DOI: 10.1007/s11401-018-1053-9

# Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B

© The Editorial Office of CAM and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2018

# Equivalent Conditions of Complete Convergence and Complete Moment Convergence for END Random Variables\*

Aiting SHEN<sup>1</sup> Mei YAO<sup>2</sup> Benqiong XIAO<sup>3</sup>

Abstract In this paper, the complete convergence and the complete moment convergence for extended negatively dependent (END, in short) random variables without identical distribution are investigated. Under some suitable conditions, the equivalence between the moment of random variables and the complete convergence is established. In addition, the equivalence between the moment of random variables and the complete moment convergence is also proved. As applications, the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund-type strong law of large numbers and the Baum-Katz-type result for END random variables are established. The results obtained in this paper extend the corresponding ones for independent random variables and some dependent random variables.

Keywords Extended negatively dependent random variables, Complete convergence,
Complete moment convergence
2000 MR Subject Classification 60F15

#### 1 Introduction

It is well known that complete convergence plays a very important role in the probability limit theory and mathematical statistics, especially in establishing the strong convergence rate for partial sums of random variables. The concept of complete convergence was introduced by Hsu and Robbins [1] as follows.

**Definition 1.1** A sequence  $\{U_n, n \ge 1\}$  of random variables is said to converge completely to a constant a if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|U_n - a| > \varepsilon) < \infty$ .

In this case, we write  $U_n \to a$  completely. In view of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, this implies that  $U_n \to a$  almost surely (a.s., in short). The converse is true if random variables  $\{U_n, n \ge 1\}$ 

Manuscript received November 1, 2014. Revised September 6, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China. E-mail: empress201010@126.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China; School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. E-mail: ymwalzn@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China.

E-mail: 1056150854@qq.com

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11501004, 11501005, 11526033), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No. 1508085J06), the Key Projects for Academic Talent of Anhui Province (No. gxbjZD2016005), the Provincial Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Colleges (No. KJ2015A018), the Open Project of School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University (No. ADSY201503), the Quality Engineering Project of Anhui Province (No. 2015jyxm045) and the Quality Improvement Projects for Undergraduate Education of Anhui University (No. ZLTS2015035).

are independent. Hsu and Robbins [1] proved that the arithmetic means of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d., in short) random variables converges completely to the expected value if the variance of the summands is finite. Erdös [2] proved the converse. The result of Hsu-Robbins-Erdös is a fundamental theorem in probability theory and has been extended in several directions by many authors. One of the most important generalizations was provided by Baum and Katz [3] for the strong law of large numbers as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1$  and  $\alpha p > 1$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with zero means. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ ;
- (ii) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left( \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) < \infty.$$
 (1.1)

Up to now, there have been many versions of the Baum-Katz-type results for independent and dependent random variables, such as Gut [4], Peligrad and Gut [5], Wang et al. [6], Shen and Wu [7], and so on.

Chow [8] generalized the concept of complete convergence and introduced the concept of complete moment convergence, which is more general than complete convergence. Let  $\{Z_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables, and  $a_n > 0$ ,  $b_n > 0$ , q > 0. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n E\{b_n^{-1}|Z_n| - \varepsilon\}_+^q < \infty$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then the above result is called the complete moment convergence.

Chow [8] obtained the following result on the complete moment convergence for i.i.d. random variables.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $EX_1 = 0, \ \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \ p \geq 1 \ and \ \alpha p > 1$ . If  $E[|X_1|^p + |X_1| \log(1 + |X_1|)] < \infty$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\Big\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \Big\}_{+} < \infty.$$
 (1.2)

Since Chow [8] established the result of Theorem 1.2 for i.i.d. random variables, many authors have studied this type of complete moment convergence for dependent random variables. See, for example, Chen and Wang [9] for the  $\varphi$ -mixing sequence, Wu et al. [10] and Wang et al. [11] for  $\widetilde{\rho}$ -mixing sequence and the martingale difference sequence, respectively, and so on.

We should point out that the key techniques used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.2 are the Rosenthal-type maximal moment inequality and the truncation methods. All the literatures above adopted these approaches or added extra conditions. There are many sequences of random variables satisfying the Rosenthal-type maximal moment inequality, such as independent random variables, negatively associated random variables, negatively supperadditive dependent random variables,  $\varphi$ -mixing random variables,  $\widetilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables, asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, and so on. But negatively orthant dependent random variables and extended negatively dependent random variables do not satisfy the Rosenthal-type maximal moment inequality. If we want to generalize the results of Theorems 1.1–1.2 for i.i.d. random variables to the case of the extended negatively dependent setting, we should use different methods. The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the results of Theorems 1.1–1.2 for i.i.d. random variables to the case of the extended negatively dependent setting without identical distribution. In addition, we will present the sufficient

and necessary conditions of complete moment convergence for extended negatively dependent random variables.

Now, let us recall the definition of extended negatively dependent random variables.

**Definition 1.2** A finite collection of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is said to be extended negatively dependent (END, in short), if there exists a constant M > 0 such that both

$$P(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2, \dots, X_n > x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x_i)$$

and

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \dots, X_n \le x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x_i)$$

hold for all real numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . An infinite sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to be END if every finite subcollection is END.

An array of random variables  $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  is called rowwise END random variables if for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  are END random variables.

The concept of the END sequence was introduced by Liu [12]. In the case M=1, the notion of END random variables reduces to the well-known notion of the so-called negatively orthant dependent (NOD, in short) random variables, which was introduced by Joag-Dev and Proschan [13]. They also pointed out that the negatively associated (NA, in short) random variables are NOD and thus NA random variables are END. Hence, the class of END includes the independent sequence, the NA sequence and the NOD sequence as special cases. Studying the limiting behavior of END random variables is of great interest.

Some applications for the END sequence have been found. See, for example, Chen et al. [14] established the strong law of large numbers for extend negatively dependent random variables and showed its applications to risk theory and renewal theory; Shen [15] presented some probability inequalities for END sequences and gave some applications; Wu and Guan [16] presented some convergence properties for the partial sums of END random variables; Wang and Wang [17] investigated a more general precise large deviation result for random sums of END real-valued random variables in the presence of consistent variation; Qiu et al. [18] and Wang et al. [19–21] provided some results on complete convergence for sequences of END random variables or arrays of rowwise END random variables; Wang et al. [22] studied the complete consistency for the estimator of nonparametric regression models based on END errors, and so on forth. The main purpose of the paper is to generalize the results of Theorems 1.1–1.2 for i.i.d. random variables to the case of the END setting, and the sufficient and necessary conditions of complete moment convergence for END random variables will also be established.

This work is organized as follows: Some important lemmas are provided in Section 2. The main results and their proofs are presented in Section 3.

Throughout this paper, the symbol C denotes a positive constant which is not necessarily the same in each appearance, and  $a_n = O(b_n)$  stands for  $a_n = C(b_n)$ . I(A) is the indicator function of an event A. Denote  $\log x = \ln \max(x, e)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will provide some important lemmas, which will be applied to prove the main results of this paper. The first one is a basic property for END random variables, which was given by Liu [23].

**Lemma 2.1** Let random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be END. If  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are all non-decreasing (or nonincreasing) functions, then random variables  $f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2), \dots, f_n(X_n)$  are END.

The next one is the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund-type inequality and the Rosenthal-type inequality for partial sums and maximum partial sums of END random variables.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of END random variables with  $EX_i = 0$  and  $E|X_i|^p < \infty$  for each  $i \ge 1$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_p$  depending only on p such that

$$E\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\Big|^p \le C_p \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^p, \quad 1 \le p \le 2,$$
 (2.1)

$$E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right|^p \right) \le C_p \log^p n \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^p, \quad 1 \le p \le 2, \tag{2.2}$$

$$E\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\Big|^p \le C_p\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^p + \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^2\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big], \quad p \ge 2$$
(2.3)

and

$$E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right|^p \right) \le C_p \log^p n \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^p + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]. \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof** The inequality (2.3) has been established by Shen [15]. The inequality (2.1) can be obtained in a similar way as that of Corollary 2.2 in Asadian et al. [24]. The inequalities (2.2) and (2.4) can be proved by using the inequalities (2.1), (2.3) in a similar way as that of Theorem 2.3.1 in Stout [25], respectively. The details of the proof are omitted.

With Lemma 2.2 accounted for, we can get the following important property for END random variables, which will play an important role in proving the main results of this paper. The proof is similar to that of Lemma A6 in Zhang and Wen [26], so the details are omitted.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of END random variables. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any  $x \ge 0$  and all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\left[1 - P\left(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |X_k| > x\right)\right]^2 \sum_{k=1}^n P(|X_k| > x) \le CP\left(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |X_k| > x\right). \tag{2.5}$$

The following is a basic property for stochastic domination. For the proof, one can refer to Wu [27], or Wang et al. [6].

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables, which is stochastically dominated by a random variable X, i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that

$$P(|X_n| > x) < CP(|X| > x)$$

for all  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ . Then for any  $\alpha > 0$  and b > 0, the following two statements hold:

- (i)  $E|X_n|^{\alpha}I(|X_n| \le b) \le C_1[E|X|^{\alpha}I(|X| \le b) + b^{\alpha}P(|X| > b)],$
- (ii)  $E|X_n|^{\alpha}I(|X_n| > b) \le C_2E|X|^{\alpha}I(|X| > b),$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants. Consequently,  $E|X_n|^{\alpha} \leq CE|X|^{\alpha}$ , where C is a positive constant.

The last one comes from Sung [28].

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $Y_n, Z_n, n \ge 1$  be random variables. Then for any q > 1,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a > 0,

$$E\Big(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{j}(Y_i+Z_i)\Big|-\varepsilon a\Big)^+\leq \Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^q}+\frac{1}{q-1}\Big)\frac{1}{a^{q-1}}E\Big(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{j}Y_i\Big|^q\Big)+E\Big(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{j}Z_i\Big|\Big).$$

### 3 Main Results and Their Proofs

In this section, we will give the main results of this paper, including the sufficient and necessary conditions of complete convergence and complete moment convergence for END random variables.

#### 3.1 Sufficient and necessary conditions for complete convergence

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha p > 1$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of END random variables with  $EX_n = 0$  if  $p \geq 1$ . If there exists a random variable X and two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_1P(|X| > x) \le P(|X_n| > x) \le C_2P(|X| > x)$$
 (3.1)

for all  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $E|X|^p < \infty$ ;
- (ii) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left( \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) < \infty.$$
 (3.2)

**Proof** (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is trivial. So it suffices to show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). We consider the following two cases.

Case 1 0 .

For fixed  $n \geq 1$ , denote, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , that

$$Y_{ni} = X_i I(|X_i| < n^{\alpha}), \quad Z_{ni} = X_i I(|X_i| > n^{\alpha}).$$

Noting that  $X_i = Y_{ni} + Z_{ni}$ , we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{2} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{ni} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{2} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{:}{=} H_1 + H_2. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from Markov's inequality,  $C_r$  inequality and Lemma 2.4, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Y_{ni}| \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} [E|X|I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha}P(|X| > n^{\alpha})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} E|X|I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha}) + CE|X|^{p}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E|X|I((k-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq k^{\alpha})$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\alpha} P((k-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq k^{\alpha})$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha + \alpha p - \alpha} P((k-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq k^{\alpha})$$

$$\leq CE|X|^{p} < \infty \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$H_{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \frac{\alpha p}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Z_{ni}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha p}{2} - 1} E|X|^{\frac{p}{2}} I(|X| > n^{\alpha}) \\
= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha p}{2} - 1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} E|X|^{\frac{p}{2}} I(k^{\alpha} < |X| \le (k+1)^{\alpha}) \\
\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha p}{2} - 1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}} P(k^{\alpha} < |X| \le (k+1)^{\alpha}) \\
\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha p} P(k^{\alpha} < |X| \le (k+1)^{\alpha}) \\
\leq C E|X|^{p} < \infty.$$
(3.5)

Hence, the desired result (3.2) follows from (3.3)–(3.5) immediately.

#### Case 2 $p \ge 1$ .

Noting that  $\alpha p > 1$ , we take a suitable q such that  $\frac{1}{\alpha p} < q < 1$ . For fixed  $n \ge 1$ , denote for  $1 \le i \le n$  that

$$\begin{split} X_{ni}^{(1)} &= -n^{\alpha q} I(X_i < -n^{\alpha q}) + X_i I(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha q} I(X_i > n^{\alpha q}), \\ X_{ni}^{(2)} &= (X_i - n^{\alpha q}) I(X_i > n^{\alpha q}), \\ X_{ni}^{(3)} &= (X_i + n^{\alpha q}) I(X_i < -n^{\alpha q}). \end{split}$$

Noting that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(3)}$$

for  $1 \le j \le n$ , we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)$$

$$\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(1)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p-2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(2)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p-2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(3)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{.}{=} I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

$$(3.6)$$

Hence, in order to prove (3.2), it suffices to show that  $I_1 < \infty$ ,  $I_2 < \infty$  and  $I_3 < \infty$ . For  $I_1$ , we firstly show that

$$n^{-\alpha} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} EX_{ni}^{(1)} \right| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.7)

It follows from  $EX_n = 0$ , Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.4, that

$$n^{-\alpha} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} EX_{ni}^{(1)} \right| \le n^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X_{i}|I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha q}P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha q})]$$

$$\le Cn^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X|I(|X| > n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha q}P(|X| > n^{\alpha q})]$$

$$\le Cn^{-\alpha+1+\alpha q-\alpha pq} E|X|^{p}I(|X| > n^{\alpha q}) + Cn^{-\alpha+1+\alpha q-\alpha pq}E|X|^{p}$$

$$\le Cn^{-\alpha+1+\alpha q-\alpha pq}E|X|^{p},$$

which together with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{\alpha p} < q < 1$  yields (3.7). Hence, by (3.7), we have that

$$I_{1} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (X_{ni}^{(1)} - EX_{ni}^{(1)}) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{6} \right).$$
 (3.8)

For fixed  $n \ge 1$ , we can see that  $\{X_{ni}^{(1)} - EX_{ni}^{(1)}, 1 \le i \le n\}$  are still END random variables by Lemma 2.1. It follows from (3.8), Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.2, that for any  $\delta \ge 2$ ,

$$I_{1} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left( X_{ni}^{(1)} - E X_{ni}^{(1)} \right) \right| \right)^{\delta}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \log^{\delta} n \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni}^{(1)}|^{\delta} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni}^{(1)}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{:}{=} C I_{11} + C I_{12}. \tag{3.9}$$

Taking  $\delta > \max\left\{\frac{\alpha p - 1}{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}, 2, p\right\}$ , we have

$$\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta + \alpha q \delta - \alpha p q = \alpha (p - \delta)(1 - q) - 1 < -1$$

and

$$\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta + \frac{\delta}{2} < -1.$$

It follows from  $C_r$  inequality, Markov's inequality and Lemma 2.4, that

$$I_{11} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \log^{\delta} n \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X_{i}|^{\delta} I(|X_{i}| \le n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha q \delta} P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha q})]$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta} \log^{\delta} n [E|X|^{\delta} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha q \delta} P(|X| > n^{\alpha q})]$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta + \alpha q \delta - \alpha p q} E|X|^{p} \log^{\delta} n$$

$$< \infty \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{I}_{12} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \log^{\delta} n \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} [EX_{i}^{2} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha q}) + n^{2\alpha q} P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha q})] \Big\}^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} \log^{\delta} n [EX^{2} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha q}) + n^{2\alpha q} P(|X| > n^{\alpha q})]^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} (EX^{2})^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \log^{\delta} n, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} (EX^{2})^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \log^{\delta} n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2 \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}} (EX^{2})^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \log^{\delta} n, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\alpha p - 1)(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}) - 1} (E|X|^{p})^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \log^{\delta} n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2 \end{cases} \\ & < \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence,  $I_1 < \infty$  follows from (3.9)–(3.11) immediately.

In the following, we will show that  $I_2 < \infty$ . For fixed  $n \ge 1$ , denote, for  $1 \le i \le n$ , that

$$X_{ni}^{(4)} = (X_i - n^{\alpha q})I(n^{\alpha q} < X_i \le n^{\alpha} + n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha}I(X_i > n^{\alpha} + n^{\alpha q}).$$

It is easily checked that

$$\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(2)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right) \subset \left(\max_{1\leq i\leq n} X_{i} > n^{\alpha} \right) \cup \left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(4)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right),$$

which implies that

$$I_{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}^{(4)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{3} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{:}{=} I_{21} + I_{22}. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (3.1) and  $E|X|^p < \infty$ , that

$$I_{21} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1} P(|X| > n^{\alpha}) \le CE|X|^p < \infty.$$
 (3.13)

Noting that  $\frac{1}{\alpha p} < q < 1$ , we have from the definition of  $X_{ni}^{(4)}$  and Lemma 2.4, that

$$n^{-\alpha} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} EX_{ni}^{(4)} \right| \le Cn^{1-\alpha} E|X|I(|X| > n^{\alpha q})$$

$$\leq C n^{1-\alpha+\alpha q-\alpha pq} E|X|^p \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ . (3.14)

Since  $X_{ni}^{(4)} > 0$ , by (3.12)–(3.14), we have that

$$I_{2} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{ni}^{(4)} - EX_{ni}^{(4)}\right)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}}{6}\right).$$
 (3.15)

For fixed  $n \ge 1$ , we can see that  $\{X_{ni}^{(4)} - EX_{ni}^{(4)}, 1 \le i \le n\}$  are still END random variables by Lemma 2.1. It follows from Markov's inequality,  $C_r$  inequality and Lemma 2.2, that

$$I_{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} E \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( X_{ni}^{(4)} - E X_{ni}^{(4)} \right) \Big|^{\delta}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \Big[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni}^{(4)}|^{\delta} + \Big( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E (X_{ni}^{(4)})^{2} \Big)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \Big]$$

$$\stackrel{.}{=} J_{1} + J_{2}. \tag{3.16}$$

By  $C_r$  inequality and Lemma 2.4, we can get that

$$\begin{split} &J_{1} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X_{i} - n^{\alpha q}|^{\delta} I(n^{\alpha q} < X_{i} \leq n^{\alpha} + n^{\alpha q}) + n^{\alpha \delta} P(X_{i} > n^{\alpha} + n^{\alpha q})] \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X_{i}|^{\delta} I(|X_{i}| \leq 2n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha \delta} P(X_{i} > n^{\alpha})] \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X|^{\delta} I(|X| \leq 2n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha \delta} P(|X| > n^{\alpha})] \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta} E|X|^{\delta} I(|X| \leq 2n^{\alpha}) + CE|X|^{p} \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X|^{\delta} I(2(i-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq 2i^{\alpha}) + CE|X|^{p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\alpha \delta} P(2(i-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq 2i^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \delta} + CE|X|^{p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\alpha p} P(2(i-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq 2i^{\alpha}) + CE|X|^{p} \\ &\leq CE|X|^{p} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Similarly to the proof of (3.11) and (3.17), we can obtain that  $J_2 < \infty$ , which, together with (3.16) and (3.17), yields that  $I_2 < \infty$ .

Similarly to the proof of  $I_2 < \infty$ , one can get that  $I_3 < \infty$ . Hence, (3.2) follows from (3.6),  $I_1 < \infty$ ,  $I_2 < \infty$  and  $I_3 < \infty$  immediately. This completes the proof of the theorem.

With Theorem 3.1 accounted for, we can get the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund-type strong law of large numbers for END random variables without identical distribution as follows.

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha p > 1$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of END random variables with  $EX_n = 0$  if  $p \geq 1$ . Assume that there exists a random variable X and two

positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that (3.1) holds for all  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ . If  $E|X|^p < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$
 (3.18)

**Proof** Since  $E|X|^p < \infty$ , by Theorem 3.1, we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right) < \infty.$$
 (3.19)

It follows from (3.19) that, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\infty > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \Big) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1} - 1} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \Big) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k)^{\alpha p - 2} 2^k P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon 2^{(k+1)\alpha} \Big), & \text{if } \alpha p \geq 2, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{k+1})^{\alpha p - 2} 2^k P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon 2^{(k+1)\alpha} \Big), & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha p < 2 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon 2^{(k+1)\alpha} \Big), & \text{if } \alpha p \geq 2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\Big(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^k} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big| > \varepsilon 2^{(k+1)\alpha} \Big), & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha p < 2, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

which, together with the Borel-Cantelli lemma, yields that

$$\frac{\max\limits_{1 \le j \le 2^k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right|}{2^{(k+1)\alpha}} \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$
(3.20)

For all positive integers n, there exists a positive integer k such that  $2^{k-1} \le n \le 2^k$ . We have, by (3.20), that

$$n^{-\alpha} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \Big| \le \max_{2^{k-1} \le n \le 2^k - \alpha} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \Big| \le \frac{2^{2\alpha} \max_{1 \le j \le 2^k} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \Big|}{2^{(k+1)\alpha}} \to 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

which implies (3.18). This completes the proof of the corollary.

If  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of END random variables with identical distribution, then (3.1) is obvious. By using Theorem 3.1, we can get the Baum-Katz-type result for END random variables as follows.

Corollary 3.2 Let  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha p > 1$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of END random variables with identical distribution. Assume further that  $EX_1 = 0$  if  $p \geq 1$ . Then (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent.

#### 3.2 Sufficient and necessary conditions for complete moment convergence

In this subsection, we will establish the sufficient and necessary conditions for complete moment convergence. First we present the necessary condition for complete moment convergence.

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. If for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^+ < \infty, \tag{3.21}$$

then  $E|X|^p < \infty$ .

Proof Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^{+}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} > t \right) dt$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon n^{\alpha}} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} > t \right) dt$$

$$\geq \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right). \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.21) and (3.22), we can get that (3.2) holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence,  $E|X|^p < \infty$  follows from Theorem 3.1 immediately. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Next we present the sufficient condition for complete moment convergence. Noting that the factor  $\log n$  is added to the right of the maximal moment inequality for END random variables (see Lemma 2.2), in order to establish the sufficient condition for complete moment convergence, the moment condition should be changed.

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold for  $p \ge 1$ . If  $E|X|^p \log^{\theta} |X| < \infty$  for some  $\theta > \max\{\frac{\alpha p - 1}{\alpha - \frac{1}{n}}, p\}$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^+ < \infty.$$
 (3.23)

**Proof** For fixed  $n \geq 1$ , denote, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , that

$$Y_{ni} = -n^{\alpha}I(X_i < -n^{\alpha}) + X_iI(|X_i| \le n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha}I(X_i > n^{\alpha}),$$
  

$$Z_{ni} = X_i - Y_{ni} = (X_i - n^{\alpha})I(X_i > n^{\alpha}) + (X_i + n^{\alpha})I(X_i < -n^{\alpha}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5, that for any  $\delta > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i \right| - \varepsilon n^{\alpha} \right)^{+}$$

$$\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \delta} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}) \right| \right)^{\delta}$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Z_{ni} - EZ_{ni}) \right| \right)$$
  

$$\doteq P_1 + P_2. \tag{3.24}$$

Noting that  $|Z_{ni}| = (|X_i| - n^{\alpha})I(|X_i| > n^{\alpha}) \le |X_i|I(|X_i| > n^{\alpha})$ , we have, by Lemma 2.4, that

$$P_{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Z_{ni} - EZ_{ni}) \right| \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Z_{ni}|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|I(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha})$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha} E|X|I(|X| > n^{\alpha})$$

$$= C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|I(i^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=1}^{i} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha}$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|I(i^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{\alpha}) \log i, & \text{if } p = 1, \\ C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|I(i^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{\alpha}) i^{\alpha p - \alpha}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} CE|X|\log|X|, & \text{if } p = 1, \\ CE|X|^{p}, & \text{if } p > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \infty. \tag{3.25}$$

Next, we will show that  $P_1 < \infty$ . Noting that  $\theta > p \ge 1$ , we can take  $\delta = \theta$ . We consider the following two cases.

#### Case 1 $1 < \theta \le 2$ .

It follows from (2.2) of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, that

$$P_{1} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left( Y_{ni} - EY_{ni} \right) \right| \right)^{\theta}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Y_{ni}|^{\theta}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E|X_{i}|^{\theta} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha \theta} P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha})]$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n [E|X|^{\theta} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha}) + n^{\alpha \theta} P(|X| > n^{\alpha})]$$

$$= C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|^{\theta} I((i-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq i^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n$$

$$+ C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(i^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{\alpha}) \sum_{n=1}^{i} n^{\alpha p - 1} \log^{\theta} n$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|^{\theta} I((i-1)^{\alpha} < |X| \leq i^{\alpha}) i^{\alpha p - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} i$$

$$+ C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(i^{\alpha} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{\alpha}) i^{\alpha p} \log^{\theta} i$$

$$\leq C E|X|^{p} \log^{\theta} |X| < \infty. \tag{3.26}$$

#### Case 2 $\theta > 2$ .

Since  $\theta > \frac{\alpha p - 1}{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}$ , we can see that  $\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2} < -1$ . Similarly to the proof of (3.26), we have, by (2.4) of Lemma 2.2,  $C_r$  inequality and Lemma 2.4, that

$$\begin{split} & P_{1} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} E \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left( Y_{ni} - E Y_{ni} \right) \right| \right)^{\theta} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Y_{ni}|^{\theta} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Y_{ni}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Y_{ni}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta} \log^{\theta} n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ E X_{i}^{2} I(|X_{i}| \leq n^{\alpha}) + n^{2\alpha} P(|X_{i}| > n^{\alpha}) \right] \right\}^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n \left[ E X^{2} I(|X| \leq n^{\alpha}) + n^{2\alpha} P(|X| > n^{\alpha}) \right]^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ & \leq \left\{ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2}} (E X^{2})^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n < \infty, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ & C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2}} + \alpha(2 - p)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} (E |X|^{p})^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2 \\ & = \begin{cases} C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2}} + \alpha(2 - p)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} (E |X|^{p})^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ & C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha \theta + \frac{\theta}{2}} (E X^{2})^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n, & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ & C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\alpha p - 1)(1 - \frac{\theta}{2}) - 1} (E |X|^{p})^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \log^{\theta} n, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2 \\ & < \infty. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Hence, the desired result (3.23) follows from (3.24)–(3.27) immediately. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements The authors are most grateful to the editor and anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions which helped to improve an earlier version of this paper.

#### References

- [1] Hsu, P. and Robbins, H., Complete convergence and the law of large numbers, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **33**, 1947, 25–31.
- [2] Erdös, On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 20, 1949, 286–291.
- [3] Baum, L. E. and Katz, M., Convergence rates in the law of large numbers, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **120**(1), 1965, 108–123.

- [4] Gut, A., Complete convergence for arrays, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 25, 1992, 51–75.
- [5] Peligrad, M. and Gut, A., Almost-sure results for a class of dependent random variables, *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 12, 1999, 87–104.
- [6] Wang, X. J., Xu, C., Hu, T. C., et al., On complete convergence for widely orthant-dependent random variables and its applications in nonparametric regression models, TEST, 23, 2014, 607–629.
- [7] Shen, A. T. and Wu, R. C., Strong convergence for sequences of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes, 86(2), 2014, 291–303.
- [8] Chow, Y. S., On the rate of moment complete convergence of sample sums and extremes, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica, 16(3), 1988, 177–201.
- [9] Chen, P. Y. and Wang, D. C., Complete moment convergence for sequence of identically distributed  $\varphi$ -mixing random variables, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, English Series, **26**(4), 2010, 679–690.
- [10] Wu, Y. F., Wang, C. H. and Volodin, A., Limiting behavior for arrays of rowwise  $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, **52**(5), 2012, 214–221.
- [11] Wang, X. J. and Hu, S. H., Complete convergence and complete moment convergence for martingale difference sequence, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 30(1), 2014, 119–132.
- [12] Liu, L., Precise large deviations for dependent random variables with heavy tails, Statistics and Probability Letters, 79, 2009, 1290–1298.
- [13] Joag-Dev, K. and Proschan, F., Negative association of random variables with applications, The Annals of Statistics, 11(1), 1983, 286–295.
- [14] Chen, Y., Chen, A. and Ng, K. W., The strong law of large numbers for extend negatively dependent random variables, *Journal of Applied Probability*, 47, 2010, 908–922.
- [15] Shen, A. T., Probability inequalities for END sequence and their applications, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2011, 2011, Article ID 98, 12 pages.
- [16] Wu, Y. F. and Guan, M., Convergence properties of the partial sums for sequences of END random variables, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 49(6), 2012, 1097–1110.
- [17] Wang, S. J. and Wang, X. J., Precise large deviations for random sums of END real-valued random variables with consistent variation, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 402, 2013, 660–667.
- [18] Qiu, D. H., Chen, P. Y., Antonini, R. G. and Volodin, A., On the complete convergence for arrays of rowwise extended negatively dependent random variables, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 50(2), 2013, 379–392.
- [19] Wang, X. J., Hu, T. C., Volodin, A. and Hu, S. H., Complete convergence for weighted sums and arrays of rowwise extended negatively dependent random variables, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 42, 2013, 2391–2401.
- [20] Wang, X. J., Wang, S. J., Hu, S. H., et al., On complete convergence of weighted sums for arrays of rowwise extended negatively dependent random variables, Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes, 85(6), 2013, 1060–1072.
- [21] Wang, X. J., Li, X. Q., Hu, S. H. and Wang, X. H., On complete convergence for an extended negatively dependent sequence, Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 43, 2014, 2923–2937.
- [22] Wang, X. J., Zheng, L. L., Xu, C. and Hu, S. H., Complete consistency for the estimator of nonparametric regression models based on extended negatively dependent errors, *Statistics: A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 49(2), 2015, 396–407.
- [23] Liu, L., Necessary and sufficient conditions for moderate deviations of dependent random variables with heavy tails, Science in China, Series A: Mathematics, 53(6), 2010, 1421–1434.
- [24] Asadian, N., Fakoor, V. and Bozorgnia, A., Rosenthal's type inequalities for negatively orthant dependent random variables, *JIRSS*, **5**(1–2), 2006, 69–75.
- [25] Stout, W. F., Almost Sure Convergence, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [26] Zhang, L. X. and Wen, J. W., Strong law of large numbers for B valued random fields, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series A, 22(2), 2001, 205–216.
- [27] Wu, Q. Y., Probability Limit Theory for Mixing Sequences, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [28] Sung, S. H., Moment inequalities and complete moment convergence, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2009, 2009, Article ID 271265, 14 papers.