

The Homology Growth for Finite Abelian Covers of Smooth Quasi-projective Varieties*

Fenglin LI¹ Yongqiang LIU²

Abstract Let X be a complex smooth quasi-projective variety with a fixed epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow H$, where H is a finitely generated abelian group with $\text{rank } H \geq 1$. In this paper, the authors study the asymptotic behaviour of Betti numbers with all possible field coefficients and the order of the torsion subgroup of singular homology associated to ν , known as the L^2 -type invariants. When ν is orbifold effective, explicit formulas of these invariants at degree 1 are given. This generalizes the authors' previous work for $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Keywords Mahler measure, Jump loci, Orbifold map, L^2 -Betti number

2020 MR Subject Classification 14F45, 32S20, 14H30, 57Q99

1 Introduction

There is a general principle to consider a classical invariant of a finite CW complex X and to define its analogues for some covering space of X . This leads to the L^2 -type invariants. Atiyah [4] introduced the notion of L^2 -Betti numbers in the context of a regular covering of a closed Riemannian manifold. After that, there have been vast literatures for the L^2 -invariant theory see [19]. A particular important result is Lück's approximation theorem (see [18]), which states that the L^2 -Betti numbers of the universal cover of a finite CW complex can be found as limits of normalized Betti numbers of finitely sheeted normal coverings. Then it becomes a classical subject to study L^2 -type invariants focusing on its approximation by towers of finite coverings (see [20–21]).

Let X be a connected finite CW complex with a fixed epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow H$, where H is a finitely generated abelian group with $\text{rank } H = n \geq 1$. We fix an isomorphism $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T$, where T is a finite abelian group. Consider $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as a subgroup of H under this isomorphism.

Manuscript received November 16, 2022. Revised March 2, 2023.

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.
E-mail: fl0125@mail.ustc.edu.cn

²Institute of Geometry and Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.
E-mail: liuyq@ustc.edu.cn

*This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Project (No. SQ2020YFA070080), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12001511), the Project of Stable Support for Youth Team in Basic Research Field CAS (No. YSBR-001), the Project of Analysis and Geometry on Bundles of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

For a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ of finite index, we set

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle = \min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \mid x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Gamma, x \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Let $X^{\nu, \Gamma}$ denote the covering space of X associated to the corresponding composition of ν and the quotient map $H \rightarrow H/\Gamma$. Consider the following limits

$$\alpha_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) := \lim_{\langle \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K})}{|H/\Gamma|}$$

for any field coefficients $\mathbb{K}$ and

$$\mathbf{M}_i(X^\nu) := \limsup_{\langle \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}|}{|H/\Gamma|}.$$

Here $|H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}|$ denotes the order of the torsion part of $H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z})$. These two limits are particular cases of L^2 -type invariants. Such kind of L^2 -type invariants have been studied by many people (see [20–21] for more results in this direction).

When H is free abelian, Linnell, Lück, Sauer [17, Theorem 0.2] showed that the first limit always exists. Meanwhile, the second limit also exists and can be computed by the Mahler measure of the Alexander polynomial (see [15]).

Moreover, if H has non-trivial torsion part (i.e., $T \neq 0$), the computation of these limits can be reduced to the free abelian case using a finite cover trick (see Section 3).

When X is a complex smooth quasi-projective variety and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the authors of [16] gave concrete formulas for these limits (at degree 1) in terms of the geometric information of X . The main results in this paper are to generalize these formulas to arbitrary finitely generated abelian group H with $\text{rank } H \geq 1$, when ν is an orbifold effective morphism.

We first give the definition of orbifold morphism.

Definition 1.1 (see [16]) *Let X be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety. An algebraic map $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{g,r}$ is called an orbifold map, if f is surjective, has connected generic fiber and $\Sigma_{g,r}$ is a smooth algebraic curve of genus g with r points removed. We always assume that $\Sigma_{g,r} \neq \mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{C}^1$. There exists a maximal Zariski open subset $U \subset \Sigma_{g,r}$ such that f is a fibration over U . Say $B = \Sigma_{g,r} - U$ (could be empty) has s points, denoted by $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$. We assign the multiplicity μ_j (the gcd of the coefficients of the divisor f^*q_j) of the fiber $f^*(q_j)$ to the point q_j . Such orbifold map f is called of type (g, r, μ) , where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$. When $B = \emptyset$, $\prod_{j=1}^s \mu_j = 1$ by convention.*

The orbifold group $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma_{g,r}, \mu)$ associated to these data is defined as

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma_{g,r}, \mu) := \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,r} \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}) / \langle \gamma_j^{\mu_j} = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq s \rangle,$$

where γ_j is a meridian of q_j . An orbifold map $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$ of type (g, r, μ) induces a surjective map to the orbifold group (see [3, Proposition 1.4])

$$f_*: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma_{g,r}, \mu).$$

When $\Sigma_{g,r}$ is clear in the context, we simply write Σ .

Definition 1.2 Let X be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety with an epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow H$. We say that ν is orbifold effective if there is an orbifold map $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{g,r}$ such that ν factors through f_* as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & H \\ & \searrow f_* & \nearrow \\ & \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma_{g,r}, \mu) & \end{array}$$

We say that ν is orbifold effective by f and call ν being of type (g, r, μ) .

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 Let X be a complex smooth quasi-projective variety with a fixed epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow H$, where H is a finitely generated abelian group with $\text{rank } H \geq 1$. Suppose that ν is orbifold effective of type (g, r, μ) . Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = p \geq 0$.

(a) If H is a free abelian group, then

$$\alpha_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = 2g + r - 2 + \#\{j \mid p \text{ divides } \mu_j\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_1(X^\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^s \log \mu_j.$$

(b) If H has non-trivial torsion part, then we have that

$$\alpha_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = 2g + r - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_j}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s, p \mid \frac{\mu_j}{m_j}} \frac{1}{m_j}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{m_j} \log \frac{\mu_j}{m_j},$$

where m_j is a positive integer dividing μ_j and it only depends on X and ν . For its definition, see Remark 3.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the theory of cohomology jump loci. In Section 2, we recall some basic properties of cohomology jump loci and give the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the free abelian case. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the non-free abelian case using a finite cover trick.

2 The Free Abelian Case

In this section we consider the case when H is a free abelian group with rank n , i.e., $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

2.1 Limits of Betti numbers

We recall the definition of cohomology jump loci. Let X be a connected finite CW complex with $\pi_1(X) = G$. The group of $\mathbb{K}$ -valued characters, $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$, is a commutative affine algebraic group. Each character $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ defines a rank one local system on X , denoted by L_ρ . Note that $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ only depends on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the abelianization of G .

Definition 2.1 (see [24]) *The cohomology jump loci of X are defined as*

$$\mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{K}) := \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{K}} H^i(X, L_\rho) \geq k\}.$$

When $k = 1$, we simply write $\mathcal{V}^i(X, \mathbb{K})$.

Cohomology jump loci are closed sub-varieties of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$ and homotopy invariants of X . In degree 1, $\mathcal{V}_k^1(X, \mathbb{K})$ depends only on $\pi_1(X)$ (e.g. see [24, Section 2.2]).

The map $\nu: G \twoheadrightarrow H \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ induces an embedding $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \subset \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{K}^*)$. For a tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$, let $\nu^{-1}L_\lambda$ denote the corresponding rank one local system on X whose monodromy representation factors through ν .

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. With the notations as above, for any $i \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ being general we have*

$$\alpha_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = \dim H^i(X, \nu^{-1}L_\lambda).$$

In particular, $\alpha_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{K})$ is always an integer.

Proof Let $\pi: X^{\nu, \Gamma} \rightarrow X$ denote the covering map. Then

$$H^i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K}) = H^i(X, \pi_*\mathbb{K}),$$

where $\pi_*\mathbb{K}$ is the push forward of the $\mathbb{K}$ -constant sheaf on $X^{\nu, \Gamma}$, hence a rank $|\mathbb{Z}^n/\Gamma|$ local system.

[17, Theorem 0.2] and [1, Theorem 17] show that the limit $\alpha_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{K})$ always exists. By choosing a sub-sequence, we may assume that $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \nmid |\mathbb{Z}^n/\Gamma|$. Since Γ is a sub-group of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with finite index, Γ is also a free abelian group with rank n . Using Smith normal form, without loss of generality we say $\Gamma = N_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus N_n\mathbb{Z}$ for a tuple of positive integers, where $N_n \mid N_{n-1} \mid \dots \mid N_1$. In particular, $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \nmid N_1$. Then the local system $\pi_*\mathbb{K}$ decomposes as the direct sum of $|\mathbb{Z}^n/\Gamma|$ -many rank one local systems. Suppose that L_λ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ is to be one of the direct sum factors. Then $\lambda_j^{N_j} = 1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose that a is the number such that

$$(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \subseteq \mathcal{V}_a^i(X, \mathbb{K}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \not\subseteq \mathcal{V}_{a+1}^i(X, \mathbb{K}).$$

Note that $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \cap \mathcal{V}_{a+1}^i(X, \mathbb{K})$ is a subvariety of $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ with less dimension. There exists a hypersurface V such that

$$(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \cap \mathcal{V}_{a+1}^i(X, \mathbb{K}) \subset V = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \mid u(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0\},$$

where u is a polynomial. Without loss of generality, suppose that the degree of t_1 for u is $d \geq 1$. Then for a fixed $(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $u(t_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ has at most d -many solutions. Hence we have

$$a \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n N_j \leq \dim H^i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K}) \leq a \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n N_j + c \cdot d \cdot \prod_{j=2}^n N_j,$$

where c is some constant number which only depends on X (e.g. c can be taken as the number of i -cells in X). It implies

$$a \leq \frac{\dim H^i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K})}{\prod_{j=1}^n N_j} \leq a + c \cdot \frac{d}{N_1}.$$

For field coefficients, $\dim H^i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K}) = \dim H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{K})$. Taking $\langle \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \infty$, we are done since N_1 goes to infinity.

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the cohomology jump loci of complex smooth quasi-projective variety have been intensively studied. In particular, the following structure theorem for $\mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ puts strong constraints for the homotopy type of complex smooth quasi-projective variety. It is contributed by many people and we name a few here: Arapura [2], Dimca-Papadima [12], Dimca-Papadima-Suciu [13], Green-Lazarsfeld [14], Simpson [23], etc. It is finalized by Budur and Wang in [6–7].

Theorem 2.1 (see [6–7]) *If X is a complex smooth variety, then $\mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a finite union of torsion translated sub-tori of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$.*

2.2 Limits of torsion

The second type limit also exists, see [15, Theorem 5]. It can be computed by the Mahler measure of the i -th integral Alexander polynomial $\Delta_i(X^\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$. Let us recall the definitions of multivariable Alexander polynomials and Mahler measures.

Recall that X is a connected finite CW-complex with a group epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then the group of covering transformations of the covering space X^ν is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and acts on it. By choosing fixed lifts of the cells of X to X^ν , we obtain a free basis for the cellular chain complex of X^ν as R_n -modules, where $R_n = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^n] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$. So the cellular chain complex of X^ν , $C_*(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z})$, is a bounded complex of finitely generated free R_n -modules:

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{i+1}(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} C_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} C_{i-1}(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_0(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1)$$

With the above free basis for $C_*(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z})$, ∂_i can be written down as a matrix with entries in R_n . Note that R_n is a Noetherian UFD. Assume that ∂_i has rank r_i .

Let $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ denote the greatest common divisor of all non-zero $(r_i \times r_i)$ -minors of ∂_i . When $\partial_i = 0$, $\Delta_i(X^\nu) = 1$ by convention.

Definition 2.2 (see [24]) *$\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ is called the i -th n -variable Alexander polynomial of (X, ν) . Then $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ is defined uniquely up to a multiplication with a unit of R_n .*

Definition 2.3 (see [22]) *Let $h \in R_n$ be a nonzero polynomial. The Mahler measure of h is defined by*

$$\mathbf{M}(h) := \int_{(S^1)^n} \log |h(s)| ds,$$

where ds indicates integration with respect to normalized Haar measure, and $(S^1)^n$ is the multiplicative subgroup of n -dimensional complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$ consisting of all vectors $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $|s_1| = \dots = |s_n| = 1$. Here h is regarded as a function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Recall that an element $h \in R_n$ is called a generalized cyclotomic polynomial (see [22, page 47]) if it is of the form $h(t_1, \dots, t_n) = t^{\mathbf{m}} \Phi(t^{\mathbf{n}})$, where $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mathbf{n} \neq 0$, and Φ is a cyclotomic polynomial in a single variable.

Theorem 2.2 (see [22, Theorem 19.5]) *Let $h \in R_n$. Then $\mathbf{M}(h) = 0$ if and only if $\pm h$ is a product of generalized cyclotomic polynomials.*

Theorem 2.3 (see [15, Theorem 5]) *With the notations above, we have*

$$\limsup_{\langle \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |H_i(X^{\nu, \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}|}{|\mathbb{Z}^n / \Gamma|} = \mathbf{M}(\Delta_i(X^\nu)).$$

When $n = 1$, then $\limsup$ can be replaced by the ordinary $\lim$.

Theorem 2.1 implies the following property for the Alexander polynomial associated to the pair (X, ν) . For the one-variable version of the following result, see [5, Proposition 1.4] and [16, Proposition 3.7].

Proposition 2.2 *Let X be a complex smooth variety with a fixed epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ is a product of an integer c_i with some generalized cyclotomic polynomials, where c_i is the leading coefficient of $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$. In particular, $\mathbf{M}(\Delta_i(X^\nu)) = \log c_i$.*

Proof The structure theorem for cohomology jump loci $\mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ implies that $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cap \mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a finite union of torsion translated sub-tori. From now on, we consider $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ as an element in $\mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$. We claim that the irreducible factors of $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ can be observed by the irreducible hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cap \mathcal{V}_k^i(X, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that h is a irreducible factor of $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$. Then h generates a prime ideal with height 1. Let $\mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]_{(h)}$ be its localization at the prime ideal (h) , which is a PID. Consider $H_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{C})$ as a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ -module and its localization $H_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{C})_{(h)}$. Then by a similar proof to that of [11, Theorem 4.2], we have

$$\dim H_i(X, \nu^{-1} L_\lambda) = \text{rank } H_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{C})_{(h)} + J_i + J_{i-1},$$

where λ is a general point in the zero locus of h , $\text{rank } H_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{C})_{(h)}$ is its rank as a finitely generated module over the PID $\mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]_{(h)}$ and J_i is the number of direct summands of the torsion part of $H_i(X^\nu, \mathbb{C})_{(h)}$. By universal coefficients theorem over the PID $\mathbb{C}[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]_{(h)}$, one can translate from homology to cohomology. Then by structure theorem, we get that h has the form $\prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{d_j} = \varepsilon$, where $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is a non-zero tuple of integers and ε is a roots

of unity. Since $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ has integer coefficients, $\Delta_i(X^\nu)$ is a product of generalized cyclotomic polynomial with some integer c_i by Galois theory. Then the claim follows. In particular, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\mathbf{M}(\Delta_i(X^\nu)) = \log c_i$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(a) Note that since $b_i(X, \mathbb{K})$ only depend on $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$, not on the specific choice of the field $\mathbb{K}$. So without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. The first equation follows from Proposition 2.1 and [16, Theorem 1.7].

For the second equation, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \nu \quad} & \mathbb{Z}^n & \xrightarrow{\quad \kappa \quad} & \mathbb{Z}, \\ & \searrow f_* & \nearrow & & \\ & & \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\Sigma_{g,r}) & & \end{array}$$

where κ is a surjective morphism to $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that κ is represented by a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$. Then it is easy to see that if we substitute $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by $(t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_n})$ in the matrix ∂_1 of the chain complex (2.1), we get the corresponding matrix for the chain complex of $X^{\kappa \circ \nu}$. By choosing a general tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, the rank of ∂_1 does not change after substituting. Hence $\Delta_1(X^{\kappa \circ \nu}) = \Delta_1(X^\nu)(t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_n})$ in this case. Note that $\kappa \circ \nu$ is an orbifold effective morphism of the type (g, r, μ) . By [16, Theorem 1.11] we know that $\Delta_1(X^{\kappa \circ \nu})$ has leading coefficient $\prod_{j=1}^s \mu_j$. It coincides with the leading coefficient of $\Delta_1(X^\nu)$. Then the claim follows from the above proposition.

3 The General Case

In this section, we study the case where H has non-trivial torsion part. Say $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T$, where T is a finitely generated torsion abelian group. Let q be the quotient map $H \rightarrow T$ and X^T denote the corresponding finite cover of X associated to $q \circ \nu$. Then it is easy to see that there exists an epimorphism $\nu^T: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(X^T) & \xrightarrow{\quad \nu^T \quad} & \mathbb{Z}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^n / \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad \nu \quad} & \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^n / \Gamma \oplus T. \end{array}$$

Due to the choice of Γ , we can identify the finite index covering spaces associated to the two composed horizontal maps. Then we have the following result, which reduces the computations to the free abelian case.

Lemma 3.1 *With the notations above, we have the following equations*

$$\alpha_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = \frac{1}{|T|} \alpha_1((X^T)^{\nu^T}, \mathbb{K}) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_1(X^\nu) = \frac{1}{|T|} \mathbf{M}_1((X^T)^{\nu^T}). \quad (3.2)$$

We can choose X^T to be a smooth quasi-projective variety and the corresponding cover map $X^T \rightarrow X$ to be algebraic. Assume that the epimorphism $\nu: \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow H$ is orbifold effective by some orbifold map $f: X \rightarrow C$ for some smooth curve C . By projectivising, we get a map $h: \overline{X^T} \rightarrow \overline{C}$ for the map $X^T \xrightarrow{f \circ \pi} C$. Using Stein factorization, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^T & \longrightarrow & \overline{X^T} \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow h' \\ S & \longrightarrow & \overline{S} \xrightarrow{h''} \overline{C}, \end{array}$$

where h'' is a finite map, h' has connected fiber and $g := h'|_{X^T}: X^T \rightarrow S := \text{Im}(g)$. Then [9, Lemma 2.2] shows that g has connected generic fiber. Hence g is an orbifold map and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^T & \xrightarrow{g} & S \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & C, \end{array}$$

where π is the covering map and π' is obtained from h'' by taking restrictions over S .

The image of the following composed map

$$\pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T$$

is $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (the last map exists since f is orbifold effective), hence one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X^T) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n. \end{array}$$

In particular, the orbifold map g makes $\pi_1(X^T) \xrightarrow{\nu^T} \mathbb{Z}^n$ orbifold effective.

Using Lemma 3.1, one can reduce the computation to the free abelian case. All we need to know is the orbifold information of g . For any point $b \in C$, let D_b be a small enough open disc of b . Set $T_b = f^{-1}(D_b)$. Next we study the point b in two cases.

Case 1 $b \notin B$. Then $F = f^{-1}(b)$ is the generic fiber of f and T_b is smooth over D_b , hence admits a trivial fibration over D_b . Dimca proved the following short exact sequence (see [9, Section 5] and [10, Section 6.3])

$$H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C)),$$

where $H_1(\pi_1(C)^{\text{orb}})$ is the abelization of $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C)$. Then the following composed group homomorphism is trivial

$$\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C) \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow T,$$

since T is abelian. Hence $\pi^{-1}(F)$ has $|T|$ -many disjoint copies of F . Note that g is an orbifold map, which has connected generic fiber. Therefore $(\pi')^{-1}(b) = g(\pi^{-1}(F))$ consists of $|T|$ -many points. In particular, F is also a generic fiber for g .

Case 2 $b \in B$. Consider the following composed group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(T_b) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C) \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow T.$$

Let m_b denote the order of the image group of the above composed group homomorphism. Then $\pi^{-1}(T_b)$ has $\frac{|T|}{m_b}$ -many connected components. Note that g is an orbifold morphism, which has connected generic fiber. Hence $g(\pi^{-1}(T_b)) = (\pi')^{-1}(D_b)$ also has $\frac{|T|}{m_b}$ -many connected components, i.e., $\pi'^{-1}(b)$ has $\frac{|T|}{m_b}$ many points. Therefore we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(T_b) & \xrightarrow[\quad g \quad]{\mu_b/m_b} & (\pi')^{-1}(D_b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ T_b & \xrightarrow[\quad f \quad]{\mu_b} & D_b. \end{array}$$

Let us explain the integers appearing in the diagram. μ_b for the bottom horizontal map means that f has multiplicity μ_b over b . Note that for any point $a \in D_b \setminus \{b\}$, $(\pi')^{-1}(a)$ has $|T|$ -many points and $(\pi')^{-1}(b)$ has $\frac{|T|}{m_b}$ -many points. Due to the construction of g , $(\pi')^*b$ as a divisor has the same coefficients for every point in $(\pi')^{-1}(b)$ (since composing with the deck transformation over X^T does not change g). So π' has multiplicity m_b over b . On the other hand, since π is a finite cover map, the left vertical map has multiplicity 1. Putting these together, we get that g has multiplicity $\frac{\mu_b}{m_b}$ over every point in $(\pi')^{-1}(b)$.

Remark 3.1 The above proof shows that m_b divides μ_b . To explain this fact, we first recall the proof of [3, Proposition 1.4]. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(f^{-1}(U)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C). \end{array}$$

All the vertical maps are surjective since they are induced by inclusions. All the horizontal maps are surjective since the generic fiber is connected. For any point $b \in B$, say $f^*(b) := \mu_b^1 E_1 + \cdots + \mu_b^k E_k$, where $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ are reduced irreducible components of $f^{-1}(b)$. Then the point b has the signed multiplicity $\mu_b := \gcd(\mu_b^1, \dots, \mu_b^k)$. Since f maps γ_{E_i} to $(\gamma_b)^{\mu_b^i}$, where γ_{E_i} and γ_b are the meridians for E_i and b , respectively, it is easy to see that the first horizontal map in the above diagram factors through $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C)$.

By the same proof, we get a surjective map $\pi_1(T_b) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mu_b \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we get the

following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(T_b) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/\mu_b\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C) \longrightarrow H, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/\mu_b\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(C)$ is given by the natural injective map. Hence m_b can be defined as the order of the image group for the composition of the bottom horizontal maps. In particular, m_b divides μ_b .

Proof of Theorem 1.1(b) To finish the proof, we need to compute $\chi(S)$. Note that

$$\chi(S) - \sum_{b \in B} \frac{|T|}{m_b} = |T|(\chi(C) - |B|), \quad (3.3)$$

hence

$$\chi(S) = |T| \left(\chi(C) + \sum_{b \in B} \left(\frac{1}{m_b} - 1 \right) \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Using the results in Section 2 and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\alpha_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = -\chi(C) + \sum_{b \in B} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_b} \right) + \sum_{b \in B, p \mid \frac{\mu_b}{m_b}} \frac{1}{m_b} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = \sum_{b \in B} \frac{1}{m_b} \log \frac{\mu_b}{m_b}. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.2 Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p \geq 0$. If p does not divide $|T|$, then $R\pi_*\mathbb{K}_{X^T}$ is a direct sum of $|T|$ -many rank one local systems and $\text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{K}^*)$ has exactly $|T|$ -many connected components, where each of them is a copy of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{K}^*)$. By [16, Theorem 4.8], the formula (3.5) should be understood as the average of $\dim H^1(X, \nu^{-1}L_\lambda)$ for λ being general in every connected components.

Example 3.1 (see [8, Example 6.12]) Fix an integer $\mu \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ be the deleted monomial arrangement, where its defining equation in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is $yz(x^\mu - y^\mu)(x^\mu - z^\mu)(y^\mu - z^\mu)$. Ordering the hyperplanes as the factors of the defining polynomial. Its projective complement X admits an orbifold map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by

$$\frac{z^\mu(x^\mu - y^\mu)}{y^\mu(x^\mu - z^\mu)},$$

which is of type $(0, 2, \mu)$.

Let m be a positive integer, which divides μ . Consider ν as the composition of the following maps

$$\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/\mu\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},$$

where the first map is induced by the orbifold map and the second map is the quotient map. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\alpha_1(X^\nu, \mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{\mu}{m}, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{m}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbf{M}_1(X^\nu) = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\mu}{m}$.

On the other hand, if p does not divide m , then [16, Theorem 4.8] shows that for general $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{K}^*)$,

$$\dim H_1(X, \nu^{-1}L_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \nmid \mu \text{ and } \lambda \text{ is general in } \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{K}^*), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where its average is same as the formula given above.

Acknowledgement The authors thank Professor Bin Xu for valuable comments.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Abért, M., Jaikin-Zapirain, A. and Nikolov, N., The rank gradient from a combinatorial viewpoint, *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, **5**(2), 2011, 213–230.
- [2] Arapura, D., Geometry of cohomology support loci for local systems. I, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **6**(3), 1997, 563–597.
- [3] Artal Bartolo, E., Cogolludo-Agustín, J. I. and Matei, D., On the connection between fundamental groups and pencils with multiple fibers, *J. Singul.*, **2**, 2010, 1–18.
- [4] Atiyah, M. F., Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras, *Astérisque*, **32–33**, 1976, 43–72.
- [5] Budur, N., Liu, Y. and Wang, B., The monodromy theorem for compact Kähler manifolds and smooth quasi-projective varieties, *Math. Ann.*, **371**(3–4), 2018, 1069–1086.
- [6] Budur, N. and Wang, B., Cohomology jump loci of quasi-projective varieties, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, **48**(1), 2015, 227–236.
- [7] Budur, N. and Wang, B., Absolute sets and the decomposition theorem, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, **53**(2), 2020, 469–536.
- [8] Denham, G. and Suciu, A., Multinets, parallel connections, and Milnor fibrations of arrangements, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **108**(6), 2014, 1435–1470.
- [9] Dimca, A., Characteristic varieties and constructible sheaves, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, **18**(4), 2007, 365–389.
- [10] Dimca, A., Hyperplane Arrangements: An Introduction, Springer-verlag, Cham, 2017, 200 pp.
- [11] Dimca, A. and Némethi, A., Hypersurface Complements, Alexander Modules and Monodromy, Real and Complex Singularities, 19–43, *Contemp. Math.*, **354**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [12] Dimca, A. and Papadima, S., Non-abelian cohomology jump loci from an analytic viewpoint, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **16**(4), 2014, 1350025, 47 pp.
- [13] Dimca, A., Papadima, S. and Suciu, A. I., Topology and geometry of cohomology jump loci, *Duke Math. J.*, **148**, 2009, 405–457.
- [14] Green, M. and Lazarsfeld, R., Higher obstructions to deforming cohomology groups of line bundles, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**(1), 1991, 87–103.
- [15] Le, T., Homology torsion growth and Mahler measure, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **89**(3), 2014, 719–757.
- [16] Li, F. and Liu, Y., L^2 -type invariants and cohomology jump loci for smooth complex quasi-projective varieties, arXiv: 2110.03356.

- [17] Linnell, P., Lück, W. and Sauer, R., The limit of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Betti numbers of a tower of finite covers with amenable fundamental groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **139**(2), 2011, 421–434.
- [18] Lück, W., Approximating L^2 -invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **4**(4), 1994, 455–481.
- [19] Lück, W., L^2 -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K -theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, 595 pp.
- [20] Lück, W., Approximating L^2 -invariants and homology growth, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **23**(2), 2013, 622–663.
- [21] Lück, W., Approximating L^2 -invariants by their classical counterparts, *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.*, **3**(2), 2016, 269–344.
- [22] Schmidt, K., Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [23] Simpson, C., Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, **26**(3), 1993, 361–401.
- [24] Suciu, A. I., Fundamental Groups, Alexander Invariants, and Cohomology Jumping Loci, Topology of Algebraic Varieties and Singularities, 179–223, Contemp. Math., **538**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.