dx + \int (\rho_x)^2 dx + \int |u|^2 dx + \int |u_x|^2 dx \leq C(1+t) \quad (3.4)$$

with C depending exclusively on the initial data.

Next, we estimate $\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2}$, $\|\rho_{xt}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\rho_{xx}\|_{L^2}$. For $\lambda = 0$, the system (2.3) reads

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = uv + \alpha|u|^2u, \\ \rho_t = \frac{1}{2}(l+r), \\ l_t - l_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3, \\ r_t + r_x = (|u|^2)_x + \beta\rho^3. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

We put

$$\gamma(t) = \int (r_x)^2 dx + \int (l_x)^2 dx + \int |u_t|^2 dx.$$

In what follows, we will denote by $A(t)$ a generic positive continuous function $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, which can change from line to line.

By differentiating with respect to x the last equation in (3.5), multiplying by r_x and integrating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (r_x)^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \int |uu_{xx}r_x| dx + 2 \int |u_x^2 r_x| dx + 3|\beta| \int \rho^2 |\rho_x r_x| dx \\ & \leq A(t) \left[\left(\int r_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |u_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u\|_{\infty} \left(\int |u_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int r_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int r_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq A(t) \left[\left(\int r_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |u_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int r_x^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the Sobolev injection $\|u_x\|_{\infty} \leq c\|u_x\|_{H^1}$ and (3.4) are used.

By a similar estimate for l_x , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int ((r_x)^2 + (l_x)^2) dx \leq A(t) \left[\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \left(\int |u_{xx}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

From the first equation in (3.5), we have

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_t\|_{L^2} + A(t) \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + A(t). \quad (3.7)$$

By using it in (3.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int ((r_x)^2 + (l_x)^2) dx \leq A(t) [\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \gamma(t)]. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, since $\rho_t = \frac{1}{2}(l+r)$, we have

$$\|\rho_{xt}\|_{L^2} \leq c\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t). \quad (3.9)$$

Now, multiplying the first equation in (3.5) by \bar{u}_t , integrating the imaginary part and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |u_t|^2 dx &= \int \rho_{xt} \operatorname{Im}(u \bar{u}_t) dx + \alpha \int (|u|^2)_t \operatorname{Im}(u \bar{u}_t) dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_\infty \|\rho_{xt}\|_{L^2} \left(\int |u_t|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2|\alpha| \|u\|_\infty^2 \int |u_t|^2 dx \leq c\gamma(t). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \gamma(t) &\leq A(t) [\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \gamma(t)] \leq A(t) [1 + \gamma(t)], \\ \gamma(t) &\leq (1 + \gamma(0)) e^{\int_0^t A(\tau) d\tau} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.7) and (3.9), we have $\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_{xt}\|_{L^2} \leq A(t)$. By the second and the third equations in (3.5), we have $\|l_t\|_{L^2} + \|r_t\|_{L^2} \leq A(t)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \|l_t + r_t\|_{L^2} \leq A(t), \\ \|\rho_{xx}\|_{L^2} &= \|\rho_{tt} - (|u|^2)_x - \beta \rho^3\|_{L^2} \leq A(t). \end{aligned}$$

To obtain a continuous bound on $\|\rho_{xxx}\|_{L^2}$, $\|u_{xxx}\|_{L^2}$, $\|\rho_{txx}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\rho_{ttx}\|_{L^2}$, the exact same method can be used by setting

$$\gamma(t) = \int (r_{xx})^2 dx + \int (l_{xx})^2 dx + \int |u_{xt}|^2 dx$$

and differentiating system (3.5) with respect to x .

We now assume $\beta > 0$. In what follows, we will consider the following conditions on the initial data:

$$\int \rho_0 \rho_1 dx > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$E(0) \leq -\frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{9}{4} + 2|\alpha| \right)^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^6. \quad (3.11)$$

We will prove the following blow-up result.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\beta > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2, by assuming that the initial data (u_0, ρ_0, ρ_1) satisfy conditions (3.10) and (3.11), there exists a time $0 < T^* \leq T_0 := (\int \rho_0^2 dx)(\int \rho_0 \rho_1 dx)^{-1}$, such that, if the solution exists in $[0, T^*]$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^* -} \int \rho^2 dx = +\infty.$$

Proof Following [13, Chapter 10, Paragraph 13], we put

$$G(t) = \int \rho^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = (G(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

We have $F'(t) = -\frac{1}{2} G(t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} G'(t) = -G(t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int \rho \rho_t dx$, and from (3.10), $F'(0) < 0$.

Furthermore, we set $Q(t) = -2G(t)^{\frac{5}{2}} F''(t) = G''(t)G(t) - \frac{3}{2} G'(t)^2$ with

$$G''(t) = 6 \int (\rho_t)^2 dx + 2H(t) \quad \text{and} \quad H(t) = \int [\rho \rho_{tt} - 2(\rho_t)^2] dx.$$

We have

$$Q(t) = 6 \left[\left(\int \rho^2 dx \right) \left(\int (\rho_t)^2 dx \right) - \left(\int (\rho \rho_t)^2 dx \right) \right] + 2G(t)H(t).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain $Q(t) \geq 0$, and consequently $F''(t) \leq 0$ provided $H(t) \geq 0$.

The last fact is easy to check. From (1.6) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= -4E(t) + 4 \left[\int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int |u|^4 dx + \frac{3}{4} \int \rho_x |u|^2 dx \right] + \int (\rho_x)^2 dx \\ &= -4E(0) + 4 \left[\int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int |u|^4 dx + \frac{3}{4} \int \rho_x |u|^2 dx \right] + \int (\rho_x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$3 \int \rho_x |u|^2 dx \leq \int (\rho_x)^2 dx + \frac{9}{4} \int |u|^4 dx.$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (3.1), we have

$$\left(\frac{9}{4} + 2|\alpha| \right) \int |u|^4 dx \leq \left(\frac{9}{4} + 2|\alpha| \right) \|u_0\|_{L^2}^3 \|u_x\|_{L^2} \leq 4 \int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{9}{4} + 2|\alpha| \right)^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^6.$$

From condition (3.11), we have $H(t) \geq -4E(0) - \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{9}{4} + 2|\alpha| \right)^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^6 \geq 0$.

Hence, we have shown that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $F''(t) \leq 0$, which implies Theorem 3.2.

4 Global Existence of Weak Solutions to the Quasilinear System

For the study of the existence of a global weak solution to the Cauchy problem (1.6), we consider for $\epsilon > 0$, the regularized problem (see [4] for the case $\beta = 0$)

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = u\rho_x + \alpha|u|^2 u, \\ \rho_t = w, \\ w_t - \epsilon w_{xx} = \beta\rho^3 + (\sigma(\rho_x))_x + (|u|^2)_x \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with the initial data (we have dropped the ϵ parameter on u , w and ρ)

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, x) &= u_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \rho(0, x) = \rho_0(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ w(x, 0) &= \rho_t(0, x) = \rho_1(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here, $\sigma(v) = v + \lambda v^3$ and $\lambda > 0$ (hence, $\sigma'(v) = 1 + 3\lambda v^2 \geq 1$).

For a smooth solution to (4.1)–(4.2), the energy identity (3.2) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int w^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int v^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int v^4 dx - \frac{\beta}{4} \int \rho^4 dx \right. \\ & \left. + \int v|u|^2 dx + \int |u_x|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int |u|^4 dx \right\} \\ &= -\epsilon \int (w_x)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where we have put $v = \rho_x$. On the other hand, the conservation law

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int |u|^2 dx \right) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

still holds. Also, we deduce (see [4] and following [14])

$$\begin{aligned} \int [w_t v_x - \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2] dx &= \int (|u|^2)_x v_x dx + \beta \int \rho^3 v_x dx + \epsilon \int w_{xx} v_x dx, \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{dt} \int w_x v dx + \int (w_x)^2 dx - \int \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2 dx \\ &= \int (|u|^2)_x v_x dx + \beta \int \rho^3 \rho_{xx} dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (v_x)^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int w_x v dx &= - \int w_{xt} v dx - \int w_x v_t dx = \int w_t v_x dx - \int w_x v_t dx, \\ v_t &= \rho_{xt} = w_x. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this identity over the time interval $[0, t]$, we obtain with $v_0(x) = v(x, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int w_x v dx + \int \rho_{1x} v_0 dx + \int_0^t \int (w_x)^2 dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2 dx d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \int (|u|^2)_x v_x dx d\tau - 3\beta \int_0^t \int \rho^2 (\rho_x)^2 dx d\tau + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (v_x)^2 dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (v_{0x})^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\int w_x v dx = \int w v_x dx$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2 dx d\tau + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (v_x)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int (v_x)^2 dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int w^2 dx + \int |v_0 \rho_{1x}| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int (v_{0x})^2 dx + 3\beta \int_0^t \int \rho^2 v^2 dx d\tau + \epsilon \int_0^t \int (w_x)^2 dx d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \int |u u_x v_x| dx d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$2 \int_0^t \int |u u_x v_x| dx d\tau \leq 2 \int_0^t \int |u u_x|^2 dx d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int (v_x)^2 dx d\tau. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we assume $\beta \leq 0$. Since $\epsilon > 0$, we can derive from (4.3), as in (3.3),

$$\int w^2 dx + \int (v^2 + \lambda v^4) dx + \int |u_x|^2 dx + \epsilon \int_0^t \int (w_x)^2 dx d\tau \leq C, \quad (4.7)$$

where C only depends on $(\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|\rho_0\|_{H^2}, \|\rho_1\|_{H^1})$.

Hence, from (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$2 \int_0^t \int |u u_x v_x| dx d\tau \leq Ct + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2 dx d\tau. \quad (4.8)$$

Taking $\epsilon \leq 1$, we deduce from (4.5)–(4.8) that

$$\epsilon \int_0^t \int \sigma'(v)(v_x)^2 dx d\tau + \epsilon^2 \int (v_x)^2 dx + \epsilon |\beta| \int_0^t \int \rho^2 v^2 dx d\tau \leq C(1+t). \quad (4.9)$$

Let us now analyse the problem of the existence and uniqueness of a solution

$$(u, \rho, w) \in C([0, +\infty[; H^1) \times C([0, +\infty[; H^2) \times C([0, +\infty[; H^1)$$

to the Cauchy problem (4.1)–(4.2). Without loss of generality, we may assume $\epsilon = 1$. We start with the existence and uniqueness of a local (in time) solution. We fix $0 < T < +\infty$ and introduce the Banach spaces $X_T = C([0, T]; H^1)$ (complex) and $Y_T = C([0, T]; H^2)$ (real) endowed with the usual norms. Furthermore, we consider the product space $\tilde{B}_R^T \times B_R^T$, where

$$\tilde{B}_R^T = \{u \in X_T : \|u\|_{X_T} \leq R\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_R^T = \{u \in Y_T : \|u\|_{Y_T} \leq R\}.$$

Finally, we consider the application $\Phi : (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\rho}) \in \tilde{B}_R^T \times B_R^T \rightarrow (u, \rho) \in X_T \times Y_T$. Here, u denotes the solution to the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = \tilde{\rho}_x \tilde{u} + \alpha |\tilde{u}|^2 \tilde{u}, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \in H^1 \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 + \int_0^t w d\tau, \quad \rho(\cdot, 0) = \rho_0 \in H^2, \quad (4.11)$$

where w is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} w_t - w_{xx} = \beta \tilde{\rho}^3 + (\sigma(\tilde{\rho}_x))_x + (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x, \\ w(\cdot, 0) = w_0(x) \in H^1, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

verifying $w \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, $w_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2)$. We have

$$u(t) = e^{it\partial_{xx}} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_{xx}} (\tilde{\rho}_x \tilde{u} + \alpha |\tilde{u}|^2 \tilde{u})(s) ds$$

and $\beta \tilde{\rho}^3 + (\sigma(\tilde{\rho}_x))_x + (|\tilde{u}|^2)_x \in C([0, T]; L^2)$.

The existence and uniqueness of a local solution is a consequence of the Banach fixed-point theorem for a convenient choice of R and T , $R > \max(\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|\rho_0\|_{H^2})$. We have $w_t - w_{xx} = \beta \rho^3 + (\sigma(\rho_x))_x + (|u|^2)_x$. From (4.3), (4.4), (4.7) and (4.9)–(4.11), we derive the a priori estimate $\|w_t - w_{xx}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \leq C(T)$, $C \in C([0, +\infty[; \mathbb{R}_+)$, which implies $w \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$ and a similar a priori estimate for $\|w\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2)}$ and so for $\|w_t\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}$ and $\|w\|_{C([0, T]; H^1)}$.

We conclude that $\rho \in Y_T$ and $u \in X_T$ with similar estimates for $\|\rho\|_{Y_T}$ and $\|u\|_{X_T}$. Hence, we can extend the solution to $[0, +\infty[$.

Hence, if we write

$$\rho_\epsilon(t) = \rho_0 + \int_0^t w_\epsilon d\tau, \quad \rho_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq 1, \quad (4.13)$$

we get, with

$$u_\epsilon(0, x) = u_0(x) \in H^1, \quad v_\epsilon(0, x) = v_0(x) \in H^1, \quad w_\epsilon(0, x) = \rho_t(0, x) = \rho_1(x) \in H^1, \quad (4.14)$$

a unique solution

$$(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon, w_\epsilon) \in (C([0, +\infty[; H^1))^3 \quad (4.15)$$

to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_{\epsilon t} + u_{\epsilon xx} = u_{\epsilon}v_{\epsilon} + \alpha|u_{\epsilon}|^2u_{\epsilon}, \\ v_{\epsilon t} = w_{\epsilon x}, \\ w_{\epsilon t} = (\sigma(v))_x + (|u_{\epsilon}|^2)_x + \beta\rho_{\epsilon}^3 + \epsilon w_{\epsilon xx} \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

with the initial data (4.14).

Moreover, for each $T > 0$, by (4.4), (4.7) and the first equation in (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{u_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon} &\text{ bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, +\infty; H^1), \\ \{u_{\epsilon t}\}_{\epsilon} &\text{ bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, +\infty; H^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{u_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon}$ belongs to a compact set of $L^2(0, T; L^2(I_R))$ for each interval $I_R = [-R, R]$, $R \geq 0$. By applying a standard diagonalization method, we conclude that there exists a $u \in L^{\infty}(0, +\infty; H^1)$ and a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon}$, such that

$$u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u, \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(0, +\infty; H^1) \text{ weak* and in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[).$$

By (4.7), we also have $\{w_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon}$ bounded in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[)$. With $\Sigma(v) = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}v^4$, we have $\{v_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon}$ bounded in $L^{\Sigma}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[)$, where $v \in L^{\Sigma}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty[)$ means $\int_K \Sigma(v) dx dt < +\infty$ for each compact $K \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[$. Finally, by (4.13), we have $\{\rho_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon}$ bounded in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[)$.

By (4.7) and (4.9), we derive for $\epsilon \leq 1$,

$$\epsilon \int_0^t \int [(w_{\epsilon x})^2 + \sigma'(v_{\epsilon})(v_{\epsilon x})^2] dx d\tau \leq C(1+t), \quad (4.17)$$

where C only depends on $(\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|\rho_0\|_{H^2}, \|\rho_1\|_{H^1})$.

Now we consider the quasilinear hyperbolic system

$$\begin{cases} v_t = w_x, \\ w_t = (\sigma(v))_x, \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

and let $(\eta(v, w), q(v, w))$ $((v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$ be a pair of smooth convex entropy-entropy flux for (4.18), such that η_w , η_{ww} and $\frac{\eta_{vw}}{\sqrt{\sigma'}}$ are bounded in \mathbb{R}^2 .

From (4.4) and the estimates (4.7) and (4.17), we can deduce that (see [14, 2, 4])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(v_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} q(v_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})$$

belongs to a compact subset of $W^{-1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[)$.

Hence, we can use a result on compensated compactness of Serre and Shearer [14] to conclude that $\{(v_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\}_{\epsilon}$ is pre-compact in $(L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[))^2$. Hence, there exist a subsequence $\{(u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon})\}_{\epsilon}$ and a $(u, v, w) \in L^{\infty}([0, +\infty[; H^1) \times L^{\Sigma}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[) \times L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{\epsilon}, v_{\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon}) &\rightarrow (u, v, w), \quad \text{in } (L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[))^3, \\ \rho_{\epsilon} &= \rho_0 + \int_0^t w_{\epsilon} d\tau \rightarrow \rho = \rho_0 + \int_0^t w d\tau, \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty[). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain from (4.16) the following result.

Theorem 4.1 Assume $(u_0, \rho_0, \rho_1) \in H^1 \times H^2 \times H^1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta \leq 0$. Then, there exists a $(u, v, w) \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; H^1) \times L_{\text{loc}}^\Sigma(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty]) \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty])$, such that, with $\rho(x, t) = \rho_0(x) + \int_0^t w(x, \tau) d\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \int_0^{+\infty} \int u \theta_t dx dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \int u_x \theta_x dx dt + \int u_0(x) \theta(x, 0) dx \\ & = \int_0^{+\infty} \int v u \theta dx dt + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \int |u|^2 u \theta dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty])$ (complex-valued), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int (v \phi_t - w \phi_x) dx dt + \int \rho_0(x) \phi(x, 0) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} \int (w \psi_t - \sigma(v) \psi_x + \beta \rho^3 \psi) dx dt \\ & + \int \rho_1 \psi(x, 0) dx + \int_0^{+\infty} \int (|u|^2)_x \psi dx dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty])$ (real-valued).

References

- [1] Braun, O. M., Fei, Z., Kivshar, Y. S., et al., Kinks in the Klein-Gordon model with anharmonic interatomic interactions: a variational approach, *Physics Letters A*, **157**, 1991, 241–245.
- [2] Caetano, F., On the existence of weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations with a source term, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **17**, 2004, 147–167.
- [3] Dias, J. P. and Figueira, M., Existence of weak solutions for a quasilinear version of Benney equations, *J. Hyp. Diff. Eq.*, **4**, 2007, 555–563.
- [4] Dias, J. P., Figueira, M. and Frid, H., Vanishing viscosity with short wave-long wave interactions for systems of conservation laws, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **196**, 2010, 981–1010.
- [5] Dias, J. P., Figueira, M. and Oliveira, F., Existence of local strong solutions for a quasilinear Benney system, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **344**, 2007, 493–496.
- [6] Ginibre, J., Tsutsumi, Y. and Velo, G., On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **151**, 1997, 384–486.
- [7] Kato, T., Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, **448**, 1975, 25–70.
- [8] Konotop, V., Localized electron-phonon states originated by a three-wave interaction, *Physical Review B*, **55**(18), 1997, R11926–R11928.
- [9] Linares, F. and Matheus, C., Well-posedness for the 1D Zakharov-Rubenchik system, *Adv. Diff. Eqs.*, **14**, 2009, 261–288.
- [10] Oliveira, F., Stability of the solitons for the one-dimensional Zakharov-Rubenchik equation, *Physica D*, **175**, 2003, 220–240.
- [11] Oliveira, F., Adiabatic limit of the Zakharov-Rubenchik equation, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **61**, 2008, 13–27.
- [12] Ozawa, T. and Tsutsumi, Y., Existence and smoothing effect of solutions to the Zakharov equation, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **28**, 1992, 329–361.
- [13] Reed, M. and Simon, B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. 2, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1975.
- [14] Serre, D. and Shearer, J., Convergence with physical viscosity for nonlinear elasticity, unpublished manuscript, 1993.
- [15] Shibata, Y. and Tsutsumi, Y., Local existence of solutions for the initial boundary problem of fully nonlinear wave equation, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **11**, 1987, 335–365.
- [16] Wang, X. and Liang, X., Electron-phonon interaction in ternary mixed crystals, *Physical Review B*, **42**, 1990, 8915–8922.