

Note on Co-split Lie Algebras*

Limeng XIA¹

Abstract It is proved that, any finite dimensional complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$, hence, over a field of characteristic zero, any finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ possessing a basis with complex structure constants, should be a weak co-split Lie algebra. A class of non-semi-simple co-split Lie algebras is given.

Keywords Co-split, Casimir operator, Killing form
2000 MR Subject Classification 17B20, 17B60

1 Introduction

In the recent paper [1], the authors introduced a new “Lie bialgebra” structure called a co-split Lie algebra, which is a Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$ endowed with a Lie coalgebra structure $(\mathcal{L}, \delta)$, such that the composition $\mu \circ \delta$ coincides with the identity. In the case that $\mu \circ \delta$ is non-degenerate diagonal corresponding to some basis, $(\mathcal{L}, \mu, \delta)$ is called a weak co-split Lie algebra.

It is an important result in [1] that any finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra has a co-split Lie algebra structure. In [2], the construction of δ is interpreted as finding some map of $\mathcal{L}$ -modules, and the result of [1] is extended to a wider context. Moreover, the cobracket in [2] is proved to be a scalar (multiple) of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{L}} [y_i, -] \otimes x_i, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{L}} y_i \otimes x_i$ is the Casimir operator of adjoint representation.

It is clear that any (weak) co-split Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ must satisfy the condition $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] = \mathcal{L}$. The last result listed in [2] can be roughly stated as follows: a semi-simple Lie algebra over field k with suitable characteristic p possesses a non-singular Casimir operator, and has a co-split Lie algebra structure.

Naturally, we want to know the answers to the following problems: (1) Can any Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ be endowed with a weak co-split Lie algebra structure? (2) Is any co-split Lie algebra semi-simple?

In this note, we obtain the following results: (1) Over a field of characteristic zero, any finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ possessing a basis with real structure constants is a weak co-split Lie algebra; (2) A class of non-semi-simple co-split Lie algebras is given.

Manuscript received November 24, 2011. Revised April 11, 2012.

¹Faculty of Science, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, Jiangsu, China. E-mail: xialimeng@ujs.edu.cn

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11001110).

Let k be a field. For a given finite-dimensional k -vector space V , we consider the k -linear maps

$$\tau_V : V \otimes_k V \rightarrow V \otimes_k V; \quad u \otimes v \mapsto v \otimes u \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\xi_V = (\tau_V \otimes_k \text{id}_V) \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes_k \tau_V) : V \otimes_k V \otimes_k V \rightarrow V \otimes_k V \otimes_k V. \quad (1.3)$$

Identifying $(V \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V)^*$ with $V^* \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k V^*$, the transpose maps satisfy

$$\tau_V^* = \tau_{V^*}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_V^* = \xi_{V^*}^{-1}.$$

A Lie coalgebra is a k -vector space $\mathcal{L}$ endowed with a k -linear map $\delta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}$, which satisfies:

- (i) $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}} + \tau_{\mathcal{L}}) \circ \delta = 0$,
- (ii) $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}} + \xi_{\mathcal{L}} + \xi_{\mathcal{L}}^2) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta = 0$.

We know that a module of Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$ is a k -vector space M with an $\mathcal{L}$ -linear map $\rho_M : \mathcal{L} \otimes M \rightarrow M$, such that

$$\rho_M \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \rho_M) \circ ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}} - \tau_{\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_k \text{id}_M) = \rho_M \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_M). \quad (1.4)$$

Then we can consider its dual concept.

Definition 1.1 A comodule of Lie coalgebra $(\mathcal{L}, \delta)$ is a k -vector space M with an $\mathcal{L}$ -linear map $\delta_M : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes M$, such that

$$((\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}} - \tau_{\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_k \text{id}_M) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \delta_M) \circ \delta_M = (\delta \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ \delta_M. \quad (1.5)$$

2 Weak Co-split Theorem

Theorem 2.1 Any finite dimensional complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ is a weak co-split Lie algebra.

Proof Suppose that $c_{i,j}^k$'s are structure constants associated with a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Define a map $\delta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ as

$$x_k \mapsto \sum_{i,j} \bar{c}_{i,j}^k x_i \otimes x_j. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}, \delta)$ is a Lie co-algebra, and when $c_{i,j}^k$'s are real numbers, it is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{L}^*, \mu^*)$, where μ is the bracket.

Denote by A the $n \times n^2$ matrix of μ . Then $\delta = \overline{A^T}$, which is the convolution transpose of A . The composition of μ and δ , i.e. $M_n = A \overline{A^T}$, is a Hermitian symmetric square matrix. Since $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] = \mathcal{L}$, A is of rank n , by the fundamental knowledge of linear algebras, M_n is non-degenerate and there exists a Hermitian orthogonal matrix $U = (u_{i,j})$, such that $D = \overline{U^T} M_n U = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is diagonal and non-degenerate. Choosing a new basis

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} = U\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad y_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{i,j} x_i,$$

it is easy to check that

$$\mu|_{\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}} = \overline{U^T} A(U \otimes U), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\delta|_{\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}} = (\overline{U^T} \otimes \overline{U^T}) \overline{A^T} U. \quad (2.3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \circ \delta)|_{\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}} &= \overline{U^T} A(U \otimes U) (\overline{U^T} \otimes \overline{U^T}) \overline{A^T} U \\ &= \overline{U^T} A \overline{A^T} U \\ &= \overline{U^T} M_n U \\ &= D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus this theorem is proved.

Remark 2.1 However, the method used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 does not work for the positive characteristic field k . For example, $\mathcal{L} = \text{span}\{x_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, $\text{char}(k) = p > 3$, $[x_i, x_j] = (j-i)x_{i+j}$. Using the symbols above, we have

$$(\mu \circ \delta)(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2(2i)^2 x_0 = 8 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} i^2 x_0. \quad (2.4)$$

So when $p > 3$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} i^2 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{p-1}{2} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} + 1 \right) \left(2 \times \frac{p-1}{2} + 1 \right) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

that is, $\mu \circ \delta$ is degenerate.

3 Simple Co-split Lie Algebras and Their Modules

Suppose that $(\mathcal{L}, \mu)$ is a simple Lie algebra with non-singular Killing form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ over an algebraically closed field k (when $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$, p is suitable).

Let $\theta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*$ be the isomorphism given by $x \mapsto (x, -)$, and μ^* be the transpose of μ . Define an $\mathcal{L}$ -linear map $\delta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}$ via

$$\delta = (\theta^{-1} \otimes \theta^{-1}) \circ \mu^* \circ \theta. \quad (3.1)$$

Fix a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ along with its dual basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, that is, $(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i, x] \otimes x_i = - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes [x_i, x], \quad (3.2)$$

and $\mu \circ \delta$ is a non-zero scalar (see [2]).

For an $\mathcal{L}$ -module M , define an $\mathcal{L}$ -linear map $\delta_M : M \rightarrow L \otimes M$ via

$$m \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes (x_i \cdot m). \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1 (M, δ_M) is a comodule of $(\mathcal{L}, \delta)$.

Proof For any element $m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_k \mathcal{L}} - \tau_{\mathcal{L}}) \otimes_k \text{id}_M) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \delta_M) \circ \delta_M(m) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (y_i \otimes y_j \otimes (x_j x_i m) - y_j \otimes y_i \otimes (x_j x_i m)) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i \otimes y_j \otimes ([x_j, x_i] m) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i \otimes [x_i, y_j] \otimes (x_j m) \\
 &= (\delta \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ \delta_M(m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 3.1 holds.

Define a k -linear map as

$$\Delta_M = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{L} \otimes M} - \tau) \circ \delta_M : M \rightarrow (\mathcal{L} \oplus M) \otimes (\mathcal{L} \oplus M),$$

and $\Delta = \delta + \Delta_M$, where $\tau : \mathcal{L} \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{L}$ maps $l \otimes m$ to $m \otimes l$.

Lemma 3.2 $(\mathcal{L} \oplus M, \Delta)$ is a Lie coalgebra.

Proof It is sufficient to check that

$$(\text{id}_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M) \otimes_k (\mathcal{L} \oplus M) \otimes_k (\mathcal{L} \oplus M)} + \xi_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M)} + \xi_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M)}^2) \circ (\text{id}_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M)} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_M = 0.$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{id}_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M)} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_M(m) \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{id}_{(\mathcal{L} \oplus M)} \otimes \Delta)(y_i \otimes (x_i m) - (x_i m) \otimes y_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i m) \otimes \delta(y_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i \otimes y_j \otimes (x_j x_i m) - y_i \otimes (x_j x_i m) \otimes y_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (M, δ_M) is a comodule, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i m) \otimes \delta(y_i) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (x_j x_i m) \otimes y_i \otimes y_j - (x_j x_i m) \otimes y_j \otimes y_i.$$

Then the proof of this lemma is completed.

4 Examples of Non-semi-simple Co-split Lie Algebras

In this section, we give some non-semi-simple co-split Lie algebras.

(a) Suppose that

$$\mathcal{L} = sl_2 + M,$$

where M is an $(r+1)$ -dimensional irreducible sl_2 -module, $[M, M] = 0$, and $sl_2 = \text{span}\{x, y, h\}$ with brackets

$$[x, y] = h, \quad [h, x] = 2x, \quad [h, y] = -2y.$$

Then the Killing form is given by

$$(x, y) = 4, \quad (h, h) = 8.$$

Hence, the Casimir operator is

$$c = \frac{1}{8}(2x \otimes y + 2y \otimes x + h \otimes h),$$

and $\mu \circ \Delta_M = \frac{1}{4}(r^2 + 2r)\text{id}_M$.

$\mathcal{L}$ is a co-split Lie algebra with cobracket Δ defined in Section 4, if r and the characteristic p of field k satisfy

$$(r+1)^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad r < p. \quad (4.1)$$

The inequality above comes from the irreducibility of M . Some (r, p) pairs are listed as follows:

Table 1 Some (r, p) pairs

r	p	$(r+1)^2 - 5$
3	11	11
4	5	20
5	31	31
6	11	44
7	59	59
8	19	76
9	19	100
10	29	116
11	139	139
12	41	164
13	191	191
15	251	251
16	71	284

In this way, we can find much more non-semi-simple co-split Lie algebras.

(b) Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with $\text{char}\mathbb{F} = p = 2k + 1$, and

$$W = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_k\}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mu_W(x_i, x_j) = [x_i, x_j] = (j - i)x_{i+j}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let

$$E = -2x_k, \quad F = 2x_{-k}, \quad H = -4x_0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$u_i = x_i, \quad v_i = -x_{i-k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (4.5)$$

Define $\Delta : W \rightarrow W \otimes W$,

$$\Delta_W(H) = 2E \otimes F - 2F \otimes E, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Delta_W(E) = H \otimes E - E \otimes H, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\Delta_W(F) = F \otimes H - H \otimes F, \quad (4.8)$$

and for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$\Delta_W(u_i) = E \otimes v_i - v_i \otimes E + \frac{1}{2}(H \otimes u_i - u_i \otimes H), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\Delta_W(v_i) = F \otimes u_i - u_i \otimes F + \frac{1}{2}(v_i \otimes H - H \otimes v_i), \quad (4.10)$$

and $\delta_W = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_W = k^2\Delta_W$. Then (W, μ_W, δ_W) is a co-split Lie algebra. Particularly, (W^*, δ_W^*) is not semi-simple while (W, μ_W) is simple.

References

- [1] Xia, L. M. and Hu, N. H., Introduction to co-split Lie algebras, *Algebr. Repres. Theory*, **14**(1), 2011, 191–199.
- [2] Farnsteiner, R., Lie algebras with a coalgebra splitting, *Algebr. Repres. Theory*, **14**(1), 2011, 87–96.