

Sharp Observability Inequalities for the 1-D Plate Equation with a Potential*

Xiaoyu FU¹

Abstract This paper deals with the problem of sharp observability inequality for the 1-D plate equation $w_{tt} + w_{xxxx} + q(t, x)w = 0$ with two types of boundary conditions $w = w_{xx} = 0$ or $w = w_x = 0$, and $q(t, x)$ being a suitable potential. The author shows that the sharp observability constant is of order $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}})$ for $\|q\|_\infty \geq 1$. The main tools to derive the desired observability inequalities are the global Carleman inequalities, based on a new point wise inequality for the fourth order plate operator.

Keywords Observability inequality, Plate equation, Point-wise estimate, Carleman estimate

2000 MR Subject Classification 93B05, 93B07

1 Introduction

Let $T > 0$ be given, Ω be a bounded domain (i.e., a bounded open interval) in $\mathbb{R}$ with boundary Γ (i.e., the endpoints of the interval). Put $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$ and $\Sigma = (0, T) \times \Gamma$.

We are interested in the following plate equation with a potential:

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} + w_{xxxx} + q_1 w = 0, & \text{in } Q, \\ w = w_{xx} = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = w^0, \quad w_t(0) = w^1, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $q_1 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$. Also, we shall consider the same plate equation but with different boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} + w_{xxxx} + q_2 w = 0, & \text{in } Q, \\ w = w_x = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = w^0, \quad w_t(0) = w^1, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $q_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$. Set

$$W_1 \triangleq \{w \in H^3(\Omega) \mid w|_\Gamma = w_{xx}|_\Gamma = 0\}, \quad W_2 \triangleq \{w \in H^3(\Omega) \mid w|_\Gamma = w_x|_\Gamma = 0\}.$$

Manuscript received October 21, 2010. Revised November 9, 2011.

¹College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China; Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India. E-mail: rj_xy@163.com

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10901114), the Doctoral Fund for New Teachers of the Ministry of Education of China (No. 20090181120084) and the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2011CB808002).

Based on the semigroup theory (see [12, Theorem 1.4, p. 185]), it is easy to show that system (1.1) admits a unique weak solution $w \in C([0, T]; W_1) \cap C^1([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$, while system (1.2) admits one and only one weak solution $w \in C([0, T]; W_2) \cap C^1([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$.

In what follows, we shall denote by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ the (usual) norms on $L^\infty(Q)$ and $L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$, respectively. Denote the energy of (1.1) by

$$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\|w_{tx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|w_{xxx}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|q_1\|_\infty \|w_x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2] \quad (1.3)$$

for the solution w to system (1.1). Next, we define an unbounded operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} \triangleq \partial_{xxxx}, \quad D(\mathcal{A}) \triangleq H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega). \quad (1.4)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is a self-adjoint operator. Assume that $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ and the corresponding eigenvectors $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ consist of the complete orthogonal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then, we define $\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}) \triangleq \left\{ w = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j e_j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 \mu_j^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \right\}, & \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}} w \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j^{\frac{1}{4}} e_j \in L^2(\Omega), \\ D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}}) \triangleq \left\{ w = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j e_j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 \mu_j^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty \right\}, & \mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}} w \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j^{\frac{3}{4}} e_j \in L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

We claim that $\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is also a self-adjoint operator on the domain $D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}})$. More precisely, for any $w, \hat{w} \in D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}})$, there exist $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j e_j, \quad \hat{w} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k e_k.$$

Then, it is easy to see that (remember that $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ consist of the complete orthogonal basis)

$$(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}} w, \hat{w})_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j^{\frac{1}{4}} e_j, \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k e_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j e_j, \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k \mu_k^{\frac{1}{4}} e_k \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (w, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}} \hat{w})_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Now, for the solution w to (1.2), we define the energy as follows:

$$E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\|\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}} w_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}} w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|q_2\|_\infty \|\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}} w(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2]. \quad (1.6)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study the observability constant $P(T; q_1)$ of system (1.1), defined as the smallest (possibly infinite) constant such that the following observability estimate for system (1.1) holds:

$$E_1(0) \leq P(T, q_1) \int_0^T \int_\omega (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt, \quad \forall (w^0, w^1) \in W_1 \times H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.7)$$

Also, we shall study the observability constant $P(T; q_2)$ of system (1.2) such that the following observability estimate for system (1.2) holds:

$$E_2(0) \leq P(T, q_2) \int_0^T \int_\omega (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt, \quad \forall (w^0, w^1) \in W_2 \times H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.8)$$

In (1.7)–(1.8), ω is a nonempty open subset of Ω . These inequalities, the observability inequalities, allow us to estimate the total energy of solutions in terms of the energy localized in the observation subdomain ω .

It is well-known that, observability inequalities are relevant to the control problems (see [13]). Several authors have already studied the problem of controllability for the plate equation. We can mention among them [9, 13]. In 1988, J.-L. Lions introduced the so-called Hilbert Uniqueness Method (HUM), which reduces the exact controllability problem for a large class of partial differential equations to the obtention of suitable observability estimates for their dual systems. After that, great progress on control problem of PDEs has been made (see [6, 16] and the rich references therein). In this paper, we are interested in the problem of sharp observability inequality for the plate equation with a potential. Similar observability problems have been considered for the parabolic and hyperbolic equations in [2], and for the Schrödinger equations in [11]. We refer to [5, 13] for observability of Euler-Bernoulli plate equation, and [14] for observability of Kirchhoff plate systems. However, to the author's best knowledge, there are no references considering the sharp observability of system (1.2). Moreover, the observability constant derived in [5, 14] is of order $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{5}})$, q being the potential involved in the system. We shall see later that the observability constant for one dimensional plate equation can be improved to $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{7}})$ for $\|q\|_\infty \geq 1$. We refer to [8] for a related result for the observability inequality of a one-dimensional fourth order parabolic equation with potential.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The main results are stated in Section 2. In Section 3, we shall collect some preliminary results for the plate equation. In Section 4, we establish a point wise inequality for the fourth order plate operator, via which in Section 5, we show Carleman estimates for the plate equation with two types of boundary conditions. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of our main results.

2 Statement of the Main Results

For any fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$, we define

$$\begin{cases} \omega = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\Gamma_0) \cap \Omega, \\ \Gamma_0 \triangleq \{x \in \Gamma \mid (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\nu = \nu(x)$ is the unit outward normal vector.

Throughout this paper, we shall use $C = C(\Omega, \omega)$ to denote generic positive constants which may vary from line to line. Our main results can be stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let Γ_0 and ω be given by (2.1). Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$ and any $q_1 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$, the weak solution w to system (1.1) satisfies estimate (1.7) with the same observability constant $P(T, q_1) > 0$ verifying*

$$P(T, q_1) \leq P_1(T, q_1)P_2(T, q_1)P_3(T, q_1), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(T, q_1) &= \exp\left[C\left(1 + \frac{1}{T}\right)\right], \quad P_2(T, q_1) = \exp(CT\|q_1\|_{1,\infty}), \\ P_3(T, q_1) &= \exp(C\|q_1\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{7}} + C\|q_1\|_\infty^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Also, we have the following observability estimate for system (1.2).

Theorem 2.2 *Let Γ_0 and ω be given by (2.1). Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$ and any $q_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$, the weak solution w to system (1.2) satisfies estimate (1.8) with observability constant $P(T, q_2) > 0$ verifying*

$$P(T, q_2) \leq P_1(T, q_2)P_2(T, q_2)P_3(T, q_2), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(T, q_2) &= \exp\left[C\left(1 + \frac{1}{T}\right)\right], \quad P_2(T, q_2) = \exp(CT\|q_2\|_{1,\infty}), \\ P_3(T, q_2) &= \exp(C\|q_2\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{7}} + C\|q_2\|_\infty^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.1 For the multidimensional plate equations with zero Dirichlet boundary condition $w = \Delta w = 0$, it was shown in [5] that the optimal observability constant P_3 should be $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{6}})$ ($q = q_1, q_2$) in even dimensional space. For one space-dimensional case, Theorems 2.1–2.2 show that the observability constant $P_3(T, q)$ can be improved to be $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{7}})$ for $\|q\|_\infty \geq 1$. This phenomenon is similar to the observability estimate for the wave equation with a potential q . Indeed, in even dimensional space, the corresponding optimal observability constant $P_2(T, q)$ should be $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}})$ (see [2]), while for the case of one-dimensional space, the observability constant can be improved to $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{4}})$ (see [15]).

Remark 2.2 It should be pointed out that the technique developed here to prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 cannot be applied to multidimensional plate equations. Moreover, according to [5], we know that the optimal observability constant $P_3(T, q)$ cannot arrive at this level $\exp(C\|q\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{7}})$ for $\|q\|_\infty \geq 1$.

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall collect some preliminary results that we need. First, by using the usual energy estimate, one can easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $T > 0$ and $q_1(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$E_1(t) \leq CE_1(s)e^{CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, T]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof Multiplying both sides of the first equation in (1.1) by w_{txx} , integrating it on Ω , using integration by parts, by Hölder inequality and Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_1(t)}{dt} &= - \int_\Omega (q_1)_x w w_{txx} dx - \int_\Omega q_1 w_x w_{txx} dx + \|q_1\|_\infty \int_\Omega w_x w_{txx} dx \\ &\leq C(1 + \|q_1\|_{1,\infty})E_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, by (3.2) and noting the time reversibility of (1.1), one gets (3.1) immediately.

In a way similar to Lemma 3.1, we have the following energy estimate for system (1.2).

Lemma 3.2 *Let $T > 0$ and $q_2(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$E_2(t) \leq CE_2(s)e^{CT(1+\|q_2\|_{1,\infty})}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, T]. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof By the elementary calculus, and using Hölder inequality and Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dE_2(t)}{dt} &= (\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_t, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_{tt})_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}}w, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{3}{4}}w_t)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q_2\|_\infty (\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_t)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= -(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}w_t, q_2w)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q_2\|_\infty (\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_t)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= -(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}(q_2w), \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_t)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q_2\|_\infty (\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{4}}w_t)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C(1 + \|q_2\|_{1,\infty})E_2(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now, by (3.4) and applying Gronwall's inequality, we conclude the desired result.

Further, we recall the following boundary trace estimates for the plate equation (1.1).

Lemma 3.3 *Let Γ_0 and ω satisfy (2.1). Let $T > 0$, $0 \leq s_1 < s_0 < s'_0 < s'_1 \leq T$ and $q_1 \in L^\infty(Q)$. Suppose that $w(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{s_0}^{s'_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{CT^2(1+T^2)(1+\|q_1\|_\infty)}{(s_0-s_1)^2(s'_1-s'_0)^2} \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_\omega (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof The proof is very close to that of [13, Lemma 4.4]. However, for the reader's convenience, we give some details here. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1 Fix δ_1 such that $0 < \delta_1 < \delta$, where δ is given in assumption (2.1). Denote

$$\omega_1 \triangleq \mathcal{O}_{\delta_1}(\Gamma_0) \cap \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\omega_1 \subset \omega. \tag{3.7}$$

Choose $h_1 = h_1(x) \in C^3(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $h_1 = \nu$ on Γ , and choose $h_2 = h_2(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; [0, 1])$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq h_2(x) \leq 1, & x \in \overline{\Omega}, \\ h_2(x) \equiv 1, & x \in \omega_1, \\ h_2(x) \equiv 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Put

$$h = h(t, x) \triangleq (t - s_1)^2 (s'_1 - t)^2 h_1(x) h_2(x). \tag{3.9}$$

By [13, Lemma 4.1], it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[hw_{xxx}^2 + hw_{tx}^2 - 2hw_t w_{xxt} + 2h_x w_t w_{tx} - h_{xx} w_t^2]_x \\
&= 2(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx})hw_{xxx} - 2(w_t h w_{xxx})_t + h_x(3w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) + 2w_t h_t w_{xxx} - h_{xxx} w_t^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Next, integrating (3.10) on $(s_1, s'_1) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, by (1.1) and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\Gamma} h \cdot \nu (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dx dt \\
&= \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\Omega} [-2q_1 h w_{xxx} + h_x (3w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) + 2w_t h_t w_{xxx} - h_{xxx} w_t^2] dx dt \\
&\leq CT^2(1 + T^2)(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Step 2 Let us estimate the term $\int_{s_0}^{s'_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} w_x^2 dx dt$.
Set

$$z = -iw_t + w_{xx}.$$

By (1.1), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} -iw_t + w_{xx} = z, & \text{in } Q, \\ w = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = w^0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Put

$$g = g(t, x) \triangleq (t - s_1)(s'_1 - t)h_1(x)h_2^2(x). \tag{3.13}$$

Using multiplier gw_x as above (see [10]), for any $x \in \omega$, we choose h_2 such that $|\partial_x h_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}h_2$. By using Poincaré inequality, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_0}^{s'_0} \int_{\Gamma} g \cdot \nu w_x^2 dx dt &\leq CT^2(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} h_2^2(|w_t|^2 + |w_{xx}|^2 + w_x^2) dx dt \\
&\leq CT^2(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} [(h_2 w_t)_x^2 + (h_2 w_{xx})_x^2 + w_x^2] dx dt \\
&\leq CT^2(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_x^2) dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where the following fact is used:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} [(h_2 w_t)_x^2 + (h_2 w_{xx})_x^2] dx dt \\
&\leq 2 \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (\partial_x h_2)^2 (w_t^2 + w_{xx}^2) dx dt + 2 \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} h_2^2 (w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} h_2^2 (w_t^2 + w_{xx}^2) dx dt + 2 \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} h_2^2 (w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.14), we get the desired result.

Finally, we need the following boundary trace estimates for the plate equation (1.2).

Lemma 3.4 *Let Γ_0 and ω satisfy (2.1). Let $T > 0$, $0 \leq s_1 < s_0 < s'_0 < s'_1 \leq T$ and $q_2 \in L^\infty(Q)$. Suppose that $w(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.2). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{s_0}^{s'_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{CT^2(1+T^2)(1+\|q_2\|_\infty)}{(s_0-s_1)^2(s'_1-s'_0)^2} \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof Integrating (3.10) on $(s_1, s'_1) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, by (1.2) and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\Gamma} h \cdot \nu w_{xxx}^2 dx dt \leq CT^2(1+T^2)(1+\|q_2\|_\infty) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dx dt. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, by elementary calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2hw_x(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx}) &= (2hw_x w_t)_t - (hw_t^2)_x + h_x w_t^2 - 2h_t w_x w_t + (2hw_x w_{xxx} - hw_{xx}^2)_x \\ &\quad - 2h_x w_x w_{xxx} + h_x w_{xx}^2 w_{xxx} + h_{xx} w_{xx}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Integrating (3.17) on $(s_1, s'_1) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, by (1.2) and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\int_{s_0}^{s'_0} \int_{\Gamma} h \cdot \nu w_{xx}^2 dx dt \leq CT^2(1+T^2)(1+\|q_2\|_\infty) \int_{s_1}^{s'_1} \int_{\omega} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt. \quad (3.18)$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.18), we get the desired result.

4 A Point-Wise Identity for the Plate Operator

In this section, we shall establish a point wise weighted identity for 1-D plate operator, which will play an important role in the sequel. We have the following point wise inequality.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $w \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\ell \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\Psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. For any fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda, c > 0$, set*

$$\begin{cases} \ell(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(x - x_0)^2 - c \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right], \\ \theta(t, x) = e^{\ell(t, x)}, \quad z(t, x) = \theta(t, x) w(t, x). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx}) I_1 + M_t + V_x \\ &= |I_1|^2 + Bz^2 + Ez_x^2 + 6\ell_{xx} z_{tx}^2 + 2\ell_{xx} z_{xxx}^2 + 24\ell_t \ell_x z_t z_x + \Psi_x z_x z_{xx} - \Psi_x z z_{xxx} \\ & \quad + [\ell_{tt} + 6\ell_{xx}^2 + \Psi + 18\ell_{xx} \ell_x^2] z_{xx}^2 + [\ell_{tt} + 6\ell_{xx}^2 - \Psi - 6\ell_{xx} \ell_x^2] z_t^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} I_1 \triangleq z_{tt} + z_{xxxx} + 6(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) z_{xx} + Az, \\ M \triangleq \ell_t [z_t^2 + Az^2 + z_{xx}^2 - 6(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) z_x^2] + 4\ell_x z_{xxx} z_t + 4(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx}) \ell_x z_x z_t - \Psi z z_t, \\ V \triangleq \tilde{V} + 2(5\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx}) \ell_x z_{xx}^2 + 2\ell_x z_{xxx}^2 + 4\ell_x (\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx}) z_{xxx} z_x + 2\ell_x z_{tx}^2 \\ \quad + 2\ell_x [6(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) + 6\ell_{xx}^2 - A] z_x^2 \\ \quad + 2\ell_t z_{tx} z_{xx} + \Psi z_x z_{xx} - 12\ell_{xx} (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) z_{xx} z_x \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V} \triangleq & 2[\ell_t z_{xxx} + 6(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})\ell_t z_x - 2\ell_x z_{xxt} + 2\ell_{xx} z_{xt} - \ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_t]z_t \\ & + [4A\ell_x z_{xx} - 4(A\ell_x)_x z_x + 2(A\ell_x)_{xx} + 2A\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z \\ & + 3(\Psi\ell_x^2 - \Psi\ell_{xx})_x z - 6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x - \Psi z_{xxx}]z,\end{aligned}\quad (4.4)$$

and moreover,

$$\begin{cases} A \triangleq \ell_t^2 - \ell_{tt} + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})^2 - 4\ell_{xx}\ell_x^2 + 2\ell_{xx}^2 - \Psi, \\ B \triangleq (A\ell_t)_t + 2(A\ell_x)_{xxx} + 2[A\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})]_x + A\Psi + 3(\Psi\ell_x^2 - \Psi\ell_{xx})_{xx}, \\ E \triangleq -6\ell_{tt}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) - 6(A\ell_x)_x + 12\ell_{xx}^3 - 6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) \\ \quad + 12[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})]_x. \end{cases}\quad (4.5)$$

Remark 4.1 If we assume that $w = w_{xx} = 0$ or $w = w_x = 0$ on the boundary, noting that $z = \theta w$, then we have $\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{V}_x dx dt = \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{V} \cdot \nu dx dt = 0$.

Remark 4.2 Unlike [13], we do not divide the plate operator into two Schrödinger operators. Here, we establish the point wise estimate for the fourth order plate operator directly.

Remark 4.3 Equation (4.2) can be regarded as a weighted identity. The main idea of (4.2) is to establish a point wise identity (and/or estimate) on the principal operator $w_{tt} + w_{xxxx}$ in terms of the sum of “divergence” terms $M_t + V_x$, “energy” terms $z^2(\cdot) + z_x^2(\cdot) + z_{tx}^2(\cdot) + z_{xxx}^2(\cdot) + z_{xx}^2(\cdot) + z_t^2(\cdot)$ and lower order terms.

Proof The proof is long, so we divided it into several steps.

Step 1 Note that $\theta = e^\ell$, $z = \theta w$, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{cases} \theta w_t = z_t - \ell_t z, & \theta w_x = z_x - \ell_x z, \\ \theta w_{tt} = z_{tt} - 2\ell_t z_t + (\ell_t^2 - \ell_{tt})z, \\ \theta w_{xx} = z_{xx} - 2\ell_x z_x + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z. \end{cases}\quad (4.6)$$

Next, recalling (4.1) for the definition of $\ell(t, x)$ and noting that Ψ only depends on x , it is easy to see that

$$\ell_{xxx} = \ell_{xxxx} = \ell_{tx} = \Psi_t = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.6)–(4.7) and recalling the definition of A in (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta w_{xxxx} &= [z_{xx} - 2\ell_x z_x + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z]_{xx} - 2\ell_x [z_{xx} - 2\ell_x z_x + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z]_x \\ &\quad + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})[z_{xx} - 2\ell_x z_x + (\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z] \\ &= z_{xxxx} - 4\ell_x z_{xxx} + 6(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx} - 4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x \\ &\quad + [(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})^2 - 4\ell_{xx}\ell_x^2 + 2\ell_{xx}^2]z.\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.8), recalling the definition of I_1 in (4.3), we get

$$\theta(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx}) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$I_2 \triangleq -2\ell_t z_t - 4\ell_x z_{xxx}, \quad I_3 \triangleq -4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x + \Psi z. \quad (4.10)$$

By (4.9), it is easy to see that

$$\theta(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx})I_1 = |I_1|^2 + I_1I_2 + I_1I_3. \quad (4.11)$$

Step 2 Let us compute I_1I_2 . First, by (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1I_2 &= -2\ell_t z_t(z_{tt} + Az) - 2\ell_t z_t z_{xxxx} - 12\ell_t(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_t \\ &\quad - 4\ell_x z_{xxx}(z_{tt} + Az) - 4\ell_x z_{xxx}z_{xxxx} - 24\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_{xxx}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

However,

$$-2\ell_t z_t(z_{tt} + Az) = -(\ell_t z_t^2)_t + \ell_{tt} z_t^2 - (A\ell_t z_t^2)_t + (A\ell_t)_t z_t^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Next, by (4.7), we have

$$-2\ell_t z_t z_{xxxx} = -2(\ell_t z_t z_{xxx} - \ell_t z_{tx} z_{xx})_x - (\ell_t z_{xx}^2)_t + \ell_{tt} z_{xx}^2 \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -12\ell_t(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_t &= -12[\ell_t(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_t z_x]_x + 24\ell_t \ell_x z_t z_x \\ &\quad + 6[\ell_t(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x^2]_t - 6\ell_{tt}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

On the other hand, by (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\ell_x z_{xxx}(z_{tt} + Az) \\ &= -4(\ell_x z_{xxx} z_t)_t + 4(\ell_x z_{xxt} z_t)_x - 4(\ell_{xx} z_{xt} z_t)_x - 2(\ell_x z_{tx}^2)_x + 6\ell_{xx} z_{tx}^2 \\ &\quad - 4(A\ell_x z_{xx} z)_x + 4[(A\ell_x)_x z z_x]_x - 2[(A\ell_x)_{xx} z^2]_x \\ &\quad + 2(A\ell_x z_x^2)_x - 6(A\ell_x)_x z_x^2 + 2(A\ell_x)_{xx} z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Further, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\ell_x z_{xxx} z_{xxxx} - 24\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_{xxx} \\ &= -2(\ell_x z_{xxx}^2)_x + 2\ell_{xx} z_{xxx}^2 - 12[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}^2]_x + 12\ell_{xx}(3\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Step 3 Let us compute I_1I_3 . By (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1I_3 &= -4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x(z_{tt} + Az) - 24\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x z_{xx} \\ &\quad - 4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x z_{xxxx} + \Psi z(z_{tt} + Az) + 6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z z_{xx} + \Psi z z_{xxxx}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

First, by using (4.7) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x(z_{tt} + Az) \\ &= -4[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x z_t]_t + 2[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_t^2]_x - 6\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_t^2 \\ &\quad - 2[A\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z^2]_x + 2[A\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})]_x z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Next, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &-24\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x z_{xx} \\ &= -12[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x^2]_x + 12[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})]_x z_x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Furthermore, by (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x z_{xxxx} \\
& = -4[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x z_{xxx}]_x + 12[\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x z_{xx}]_x - 12(\ell_x \ell_{xx}^2 z_x^2)_x \\
& \quad + 12\ell_{xx}^3 z_x^2 + 2[\ell_x(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_{xx}^2]_x - 18\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

On the other hand, noting $\Psi_t = 0$, we have

$$\Psi z(z_{tt} + Az) = (\Psi z z_t)_t - \Psi z_t^2 + A\Psi z. \tag{4.22}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z z_{xx} &= [6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z z_x]_x - 3[(\Psi \ell_x^2 - \Psi \ell_{xx})_x z^2]_x \\
&\quad + 3(\Psi \ell_x^2 - \Psi \ell_{xx})_{xx} z^2 - 6\Psi(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_x^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Finally,

$$\Psi z z_{xxxx} = (\Psi z z_{xxx})_x - (\Psi z_x z_{xx})_x + \Psi_x z z_{xx} + \Psi z_{xx}^2 - \Psi_x z z_{xxx}. \tag{4.24}$$

Step 4 By (4.12)–(4.24), combining all “ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ -terms”, all “ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ -terms” and (4.11), we arrive at the desired identity (4.2).

5 Carleman Estimate for the Plate Equation

Based on the point wise estimate (4.2) in Theorem 4.1, in this section, we shall establish the global Carleman estimate for the 1-D plate equation. For given $0 \leq T_1 < T'_1 \leq T$, denote $Q_1 = (T_1, T'_1) \times \Omega$. We have the following result.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $T > 0$ and $q_1 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$, and let Γ_0 be given by (2.1). Then there is a constant $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\frac{1}{T}, \|q_1\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}}) > 1$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and for all weak solutions to (1.1), it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\
& \leq C \left[\lambda^7 T (1 + \|q_1\|_\infty^{-1}) e^{CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} E_1(0) + \lambda e^{C\lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Also, we have the following global Carleman estimate for system (1.2).

Theorem 5.2 *Let $T > 0$ and $q_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$, and let Γ_0 be given by (2.1). Then there exists a constant $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\frac{1}{T}, \|q_2\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}}) > 1$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and for all weak solutions to (1.2), it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\
& \leq C \left[\lambda^7 T (1 + \|q_1\|_\infty^{-1}) e^{CT(1+\|q_2\|_{1,\infty})} E_2(0) + \lambda e^{C\lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (\lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Remark 5.1 If we divide the plate operator into two Schrödinger operators, we can just get the coefficient of order λ^6 before w^2 (see inequality (3.7) in [5]).

Proof of Theorem 5.1 We borrow some ideas from [13]. The proof is long, so we divide it into several steps.

Step 1 (Choice of weight functions) For simplicity, we assume $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. For the case $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$, using the argument in [7], one can prove Theorem 5.1 similarly. Denote

$$R_1 \triangleq \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0| > R_0 \triangleq \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0| (> 0). \quad (5.3)$$

Next, we choose the constant c in ℓ defined by (4.1) as

$$c = \frac{9R_1^2}{2T^2}. \quad (5.4)$$

From (4.1) and (5.4), for any $\lambda \geq 1$, it is easy to see that

$$\ell(0, x) = \ell(T, x) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(R_1^2 - \frac{cT^2}{4} \right) = -\frac{\lambda R_1^2}{16} < 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, there exists an $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ independent of T , close to $\frac{1}{2}$, and a constant $r_0 = \frac{\lambda R_1^2}{32} (> 0)$ such that

$$\ell(t, x) \leq -r_0 < 0, \quad \forall (t, x) \in ((0, T_1) \cup (T_1', T)) \times \Omega, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$T_1 = \frac{T}{2} - \varepsilon_1 T, \quad T_1' = \frac{T}{2} + \varepsilon_1 T.$$

Step 2 (Estimate of the “energy” terms) First, take

$$\Psi(t, x) = 9\lambda^3 |x - x_0|^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Recalling (4.5) for the definition of A and by using (4.1), it is easy to check that

$$A = \lambda^4 |x - x_0|^4 + O(\lambda^3). \quad (5.8)$$

Then, by (4.1), (5.7)–(5.8) and (4.5), we have

$$B = 14\lambda^7 |x - x_0|^6 + O(\lambda^6), \quad E = 60\lambda^5 |x - x_0|^4 + O(\lambda^4). \quad (5.9)$$

Similarly, by (4.1) and (5.7), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\ell_{tt} + 6\ell_{xx}^2 + \Psi + 18\ell_{xx}\ell_x^2]z_{xx}^2 + [\ell_{tt} + 6\ell_{xx}^2 - \Psi - 6\ell_{xx}\ell_x^2]z_t^2 \\ &= [9\lambda^3 |x - x_0|^2 + O(\lambda^2)]z_{xx}^2 + [3\lambda^3 |x - x_0|^2 + O(\lambda^2)]z_t^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Next, by using (4.1) again, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & 24\ell_t \ell_x z_t z_x + \Psi_x z_x z_{xx} - \Psi_{xx} z_{xxx} \\ & \geq -c_0 \lambda^2 T R_1 (z_t^2 + z_x^2) - c_0 \lambda^4 z_x^2 - c_0 \lambda^2 z_{xx}^2 - c_0 \lambda^6 z^2 - c_0 z_{xxx}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Noting that the lower order terms with respect to the power of λ in (5.9)–(5.11) depend on c , therefore, one can find a $\lambda_1(\frac{1}{T}) > 1$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$, such that

$$\text{Left-hand side of (4.2)} \geq c_1 [\lambda^7 z^2 + \lambda^5 z_x^3 + \lambda^3 z_{xx}^2 + \lambda^3 z_t^2 + \lambda z_{xxx}^2 + \lambda z_{xt}^2]. \quad (5.12)$$

Step 3 (Estimate of the boundary terms) Integrating (4.2) on Q_1 , using integration by parts, by (5.9)–(5.10), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_{Q_1} (\lambda^6 z^2 + \lambda^4 z_x^2 + \lambda^2 z_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 z_t^2 + z_{xxx}^2 + z_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \leq C \left[\|\theta(w_{tt} + w_{xxxx})\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} M(t, x) dx \Big|_{T_1}^{T'_1} + \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} V_x dx dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Next, recalling (4.3) for the definition of M , noting $z = \theta w$, by (5.6), (5.8) and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M(t, x) dx \Big|_{T_1}^{T'_1} & \leq C \int_{\Omega} (z_t^2 + z_{xx}^2 + \lambda^4 z^2 + \lambda^5 z_x^2 + \lambda z_{xxx}^2) dx \Big|_{T_1}^{T'_1} \\ & \leq C \lambda^7 \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (w_t^2 + w_{xx}^2 + w^2 + w_x^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx \Big|_{T_1}^{T'_1} \\ & \leq C \lambda^7 e^{-2\lambda r_0} \int_{\Omega} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dx \Big|_{T_1}^{T'_1} \\ & \leq C \lambda^7 (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) [E_1(T_1) + E_1(T'_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Recalling (4.3) for the definition of V , by Remark 4.1, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} V_x dx dt \\ & = \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} \ell_x \cdot \nu [2(5\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_{xx}^2 + 2z_{xxx}^2 + 4(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_{xxx}z_x + 2z_{tx}^2] dx dt \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} \ell_x \cdot \nu [6(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) + 6\ell_{xx}^2 - A] z_x^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} [2\ell_t z_{tx} z_{xx} + \Psi z_x z_{xx} - 12\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_x] \cdot \nu dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

However, by (4.1) and (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_{xxx}z_x + 2[6(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx}) + 6\ell_{xx}^2 - A]z_x^2 \\ & = |z_{xxx} - 2(\ell_x^2 - 3\ell_{xx})z_x|^2 - z_{xxx}^2 + [6\lambda^4|x - x_0|^4 + O(\lambda^3)]z_x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Further, noting that $w = w_{xx} = 0$ on boundary and $z = \theta w = e^\ell w$, it is easy to see that

$$z = z_t = 0, \quad z_x = \theta w_x, \quad z_{xx} = 2\theta \ell_x w_x, \quad \text{on boundary.} \quad (5.17)$$

Thus, by (4.1) and (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} [2\ell_t z_{tx} z_{xx} + \Psi z_x z_{xx} - 12\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})z_{xx}z_x] \cdot \nu dx dt \\ & = \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} \ell_x \cdot \nu [4\theta \ell_t w_x z_{tx} + 2\Psi \theta^2 w_x^2 - 24\ell_{xx}(\ell_x^2 - \ell_{xx})\theta^2 w_x^2] dx dt \\ & = \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} \ell_x \cdot \nu [(z_{tx} + 2\ell_t z_x)^2 - z_{tx}^2 + 2(-2\ell_t^2 + \Psi - 12\ell_{xx}\ell_x^2 + 12\ell_{xx}^2)z_x^2] dx dt \\ & = \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma} \ell_x \cdot \nu [(z_{tx} + 2\ell_t z_x)^2 - z_{tx}^2 - 6\lambda^3|x - x_0|^2 z_x^2 + O(\lambda^2)z_x^2] dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Now, combining (5.15)–(5.18), one can find a $\lambda_2(\frac{1}{T}) > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, and by (2.1), we have

$$\int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} V_x dx dt \leq C \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (\lambda z_{xxx}^2 + \lambda z_{tx}^2 + \lambda^5 z_x^2) dt dx. \quad (5.19)$$

Combining (5.13)–(5.15) and (1.1), noting $z = \theta w$, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|\theta q_1 w\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \lambda^7 (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) [E_1(T_1) + E_1(T'_1)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} \theta^2 (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2) dt dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Step 4 (End of the proof) By (5.6) and noting that $\theta = e^\ell$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \geq \lambda \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \quad - \lambda \left[\int_0^{T_1} + \int_{T'_1}^T \right] \int_{\Omega} (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Combining (5.20)–(5.21), for all $\lambda \geq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, by Lemma 3.1, we get for some $C_1 > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left\{ \|q_1\|_{\infty}^2 \|\theta w\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \lambda^7 (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) T e^{CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} E_1(0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda e^{C\lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2) dt dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Define

$$\lambda_3 \triangleq 1 + C_1^{\frac{1}{7}} \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{7}}, \quad \lambda_0 \triangleq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} = \lambda_0 \left(\frac{1}{T}, \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{7}} \right). \quad (5.23)$$

Then, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \leq C \left\{ \lambda^7 T (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) e^{CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} E_1(0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda e^{C\lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2) dt dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

This gives the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Next, we shall give a brief proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2 In a way similar to Theorem 5.1, we choose the same weight functions, where the only different terms are the boundary terms. Noting that $w = w_x = 0$ on boundary, by recalling (4.3) for the definition of V , combining Remark 4.1 and noting $z = \theta w$, we arrive at

$$\int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Omega} V_x dx dt \leq C\lambda \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (\lambda^2 z_{xx}^2 + z_{xxx}^2) dt dx. \quad (5.25)$$

Proceeding exactly as in Theorem 5.1, we obtain the desired result immediately.

6 Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

In this section, we shall prove the sharp observability inequalities for the 1-D plate equation.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Recalling (4.1) and (5.3) for the definition of ℓ and R_0 , respectively, we see that

$$\ell\left(\frac{T}{2}, x\right) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} R_0^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Then, one can find an $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ independent of T , close to 0, such that

$$\ell(t, x) \geq \frac{\lambda R_0^2}{4}, \quad \forall (t, x) \in Q_0 = (T_0, T'_0) \times \Omega, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$T_0 \triangleq \frac{T}{2} - \varepsilon_0 T, \quad T'_0 \triangleq \frac{T}{2} + \varepsilon_0 T. \quad (6.2)$$

By (6.1)–(6.2) and Lemma 3.1, taking $C_1 > 1$ in (5.23), for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \geq \lambda_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \geq e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda} \int_{Q_0} (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \geq e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda} \int_{Q_0} (\lambda_0^4 w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dt dx \\ & \geq e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda} \int_{Q_0} [(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{3}})^4 w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2] dt dx \\ & \geq e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda} \int_{T_0}^{T'_0} E_1(t) dt \\ & \geq e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda} \int_{T_0}^{T'_0} E_1(0) e^{\frac{-CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})}{C}} dt \\ & = \frac{2\varepsilon_0 T}{C} e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda - CT(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} E_1(0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

By (5.1) and (6.3), for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we get for some $C_2 > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{C_2 T(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} \left[e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda - 2C_2 T(1+\|q_1\|_{1,\infty})} - (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) \frac{C_2 \lambda^6}{2\varepsilon_0} \right] E_1(0) \\ & \leq 2C_2 \varepsilon_0^{-1} T^{-1} e^{C_2 \lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_x^2) dt dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Now, let λ_4 be such that

$$\frac{R_0^2 \lambda_4}{4} \geq 2C_2 T(1 + \|q_1\|_{1,\infty}). \quad (6.5)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$e^{\frac{R_0^2}{4}\lambda} - (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) \frac{C_2 \lambda^6}{2\varepsilon_0} \geq \frac{(\frac{R_0^2}{4}\lambda)^7}{7!} - 2(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) \frac{C_2 \lambda^6}{2\varepsilon_0}.$$

Put

$$\lambda_5 = C \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{7}} + T\|q_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1} \right). \quad (6.6)$$

By (6.4), for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, we obtain

$$E_1(0) \leq P(T, q_1) \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_x^2 + w_{tx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dt dx. \quad (6.7)$$

Finally, combining (6.7) and Lemma 3.3, we get the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 In a way similar to (6.3), by (6.1)–(6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \theta^2 (\lambda^6 w^2 + \lambda^4 w_x^2 + \lambda^2 w_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 w_t^2 + w_{xxx}^2 + w_{tx}^2) dt dx \\ & \geq \frac{2\varepsilon_0 T}{C} e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda - CT(1+\|q_2\|_{1,\infty})} E_2(0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Now, by (5.2) and (6.8), for any $\lambda \geq \max\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{C_2 T(1+\|q_2\|_{1,\infty})} \left[e^{\frac{R_0^2}{2}\lambda - 2C_2 T(1+\|q_2\|_{1,\infty})} - (1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) \frac{C_2 \lambda^6}{2\varepsilon_0} \right] E_2(0) \\ & \leq 2C_2 \varepsilon_0^{-1} T^{-1} e^{C_2 \lambda} \int_{T_1}^{T'} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xxx}^2 + w_{xx}^2) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Now, one can find a λ_4 such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_4$,

$$\frac{R_0^2 \lambda}{4} \geq 2C_2 T(1 + \|q_2\|_{1,\infty}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\frac{R_0^2}{4}\lambda)^7}{7!} \geq 2(1 + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1}) \frac{C_2 \lambda^6}{2\varepsilon_0}. \quad (6.10)$$

Put

$$\lambda_5 \triangleq \max\{\lambda_0, \lambda_4\} = C \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|q_2\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{7}} + T\|q_2\|_{1,\infty} + \|q_1\|_{\infty}^{-1} \right). \quad (6.11)$$

By (6.4), for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, we obtain

$$E_2(0) \leq P(T, q_2) \int_{T_1}^{T'_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} (w_{xx}^2 + w_{xxx}^2) dt dx. \quad (6.12)$$

Finally, combining (6.12) and Lemma 3.4, we get the desired result.

References

- [1] Doubova, A., Fernández-Cara, E., González-Burgos, M. and Zuazua, E., On the controllability of parabolic systems with a nonlinear term involving the state and the gradient, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **41**, 2002, 798–819.
- [2] Duyckaerts, D., Zhang, X. and Zuazua, E., On the optimality of the observability inequality for parabolic and hyperbolic systems with potentials, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **25**, 2008, 1–41.
- [3] Fernández-Cara, E. and Zuazua, E., The cost of approximate controllability for heat equations: the linear case, *Advances Diff. Eqs.*, **5**, 2000, 465–514.
- [4] Fu, X., Yong, J. and Zhang, X., Exact controllability for the multidimensional semilinear hyperbolic equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **46**, 2007, 1578–1614.
- [5] Fu, X., Zhang, X. and Zuazua, E., On the optimality of the observability inequalities for plate systems with potentials, Phase Space Analysis of Partial Differential Equations, A. Bove, F. Colombini and D. Del Santo (eds.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006, 117–132.
- [6] Komornik, V., Exact Controllability and Stabilization: The Multiplier Method, John Wiley & Sons, Masson, Paris, 1995.
- [7] López, A., Zhang, X. and Zuazua, E., Null controllability of the heat equation as singular limit of the exact controllability of dissipative wave equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **79**, 2000, 741–808.
- [8] Lin, P. and Zhou, Z., Observability estimate for a one-dimensional fourth order parabolic equation, Proceedings of the 29th Chinese Control Conference, Beijing, China, 2010, 830–832.
- [9] Lions, J. L., Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations for distributed systems, *SIAM Rev.*, **30**, 1988, 1–68.
- [10] Machtyngier, E., Exact controllability for the Schrödinger equation, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **32**, 1994, 24–34.
- [11] Maddouri, F., Explicit observation of Schrödinger equation with potential, *Asymptot. Anal.*, **65**, 2009, 59–78.
- [12] Pazy, A., Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **44**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [13] Zhang, X., Exact controllability of the semilinear plate equations, *Asymptot. Anal.*, **27**, 2001, 95–125.
- [14] Zhang, X. and Zuazua, E., A sharp observability inequality for Kirchhoff plate systems with potentials, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **25**, 2006, 353–373.
- [15] Zuazua, E., Exact controllability for semilinear wave equations in one space dimension, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **10**, 1993, 109–129.
- [16] Zuazua, E., Controllability and observability of partial differential equations: some results and open problems, Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, London, 2006, 527–621.