

# THE FIRST BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR QUASILINEAR DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS OF SECOND ORDER IN SEVERAL SPACE VARIABLES

WU ZHUOQUN (伍卓群) ZHAO JUNNING (赵俊宁)

(Jilin University)

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the first boundary value problem for quasilinear equations of the form

$$Lu \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f^i(u, x, t) = g(u, x, t)$$

with  $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$  and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m a^{ij}(u, x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0, \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in R^m.$$

Under certain conditions, the existence of generalized solutions in BV is proved by means of the method of parabolic regularization. To do this, we need some estimates on the family  $\{u_\epsilon\}$  of solutions of regularized problems and the most difficult step is to estimate  $|\text{grad } u_\epsilon|_{L^1}$ . In addition, some results on the uniqueness and stability of generalized solutions are established.

## § 1. Introduction

The investigation of global solutions of the first boundary value problem for quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations of second order began at the fifties [1] and has been continued in recent years (e. g. [2, 3]). However most of the previous works were concerned with special equations. The paper [4] by A. I. Bol'pert and S. I. Hudjaev was the first devoted to the global solutions for general quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations; there the existence and the uniqueness of solutions in BV, a class of discontinuous functions, were established for the Cauchy problem. In a recent paper [5], one of the authors studied the global solutions of the first boundary value problem for such general equations in one space variable and some results on existence and uniqueness were obtained. In this paper, we wish to extend these results to the equations in several space variables.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded region in  $R^m$  with boundary  $\Sigma$  appropriately smooth. We will investigate the first boundary value problem for quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations of the form

$$Lu \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial f^i(u, x, t)}{\partial x_i} = g(u, x, t) \quad (1.1)$$

in the cylinder  $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ . For simplicity, we consider only the homogeneous boundary value problem. Here  $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$ ,  $f^i$  and  $g$  are appropriately smooth for  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T$  and

$$a^{ij}(u, x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0, \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in R^m. \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout this paper, pairs of equal indices imply a summation from 1 up to  $m$ . We will assume that  $f^i_{xu}$  and  $g_u$  are bounded for  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T$ .

When  $a^{ij} \equiv 0$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), the equation (1.1) degenerates to a first order equation. In this case, the same problem has been investigated in [6].

As in [5], we will investigate the solvability in  $BV(Q_T)$ , the class of all integrable functions on  $Q_T$  whose generalized derivatives are measures with bounded variation. Similar to [5], the existence will be proved by means of the method of parabolic regularization, namely, the solution of our problem will be obtained as a limit point of the family  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  of solutions of regularized problems

$$L_\varepsilon u \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \Delta u - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial f^i(u, x, t)}{\partial x_i} = g(u, x, t), \quad (\varepsilon > 0) \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (1.4)$$

$$u|_{\Sigma \times [0, T]} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In order to prove the compactness of  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  we need to establish some estimates on  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ . However, in present case of several space variables, it is more difficult to estimate  $|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon|_{L^1(Q)}$ . In addition, since for the limit function  $u$  of a certain subsequence of  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ , it is possible that the trace  $\gamma \left( \widehat{a^{ij}(u, x, t)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)$  on  $\Sigma$  does not exist  $\left( \widehat{a^{ij}(u, x, t)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)$  need not have the trace  $\gamma \left( \widehat{a^{ij}(u, x, t)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)$  on  $\Sigma$ , we have to make a detour to avoid  $\gamma \left( \widehat{a^{ij}(u, x, t)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)$  in defining solutions.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{(x, t) \in \Sigma \times [0, T]; \quad a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j = 0\}, \\ S_2 &= \{(x, t) \in \Sigma \times [0, T]; \quad a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j > 0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$  denotes the inner normal on  $\Sigma$ . We will assume that

$$S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset; \quad (1.7)$$

in other words,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  can be expressed by

$$S_1 = \Sigma_1 \times [0, T] \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \Sigma_2 \times [0, T]$$

with

$$\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 = \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset.$$

The paper is constructed as follows. We first define solutions of the first boundary value problem for (1.1) in § 2. Subsequently, in § 3, we establish the required estimates of solutions  $u_\varepsilon$  of regularized problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5). On the basis of these estimates, we then prove the existence of solutions of our problem in § 4. The last section (§ 5) is devoted to the uniqueness and stability of solutions.

We begin with some preliminary discussions.

Let  $\delta_0 > 0$  be small enough such that

$$E^{\delta_0} = \{x \in \bar{\Omega}; \text{dist}(x, \Sigma) \leq \delta_0\} \subset \bigcup_{\tau=1}^N V_\tau,$$

where  $V_\tau$  is a region, on which one can introduce local coordinates

$$y_k = F_\tau^k(x) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, m), \quad y_m|_\Sigma = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

with  $F_\tau^k$  appropriately smooth and  $F_\tau^m = F_\tau^m$  on  $V_\tau \cap V_l$  such that the  $y_m$ -axis coincides with the inner normal.

Let  $\delta(\sigma) \in C^\infty(R)$ ,  $\delta(\sigma) \geq 0$ ,  $\delta(\sigma) = 0$  ( $|\sigma| \geq 1$ ),  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\sigma) d\sigma = 1$ . Denote

$$\delta_h(\sigma) = \frac{1}{h} \delta\left(\frac{\sigma}{h}\right).$$

Obviously,  $\delta_h(\sigma) \in C^\infty(R)$ ,  $\delta_h(\sigma) \geq 0$ ,  $\delta_h(\sigma) = 0$  ( $|\sigma| \geq h$ ),  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_h(\sigma) d\sigma = 1$  and  $|\delta_h(\sigma)| \leq \frac{c}{h}$  for some constant  $c$ .

By a theorem on partition of unity, there exist nonnegative functions  $\varphi_\tau(x) \in C_0^2(V_\tau)$  such that  $\sum_{\tau=1}^N \varphi_\tau = 1$  for  $x \in E^{\delta_0/2}$ .

Let

$$p^h(\sigma) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma-2h} \delta_h(\tau) d\tau.$$

We will frequently make use of the function

$$\rho_h(x) = \sum_{\tau=1}^N \varphi_\tau(x) p_\tau^h(x), \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$p_\tau^h(x) = p^h(F_\tau^m(x)). \quad (1.10)$$

Since  $\text{supp } \varphi_\tau \subset V_\tau$ , we may think  $\rho_h(x)$  to be defined on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Obviously  $\rho_h(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_h(x) &= 1, \text{ near } \Sigma, \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \rho_h(x) &= 0, \text{ for any } x \in \Omega, \\ 0 &\leq \rho_h(x) \leq 1, \quad |\text{grad } \rho_h| \leq \frac{c}{h}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $h$ .

We need the following auxiliary propositions concerning the trace of BV functions.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$ . Then  $u$  (more precisely, certain function equivalent to  $u$ ) has its trace  $\gamma u$  at almost all of the boundary points of  $Q_T$  and  $\gamma u \in L^\infty$ .*

By the trace  $\gamma u$  at a boundary point we mean the limit of  $u$  at this point taking

along the normal.

*Proof* Since  $u \in BV(Q_T)$ , for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , as a function of  $t$ ,  $u(x, t) \in BV(0, T)$ . Hence  $u(x, t)$  has the right limit at every point of  $[0, T]$ . In particular, the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(x, t)$  exists. Obviously,  $\gamma u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Now we consider the trace on  $\Sigma \times (0, T)$ . Introduce local coordinates (1.8) on  $V_\tau$ . Since  $u \in BV(Q_T)$ , for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ , as a function of  $y$ ,  $\bar{u}(y, t) = u(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t) = u(x, t) \in BV(V_\tau)$ . Hence  $\bar{u}(y, t)$  has the right limit on  $\Sigma^\tau = \Sigma \cap V_\tau$  almost everywhere as  $y_m \rightarrow 0^+$ , namely,  $u(x, t)$  has the limit taking along the normal. Obviously,  $\gamma u \in L^\infty(\Sigma^\tau \times (0, T))$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $u \in BV(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega} u(x) \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{\Sigma} \gamma u \cdot n_i d\sigma,$$

where  $\rho_h$  is the function in (1.9).

*Proof* It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau p_\tau^h}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{\Sigma \cap V_\tau} \gamma u \varphi_\tau n_i d\sigma.$$

From the definition of  $p_\tau^h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau p_\tau^h}{\partial x_i} dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau}{\partial x_i} p_\tau^h dx + \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \varphi_\tau \frac{\partial p_\tau^h}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau}{\partial x_i} p_\tau^h dx - \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \varphi_\tau \delta_h (F_\tau^m - 2h) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau}{\partial x_i} p_\tau^h dx \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^1 \delta(z) \left( \int_{S_\tau(zh+2h)} \bar{u}(y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, zh+2h) \cdot \varphi_\tau \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} K dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1} \right) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_\tau(y_0) = \{y \in \bar{V}_\tau; y = y_0\}$ ,  $\bar{V}_\tau$  being the region obtained from  $V$  by local coordinates transformation,  $\bar{u}(y) = u(x)$ . By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we deduce

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{S_\tau(0)} \gamma \bar{u}|_{y_m=0} \varphi_\tau \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} K dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1} = - \int_{\Sigma \cap V_\tau} \gamma u \cdot \varphi_\tau n_i d\sigma.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $u(x) \in BV(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \gamma u \cdot n_i d\sigma.$$

*Proof* Since  $1 - \rho_h \in C_0^2(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} (1 - \rho_h) dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial (1 - \rho_h)}{\partial x_i} dx$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \rho_h dx + \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , by Lemma 2 and Lebesgue's theorem, we obtain the desired result.

## § 2. Definition of generalized solutions

Let  $\Gamma_u$  be the set of all jump points of  $u \in BV(Q_T)$ ,  $\nu$  the normal of  $\Gamma_u$  at  $X = (x, t)$ ,  $u^+(X)$  and  $u^-(X)$  the approximate limits of  $u$  at  $X \in \Gamma_u$  with respect to  $(\nu, Y - X) > 0$  and  $(\nu, Y - X) < 0$  respectively. For continuous function  $p(u, x, t)$  and  $u = u(x, t) \in BV(Q_T)$ , denote

$$\widehat{p}(u, x, t) = \int_0^1 p(\tau u^+ + (1-\tau)u^-, x, t) d\tau,$$

which is called the composite mean value of  $p(u, x, t)$  and  $u = u(x, t)$ .

**Definition.** A function  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$  is said to be the generalized solution of the first boundary value problem for (1.1) with homogeneous boundary value and initial value  $u_0(x)$  if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. There exist functions  $g^i \in L^2(Q_T)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that

$$\iint_{Q_T} \varphi(x, t) g^i(x, t) dx dt = \iint_{Q_T} \varphi(x, t) \widehat{r^{ij}}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $(r^{ij})$  is the square root of the matrix  $(a^{ij})$ .

2. For almost all  $x \in \Omega$

$$\gamma u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \quad (2.2)$$

3. For almost all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$A^{ij}(\gamma u, x, t) n_i n_j = 0 \text{ a. e. on } \Sigma, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $A^{ij}(u, x, t) = \int_0^u a^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau$ .

4.  $u$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u - k| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} - \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) \left[ \widehat{a^{ij}}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} + (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t) \varphi_1) \right] \right\} dx dt + \operatorname{sgn} k \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ u \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \widehat{a^{ij}}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} - (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_2 \right\} dx dt \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\overline{Q_T})$ ,  $\varphi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi_1|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)} = \varphi_2|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_1, \operatorname{supp} \varphi_2 \subset \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)$  and  $k \in R$ .

**Proposition 1.** Condition 3 in the above definition is equivalent to the fact that

$$\gamma u = 0 \text{ a. e. on } S_2 \quad (2.5)$$

and for almost all points of  $S_1$  with  $\gamma u \neq 0$

$$a^{ij}(s, x, t) n_j = \gamma^{ij}(s, x, t) n_j = 0, \quad \forall s \in I(0, \gamma u), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  denotes the closed interval with endpoints  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If for any  $\varepsilon_0 \neq 0$

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_0} a^{ij}(s, x, t) n_i n_j ds \neq 0 \quad \text{a. e. on } S_1, \quad (2.7)$$

then

$$\gamma u = 0 \quad \text{a. e. on } \Sigma \times [0, T]. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof* The first conclusion follows from the definition of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  (see (1.6)) and the assumption (1.2). The second conclusion is evident.

**Proposition 2.** If  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$  satisfies conditions 1 and 4 in the above definition, then

4'.  $u$  satisfies

$$\iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u-k| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) \left[ \widehat{a^{ij}}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} + (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi \right] \right\} dx dt \geq 0 \quad (2.9)$$

for any nonnegative function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$  and  $k \in R$ .

Conversely, if  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$  satisfies conditions 1, 3 and 4', and  $\gamma u = 0$  a. e. on  $\Sigma \times [0, T]$ ,  $g^i \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ ), then  $u$  satisfies 4.

The first part is evident. In order to prove the second part, we first prove

**Lemma 4.** If  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$  satisfies conditions 1, 3 and 4' with  $g^i \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ ), then for any  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$  with  $\varphi_1|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)} = \varphi_2|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)}$  and  $0 \neq k \in R$ ,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{Q_T} \widehat{a^{ij}}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} dx dt = 0,$$

where  $\rho_h$  is the function in (1.9).

*Proof* We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \widehat{a^{ij}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\ &= \sum_{\tau=1}^N \int_0^T \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} r^{ij} g^j (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_\tau}{\partial x_i} p_\tau^h + \varphi_\tau \delta_h (F_\tau^m - 2h) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} \right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} r^{ij} g^j (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \varphi_\tau \delta_h (F_\tau^m - 2h) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} dx = 0.$$

Since  $g^j$  are bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega \cap V_\tau} r^{ij} g^j (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \varphi_\tau \delta_h (F_\tau^m - 2h) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} dx \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{C_1}{h_1} \int_h^{2h} dy_m \int_{S_\tau(y_m)} \left| r^{ij} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} \right| dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1} \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^m C_1 \int_{-1}^1 dz \int_{S_\tau(zh+2h)} \left| r^{ij} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \frac{\partial F_\tau^m}{\partial x_i} \right|_{y_m=zh+2h} dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_\tau(y_0) = \{y \in V_\tau; y_m = y_0\}$ ,  $\bar{V}_\tau$  being the region obtained from  $V_\tau$  by local coordinates transformation.

From (2.5), (2.6)

$$\gamma u = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Sigma_2$$

and

$$r^{ij}(\gamma u, x, t) \frac{\partial F_{\tau}^m}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \text{a. e. on } \Sigma_1$$

whenever

$$\gamma u \neq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} r^{ij} g^j \frac{\partial F_{\tau}^m}{\partial x_i} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) \Big|_{y_m = zh + 2h} = 0.$$

By Lebesgue's theorem this yields the desired result.

Now we return to the proof of the second part of proposition 2.

Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,  $\varphi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi_1|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)} = \varphi_2|_{\Sigma \times (0, T)}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_1, \operatorname{supp} \varphi_2 \subset \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T)$ . Set

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi_1' + \varphi_1'', \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_2' + \varphi_2''$$

with  $\varphi_1' = \varphi_1(1 - \rho_h)$ ,  $\varphi_1'' = \varphi_1 \rho_h$ ,  $\varphi_2' = \varphi_2(1 - \rho_h)$ ,  $\varphi_2'' = \varphi_2 \rho_h$ ,  $\rho_h$  being the function in (1.9), and substitute into the left hand side of (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u-k| \frac{\partial \varphi_1'}{\partial t} - \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) \left[ \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1'}{\partial x_i} + (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1'}{\partial x_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_1' \right] \right\} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u-k| \frac{\partial \varphi_1''}{\partial t} - \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) \left[ \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1''}{\partial x_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1''}{\partial x_i} - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_1'' \right] \right\} dx dt \\ & + \operatorname{sgn} k \iint_{Q_T} \left[ u \frac{\partial \varphi_2'}{\partial t} - \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2'}{\partial x_i} - f^i(u, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_2'}{\partial x_i} + g(u, x, t) \varphi_2' \right] dx dt \\ & + \operatorname{sgn} k \iint_{Q_T} \left[ u \frac{\partial \varphi_2''}{\partial t} - \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2''}{\partial x_i} - (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_2''}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_2'' \right] dx dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

implies  $I_1 \geq 0$  and  $I_3 = 0$ . Obviously (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 + I_4 = & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u-k| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} \rho_h - \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) \left[ \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \rho_h + (f^i(u, x, t) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \rho_h - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_1 \rho_h \right] \right\} dx dt \\ & + \operatorname{sgn} k \iint_{Q_T} \left[ u \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial t} \rho_h - \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} \rho_h - (f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} \rho_h \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g(u, x, t)) \varphi_2 \rho_h \right] dx dt - \iint_{Q_T} \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) \\ & \quad + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) dx dt - \iint_{Q_T} (f^i(u, x, t) \\ & \quad - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} (\varphi_1 \operatorname{sgn}(u-k) + \varphi_2 \operatorname{sgn} k) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

The first two integrals of the right hand side tend to zero as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 4, so do the last two integrals, if  $k \neq 0$ . Thus  $u$  satisfies (2.4) for  $k \neq 0$ . By a limit process we can assert that (2.4) holds even for  $k = 0$ .

### § 3. Estimates on Solutions of regularized Problems

Assume that  $u_0(x)$  is appropriately smooth on  $\bar{D}$  and certain compatibility conditions on the boundary of the lower base of  $Q_T$  are fulfilled, for example,  $u_0(x)$  itself and its derivatives up to the fourth order vanish on  $\Sigma$  and  $f_{x_i}^i(0, x, t) + g(0, x, t)$  itself, its first order derivative with respect to  $t$  and its first and second order derivatives with respect to  $x$  vanish for  $x \in \Sigma$ ,  $t=0$ . Then for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) have a unique solution  $u_\varepsilon$  from  $C^2(\bar{Q}_T) \cap C^3(Q_T)$ .

The first estimate we need follows from the standard maximum principle, namely

$$|u_\varepsilon| \leq M, \quad (3.1)$$

where the constant  $M$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of the problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) satisfies*

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma} a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma \leq C_1 + C_2 \left( \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(Q)} + \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right|_{L^1(Q)} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

with constants  $c_1, c_2$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof* Denote

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &= \varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right), \\ f^+(x, t) &= \begin{cases} f(x, t), & \text{if } f(x, t) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, t) \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ f^-(x, t) &= \begin{cases} -f(x, t), & \text{if } f(x, t) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, t) \geq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed  $t$ , consider

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \Delta u_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \right) = f^+(x, t), \\ u_1|_{\Sigma} = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the nonpositivity of the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \Delta u_{1\eta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial u_{1\eta}}{\partial x_j} \right) - \eta u_{1\eta} = f^+(x, t) \quad (\eta > 0), \\ u_{1\eta}|_{\Sigma} = 0, \end{cases}$$

we can assert  $u_1 \leq 0$  and hence  $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} \leq 0$  where  $n$  is the inner normal. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^+ dx &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} d\sigma - \int_{\Sigma} a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} d\sigma \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma} a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the solution  $u_2$  of the problem



$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \Delta u_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) = f^-(x, t), \\ u_2|_Z = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\int_\Omega f^- dx = \varepsilon \int_Z \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_Z a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma.$$

Since the function  $u_1 - u_2$  is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \Delta u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) = f(x, t), \\ u|_Z = 0, \end{cases}$$

by uniqueness of solutions,  $u_1 - u_2 = u_\varepsilon$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_Z \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_Z a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma \leq \varepsilon \int_Z \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma \\ & + \varepsilon \int_Z \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_Z a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma + \int_Z a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma \\ & = \int_\Omega |f| dx \leq c_1 + c_2 \left( |\text{grad } u_\varepsilon|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Let

$$\text{sgn}_\eta \tau = \begin{cases} 1 & (\tau > \eta), \\ \frac{\tau}{\eta} & (|\tau| \leq \eta), \\ -1 & (\tau < -\eta). \end{cases}$$

For  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$ , let  $|\xi| = (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$I_\eta(\xi) = \int_0^{|\xi|} \text{sgn}_\eta \tau d\tau.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial \xi_i} = \text{sgn}_\eta |\xi| \cdot \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|}, \\ & \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\text{sgn}'_\eta |\xi|) |\xi| \xi_s \xi_p - \xi_p \xi_s \text{sgn}_\eta |\xi|}{|\xi|^3} & (s \neq p), \\ \frac{(\text{sgn}'_\eta |\xi|) |\xi| \xi_s \xi_p - \xi_p \xi_s \text{sgn}_\eta |\xi|}{|\xi|^3} + \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\xi|}{|\xi|} & (s = p). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(q^{sp})$  be the square root of the matrix  $\left( \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} \right)$ . Then there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$  such that

$$|q^{sp}(\xi)| \leq \frac{M_0}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof* Since  $(q^{sp})$  satisfies  $(q^{sp})^2 = \left( \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} \right)$ , we have

$$\sum_p (q^{sp})^2 = \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s^2}.$$

(3.3) gives  $\left| \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s^2} \right| \leq \frac{N}{|\xi|}$  for some constant  $N > 0$ , so (3.4) holds.

The main purpose of this section is to prove

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \phi$  and  $a^{ij}(0, x, t)$  can be smoothly extended to a neighborhood of  $S_1$  such that in this neighborhood

$$a^{ij}(0, x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0, \quad \forall \xi \in R^m. \quad (3.5)$$

Suppose there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|u| \leq M$  ( $M$  is the constant in (3.1))  $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T$

$$a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j - \delta \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{x_s}^{ij} \xi_j)^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall \xi \in R^m \quad (3.6)$$

$(x_{m+1} = t)$ . Then the solutions  $u_\varepsilon$  of regularized problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) satisfy

$$|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq M_1, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\text{grad } u = (u_{x_1}, u_{x_2}, \dots, u_{x_m}, u_{x_{m+1}}) = (u_{x_1}, u_{x_2}, \dots, u_{x_m}, u_t)$  and the constant  $M_1$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof* Differentiate (1.3) with respect to  $x_s$  ( $s=1, 2, \dots, m+1$ ) and sum up for  $s$  after multiplying the resulting relation by  $u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|}$ . In what follows, we simply denote  $u_\varepsilon$  by  $u$ . Integrating over  $\Omega$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} I_\eta(|\text{grad } u|) dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_{x_s}) u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}^{ij} u_{x_s} u_{x_j} + a_{x_s}^{ij} u_{x_j} + a^{ij} u_{x_s x_j}) u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f_u^i u_{x_s} + f_{x_s}^i) u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} g \right) u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating by parts, the last equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} I_\eta(|\text{grad } u|) dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_p x_i} dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_s x_i} u_{x_p x_i} dx + \int_{\Omega} a_{x_s}^{ij} u_{x_j} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_p x_i} dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_{ij}^{ij} \right) u_{x_j} (|\text{grad } u| \text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u| - I_\eta) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_u^i \right) (|\text{grad } u| \text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u| - I_\eta) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}^{ij} u_{x_s x_j} (|\text{grad } u| \text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u| - I_\eta) dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_i} n_i d\sigma \\ & + \int_{\Sigma} a^{ij} \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_j} n_i d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma} a_{ij}^{ij} u_{x_j} I_\eta n_i d\sigma \\ & + \int_{\Sigma} a_{x_s}^{ij} u_{x_j} u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} n_i d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma} f_u^i I_\eta n_i d\sigma \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f_{x_s}^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} g \right) u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here pairs of equal indices of  $i$  and  $j$  imply the summation from 1 up to  $m$ , while pairs of equal indices of  $s$  and  $p$  imply the summation from 1 up to  $m+1$ .

We first estimate the third and fourth terms on the left side of (3.8).

Set

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1^i \\ v_2^i \\ \vdots \\ v_{m+1}^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q^{11} q^{12} \dots q^{1, m+1} \\ q^{21} q^{22} \dots q^{2, m+1} \\ \vdots \\ q^{m+1, 1} q^{m+1, 2} \dots q^{m+1, m+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{x_1 x_i} \\ u_{x_2 x_i} \\ \vdots \\ u_{x_{m+1} x_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $(q^{sp})$  is the square root of  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p}\right)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_p x_i} \right| &= \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^m (a_{x_1}^{ij} u_{x_j}, a_{x_2}^{ij} u_{x_j}, \dots, a_{x_{m+1}}^{ij} u_{x_j}) (q^{sp}) \begin{pmatrix} v_1^i \\ v_2^i \\ \vdots \\ v_{m+1}^i \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= |a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} q^{sp} v_p^i| \leq \delta \sum_{s,p=1}^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{x_s}^{ij} v_p^i)^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \sum_{s,p=1}^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m (q^{sp} u_{x_j})^2, \\ a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_s x_j} u_{x_p x_i} &= \sum_{p=1}^{m+1} a_{x_s}^{ij} v_p^i v_p^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by virtue of the assumption (3.6) and Lemma 5, there exists a constant  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_s x_j} u_{x_p x_i} dx + \int_\Omega a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} u_{x_j} \frac{\partial^2 I_\eta}{\partial \xi_s \partial \xi_p} u_{x_p x_i} dx \\ \geq -\frac{1}{4\delta} \int_\Omega \sum_{s,p=1}^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m (q^{sp} u_{x_j})^2 dx \geq -\beta \int_\Omega |\text{grad } u| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Observe that on  $\Sigma$  we have

$$f_u^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} n_i = -\varepsilon \Delta u - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{x_j}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - g(0, x, t) - f_{x_i}^i(0, x, t).$$

Thus the five surface integrals in (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_\Sigma (g(0, x, t) + f_{x_i}^i(0, x, t)) \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} d\sigma + \varepsilon \int_\Sigma \left( \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_i} n_i - \Delta u \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} \right) d\sigma \\ + \int_{\Sigma_1} a_{x_j}^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_j} n_i - u_{x_i x_j} \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} \right) d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma_2} a_{x_j}^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_j} n_i - u_{x_i x_j} \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} \right) d\sigma \\ - \int_{\Sigma_1} a_{x_i}^{ij} u_{x_j} \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} d\sigma - \int_{\Sigma_2} a_{x_i}^{ij} u_{x_j} \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} d\sigma \\ + \int_{\Sigma_1} a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} n_i d\sigma + \int_{\Sigma_2} a_{x_s x_j}^{ij} u_{x_s} \frac{\text{sgn}_\eta |\text{grad } u|}{|\text{grad } u|} n_i d\sigma \\ = I_1 + I_2 + I_{31} + I_{32} + I_{41} + I_{42} + I_{51} + I_{52}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $I_1$  is bounded. From the assumption (3.5) and the definition of  $\Sigma_1$ , it is easy to see that for  $(x, t) \in S_1 = \Sigma_1 \times [0, T]$

$$a_{x_i}^{ij} u_{x_j} n_i = a_{x_s}^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0,$$

and hence  $I_{51} = 0$ . Similarly, for  $(x, t) \in S_1$ ,  $a_{x_j}^{ij} n_i = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a^{ij}u_{x_i}u_{x_j} + a^{ij}u_{x_j}u_{x_i} &= (a^{ij}u_{x_j})_{x_i} - a^{ij}u_{x_i}u_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (a^{ij}u_{x_j})n_i - a^{ij}u_{x_i}u_{x_j} \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial n} a^{ij} \right) u_{x_j}n_i + a^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial n} u_{x_j} \right) n_i - a^{ij}u_{x_i}u_{x_j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I_{31} + I_{41} = \int_{\Sigma_1} a^{ij} \frac{\partial I_\eta}{\partial x_i} n_i d\sigma - \int_{\Sigma_1} (a^{ij}u_{x_i}u_{x_j} + a^{ij}u_{x_j}u_{x_i}) \frac{I_\eta}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} d\sigma = 0.$$

Since  $\Sigma_2$  is a closed subset of  $\Sigma$ , it follows from Proposition 3 that  $I_{42}$  and  $I_{52}$  can be estimated by  $|\text{grad} u|_{L^1(\mathcal{Q})}$ .

It remains to estimate  $I_2$  and  $I_{32}$ . Since  $u_{x_{m+1}}|_{\Sigma} = u_t|_{\Sigma} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\rightarrow I_2^0 = s \int_{\Sigma} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) (u_{x_s x_s} n_s n_s - \Delta u) d\sigma, \\ I_{32} &\rightarrow I_{32}^0 = \int_{\Sigma_2} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) a^{ij} (u_{x_s x_i} n_s n_j - u_{x_i x_j}) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . Here pairs of equal indices of  $s$  imply a summation from 1 up to  $m$ .

As in § 1, we introduce Local coordinates on  $V_\tau$  ( $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, N$ )

$$y_k = F_\tau^k(x) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m), \quad y_m|_{\Sigma} = 0.$$

By elementary computations we obtain on  $\Sigma^\tau = \Sigma \cap V_\tau$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{x_i x_j} &= \sum_{k=1}^m u_{y_m y_k} F_{x_i}^m F_{x_j}^k + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} u_{y_m y_k} F_{x_j}^m F_{x_i}^k + u_{y_m} F_{x_i x_j}^m, \\ u_{x_i x_s} n_s n_j &= \sum_{k=1}^m u_{y_m y_k} F_{x_i}^m F_{x_s}^k F_{x_s}^m F_{x_j}^m / |\text{grad } F^m|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} u_{y_m y_k} F_{x_i}^k F_{x_j}^m + u_{y_m} F_{x_i x_s}^m F_{x_s}^m F_{x_j}^m / |\text{grad } F^m|^2 \end{aligned}$$

in which  $F^k = F_\tau^k$ .

Hence

$$u_{x_s x_i} n_s n_i - \Delta u = u_{y_m} (F_{x_s x_s}^m F_{x_i}^m F_{x_i}^m / |\text{grad } F^m|^2 - F_{x_i x_i}^m).$$

From this and Proposition 3 we see that  $I_2^0$  can be estimated by  $|\text{grad } u|_{L^1(\mathcal{Q})}$ .

To estimate  $I_{32}^0$ , we choose nonnegative functions  $\eta_\tau \in C^2(\Sigma_2)$  ( $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, N$ )

with  $\text{supp } \eta_\tau \subset \Sigma_2^\tau = \Sigma_2 \cap V_\tau$  and  $\sum_{\tau=1}^N \eta_\tau = 1$  on  $\Sigma_2$ . Write  $I_{32}^0$  as

$$I_{32}^0 = \sum_{\tau=1}^N \int_{\Sigma_2^\tau} \eta_\tau a^{ij} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) (u_{x_s x_i} n_s n_j - u_{x_i x_j}) d\sigma.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_2^\tau} \eta_\tau a^{ij} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) (u_{x_s x_i} n_s n_j - u_{x_i x_j}) d\sigma \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \int_{\Sigma_2^\tau} \eta_\tau a^{ij} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) u_{y_m y_k} (F_{x_s}^k F_{x_s}^m F_{x_i}^m / |\text{grad } F^m|^2 - F_{x_i}^k) F_{x_j}^m K dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_2^\tau} \eta_\tau a^{ij} \text{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) u_{y_m} (F_{x_i x_s}^m F_{x_s}^m F_{x_j}^m / |\text{grad } F^m|^2 - F_{x_i x_j}^m) K dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and noting  $\text{supp } \eta_\tau \subset \Sigma_2^\tau$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_1^i} \eta_\tau a^{ij} \operatorname{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) u_{y_m y_k} (F_{x_s}^m F_{x_s}^k F_{x_i}^m / |\operatorname{grad} F^m|^2 - F_{x_i}^k) F_{x_j}^k K dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1} \\ & = - \int_{\Sigma_1^i} \operatorname{sgn} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right) u_{y_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} [\eta_\tau a^{ij} (F_{x_s}^k F_{x_s}^m F_{x_i}^m / |\operatorname{grad} F^m|^2 - F_{x_i}^k) F_{x_j}^k K] dy_1 \dots dy_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|I_{32}^0| \leq C_3 \int_{\Sigma_2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma.$

Since for  $(x, t) \in \Sigma_2$ ,  $a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \geq \alpha$  with some constant  $\alpha > 0$ , it follows that

$$|I_{32}^0| \leq C_4 \int_{\Sigma_2} a^{ij}(0, x, t) n_i n_j \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right| d\sigma.$$

This and Gronwall's Lemma yield the desired estimate and the proof is completed.

Using Theorem 1, we can easily obtain another estimate.

**Proposition 4.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the solutions  $u = u_\varepsilon$  of regularized problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) satisfy*

$$\iint_{Q_T} a^{ij}(u, x, t) u_{x_i} u_{x_j} dx dt \leq M_2$$

for some constant  $M_2$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

## § 4. Existence of generalized solutions

**Theorem 2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$  of the family  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  of solutions of regularized problems (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), which converges strongly in  $L^1(Q_T)$  and the limit function  $u$  is a generalized solution of the first boundary value problem for (1.1).*

*Proof* The existence of a strongly convergent subsequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$  of  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  follows from (3.1), Theorem 1 and Kolmogoroff's Theorem. Let  $u$  be the limit function. Then  $u \in BV(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$ .

By Proposition 4

$$\iint_{Q_T} \left| r^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 dx dt \leq M_2.$$

This means that  $\left\{ r^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \right\}$  is weakly compact in  $L^2(Q_T)$ . Without loss of generality,

we may assume that  $\left\{ r^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \right\}$  itself converges weakly in  $L^2(Q_T)$  to a function  $g^i \in L^2(Q_T)$ . Thus for any  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_T} \varphi g^i dx dt &= \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q_T} \varphi r^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} dx dt = \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \left( \int_0^{u_{\varepsilon_n}} r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right)_{x_j} dx dt \\ &= \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \int_0^u r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau dx dt = - \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q_T} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \int_0^{u_{\varepsilon_n}} r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau dx dt \\ &= \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \int_0^u r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau dx dt = - \iint_{Q_T} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \int_0^u r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau dx dt \\ &= \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \int_0^u r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\iint_{Q_T} \varphi g^i dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \left( \int_0^{\gamma^u} r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right) \varphi n_i dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \hat{r}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx dt. \quad (4.1)$$

In particular, for  $\varphi \in C_0^2(Q_T)$

$$\iint_{Q_T} \varphi g^i dx dt = \iint_{Q_T} \varphi \hat{r}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx dt. \quad (4.2)$$

This means that  $u$  satisfies (2.1). By a limit process we can assert that (4.2) holds even for  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$ . Combining (4.1) with (4.2) gives

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \left( \int_0^{\gamma^u} r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right) \varphi n_i dx dt = 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$ . Hence

$$\int_0^{\gamma^u} r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) n_i n_j d\tau = 0, \quad \text{a. e. on } \Sigma \times [0, T].$$

This shows that  $u$  satisfies (2.3).

From (3.7) it is easy to see that  $u$  satisfies (2.2).

Now let  $\varphi_1 \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,  $\varphi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T)$ . Multiply (1.3) by  $\varphi_1 \text{sgn}_\eta(u_s - k)$  and integrate over  $Q_T$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \iint_{Q_T} I_\eta(u_s - k) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \text{sgn}_\eta(u_s - k) \left[ \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} + \alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (f^i(u_s, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \right] dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \text{sgn}'_\eta(u_s - k) \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi_1 dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \text{sgn}'_\eta(u_s - k) (f^i(u_s, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \varphi_1 dx dt \\ & \quad + \iint_{Q_T} \text{sgn}_\eta(u_s - k) (f^i_{x_i}(k, x, t) - g(u_s, x, t)) \varphi_1 dx dt \\ & \quad - \text{sgn}_\eta k \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} + \alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt \\ & \quad - \text{sgn}_\eta k \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} (f^i(0, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the third term is nonnegative and the fourth term tends to zero as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u_s - k| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} - \text{sgn}(u_s - k) \left[ \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} + \alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (f^i(u_s, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} - (f^i_{x_i}(k, x, t) + g(u_s, x, t)) \varphi_1 \right] \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \text{sgn } k \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} + \alpha^{ij} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt \\ & \quad + \text{sgn } k \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} (f^i(0, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_\varepsilon - k) a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_Z \operatorname{sgn} k A^{ij}(k, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} n_j d\sigma dt \\ & - \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_\varepsilon - k) (A^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) - A^{ij}(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx dt \\ & - \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_\varepsilon - k) \left( \int_k^{u_\varepsilon} a_{x_j}^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_{\varepsilon_n} - k) a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\ & = - \int_0^T \int_Z \operatorname{sgn} k A^{ij}(k, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} n_j d\sigma dt - \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) (A^{ij}(u, x, t) \\ & - A^{ij}(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx dt - \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) \left( \int_k^u a_{x_j}^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $\operatorname{sgn}(u - k) (A^{ij}(u, x, t) - A^{ij}(k, x, t)) \in \operatorname{BV}(Q_T)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [\operatorname{sgn}(u - k) (A^{ij}(u, x, t) - A^{ij}(k, x, t))] \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (A^{ij}(u, x, t) - A^{ij}(k, x, t)) \end{aligned}$$

(see [5]), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_{\varepsilon_n} - k) a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\ & = - \int_0^T \int_Z \operatorname{sgn} k A^{ij}(k, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} n_j d\sigma dt + \int_0^T \int_Z \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u - k) (A^{ij}(\gamma u, x, t) \\ & - A^{ij}(k, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} n_j d\sigma dt + \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_i} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In order to compute  $\lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_Z \left( \varepsilon_n \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_i} + a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt$ , we take  $\varphi_2 \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T)$  with  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_2 \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$ ,  $\varphi_2|_{Z \times (0, T)} = \varphi_1|_{Z \times (0, T)}$ . Using (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_Z \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} + a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt \\ & = - \iint_{Q_T} \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} dx dt - \iint_{Q_T} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} A^{ij}(u_\varepsilon, x, t) - \int_k^{u_\varepsilon} a_{x_j}^{ij}(\tau, x, t) d\tau \right) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\ & - \iint_{Q_T} f^i(u_\varepsilon, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} u_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial t} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} g \varphi_2 dx dt - \int_0^T \int_Z f^i(0, x, t) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_Z \left( \varepsilon_n \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_i} + a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt \\ & = - \iint_{Q_T} \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} dx dt - \iint_{Q_T} f^i(u, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} u \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial t} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} g \varphi_2 dx dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_Z A^{ij}(\gamma u, x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_i} n_j d\sigma dt - \int_0^T \int_Z f^i(0, x, t) \varphi_1 n_i d\sigma dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and combine (4.4), (4.5) with (4.3). Using (2.3) and Proposition 1, we deduce the inequality (2.4).

## § 5. Uniqueness and stability of generalized solutions

Similar to Lemma 4, we can prove

**Lemma 6.** Let  $u$  be a generalized solution of the first boundary value problem for (1.1) with  $g^i \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) in (2.1). If  $S_1 = \Sigma \times [0, T]$ , then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{Q_T} q \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} dx dt = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

where  $q \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  and  $\rho_h$  is the function in (1.9).

Using this lemma, we can obtain

**Proposition 5.** Let  $u$  be a generalized solution of the first boundary value problem for (1.1) with  $g^i \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) in (2.1). Then

$$(\operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u - k) + \operatorname{sgn} k) (f^i(\gamma u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) n_i \geq 0 \quad (5.2)$$

almost everywhere on  $S_1$ .

*Proof* Choose  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \psi(t) \rho_h(x) \varphi(x)$  in (2.4), with  $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\psi(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\psi(t) \in C_0^2(0, T)$ ,  $\varphi(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $S_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u - k| \psi'(t) \varphi(x) \rho_h(x) - \operatorname{sgn}(u - k) \left[ \left( \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \rho_h + \varphi \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \psi - (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g) \varphi \psi \rho_h \left. \right\} dx dt \\ & + \operatorname{sgn} k \iint_{Q_T} \left[ u \psi' \varphi \rho_h - \left( \hat{a}^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + f^i(u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \rho_h + \varphi \frac{\partial \rho_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \psi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (f_{x_i}^i(k, x, t) + g) \varphi \psi \rho_h \right] dx dt \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , by virtue of Lemma 6, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{S_1} [\operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u - k) + \operatorname{sgn} k] [f^i(\gamma u, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)] \varphi(x) \psi(t) n_i d\sigma dt \geq 0.$$

The inequality (5.2) follows from the arbitrariness of  $\psi(t)$  and  $\varphi(x)$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded region of  $m$ -dimensional,  $u(x) \in \operatorname{BV}(\Omega)$ ,  $V(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_m(x)) \in \operatorname{BV}(\Omega)$  and  $|V| \leq K|u|$  a.e. on  $\Omega$  with some constant  $K$ . If on  $\Sigma$  either  $\gamma u = 0$  or  $\varphi = 0$ , and  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \operatorname{div}(\varphi, V) dx = - \int_{P_u} \varphi (\operatorname{sgn} u^+ - \operatorname{sgn} u^-) (\bar{V}, \nu) dH_{m-1},$$

where  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} u^+ + \operatorname{sgn} u^-)$ ,  $\Gamma_u$  is the set of all jump points of  $u$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m)$  is the normal of  $\Gamma_u$  and  $u^+(x_0)$  and  $u^-(x_0)$  are the approximate limits of  $u$  at  $x_0 \in \Gamma_u$  with respect to  $(\nu, x - x_0) > 0$  and  $(\nu, x - x_0) < 0$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is the symmetric mean value of  $V$ .

The proof is similar to the respective proposition in [4]. Since for any  $(x, t) \in \Sigma$



at which  $\gamma u = 0$  we have  $\gamma \sigma_s = 0$ , the boundary integral obtained by integrating by parts is also equal to zero, although in present case  $\varphi$  need not be zero on  $\Sigma$  everywhere.

The following two propositions can be found in [4].

**Proposition 6.** Let  $u$  be a generalized solution of (1.1). Then for almost all points of  $\Gamma_u$

$$(u^+ - u^-) \nu_t - (f^i(u^+, x, t) - f^i(u^-, x, t)) \nu_{x_i} = 0.$$

**Proposition 7.** Let  $u$  be a generalized solution of (1.1). Then for almost all points of  $\Gamma_u$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sgn}(u^+ - k) [(u^+ - k) \nu_t - (f^i(u^+, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \nu_{x_i}] \\ & \leq \operatorname{sgn}(u^- - k) [(u^- - k) \nu_t - (f^i(u^-, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) \nu_{x_i}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

**Proposition 8.** Let  $u_1, u_2$  be generalized solutions of (1.1) with the respective functions  $g_1^i, g_2^i (i=1, \dots, m)$  in  $L^\infty(Q_T)$  and for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ , as functions of  $x, g_1^i, g_2^i \in \operatorname{BV}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u_1 - u_2| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{sgn}(u_1 - u_2) \left[ (g(u_1, x, t) - g(u_2, x, t)) \varphi \right. \right. \\ & \quad - (f^i(u_1, x, t) - f^i(u_2, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} - \left( \hat{a}^{ij}(u_1, x, t) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \hat{a}^{ij}(u_2, x, t) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right] \Big\} dx dt \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\varphi \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi \in C^2(Q_T)$  and  $\varphi = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $S_1$ .

*Proof* Let  $z = u_1 - u_2$ .  $\operatorname{sgn} z$  in (5.4) may be replaced by  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} z^+ + \operatorname{sgn} z^-)$ .

Using equation (1.1), we can rewrite the left hand side of (5.4) as

$$J(u_1, u_2, \varphi) = J_1(u_1, u_2, \varphi) + J_2(u_1, u_2, \varphi), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \iint_{Q_T} \sigma \left( \frac{\partial \varphi z}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \varphi \beta^i z}{\partial x_i} \right) dx dt, \\ J_2 &= - \iint_{Q_T} \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [\varphi (r_1^{ij} g_1^i - r_2^{ij} g_2^i)] dx dt, \\ \beta^i &= \int_0^1 f_u^i(\tau u_1 + (1-\tau) u_2, x, t) d\tau, \\ r_k^{ij} &= r^{ij}(u_k, x, t) \quad (k=1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7

$$J_1 = - \int_{\Gamma_z} \varphi (\operatorname{sgn} z^+ - \operatorname{sgn} z^-) (\bar{z} \nu_t - \bar{\beta}^i z \nu_{x_i}) dH_m.$$

By Proposition 6

$$\bar{z} \nu_t - \bar{\beta}^i z \nu_{x_i} = z^+ \nu_t - (\beta^i z)^+ \nu_{x_i} = z^- \nu_t - (\beta^i z)^- \nu_{x_i}.$$

Thus, taking  $k = u_2^+$  and  $k = u_1^-$  in (5.3) respectively, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sgn} z^+ (\bar{z} \nu_t - \bar{\beta}^i z \nu_{x_i}) = \operatorname{sgn} z^+ (z^+ \nu_t - (\beta^i z)^+ \nu_{x_i}) \\ & \leq \operatorname{sgn}(u_2^+ - u_1^-) [(u_2^+ - u_1^-) \nu_t - (f^i(u_2^+, x, t) - f^i(u_1^-, x, t)) \nu_{x_i}] \\ & \leq \operatorname{sgn} z^- (z^- \nu_t - (\beta^i z)^- \nu_{x_i}) = \operatorname{sgn} z^- (\bar{z} \nu_t - \bar{\beta}^i z \nu_{x_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $J_1(u_1, u_2, \varphi) \geq 0$ .

Obviously

$$J_2(u_1, u_2, \varphi) = -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\bar{z}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [\varphi(r_1^{ij} g_1^j - r_2^{ij} g_2^j)] dx dt.$$

Since we have  $\gamma z = 0$  on  $S_2$  or  $\varphi = 0$  on  $S_1$ , integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\bar{z}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [\varphi(r_1^{ij} g_1^j - r_2^{ij} g_2^j)] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \setminus (\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t))} \varphi \frac{\varepsilon}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t)} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \setminus (\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t))} \varphi \frac{\varepsilon}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} |g_1 - g_2|^2 dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega \setminus (\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t))} \varphi \frac{\varepsilon}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij}} - \overline{r_2^{ij}}) \left( g_1^j \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - g_2^j \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t)} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\Gamma_z(t)$  denotes the set of all jump points of  $z$  for fixed  $t$ ,  $\Gamma_g(t)$  denotes the union of the sets of all jump points of  $g_1^j, g_2^j (j=1, \dots, m)$ .

The first term on the right hand side of (5.6) is nonnegative. Since on the complement of  $\Gamma_z(t)$

$$|\overline{r_1^{ij}}(u_1, x, t) - \overline{r_2^{ij}}(u_2, x, t)| \leq K|z|$$

and  $\frac{\varepsilon z}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}}$  is bounded uniformly for  $\varepsilon$ , we can assert by Lebesgue's theorem that the second term on the right hand side of (5.6) tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_z(t) \cup \Gamma_g(t)} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_z(t)} \varphi \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{(z^2 + \varepsilon)^{3/2}} (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) (z^+ - z^-) \nu_{x_i} dH_{m-1} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_z(t)} \varphi (\operatorname{sgn} z^+ - \operatorname{sgn} z^-) (\overline{r_1^{ij} g_1^j} - \overline{r_2^{ij} g_2^j}) \nu_{x_i} dH_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

It is clear that the integrand of the last integral vanishes whenever  $z^+ \cdot z^- > 0$ . If  $z^+ \cdot z^- \leq 0$ , then

$$r^{ij}(\tau, x, t) \nu_{x_j} = 0, \quad \min(u_1^+, u_1^-, u_2^+, u_2^-) \leq \tau \leq \max(u_1^+, u_2^-, u_2^+, u_1^-)$$

(see [4]). From this it follows that the integrand of the last integral vanishes too.

Therefore  $J_2(u_1, u_2, \varphi) \geq 0$ . The proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $u_1, u_2$  are generalized solutions of equation (1.1)

with homogeneous boundary value and

$$\gamma u_1(x, 0) = u_0^1(x), \quad \gamma u_2(x, 0) = u_0^2(x).$$

If the respective functions  $g_1^i, g_2^i$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) in (2.1) are bounded and for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ , as functions of  $x, g_1^i, g_2^i \in BV(\Omega)$ , then for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)| dx \leq N \int_{\Omega} |u_0^1(x) - u_0^2(x)| dx$$

with constant  $N$  independent of  $u_1, u_2$ .

As a consequence, we have

**Theorem 4.** Under the conditions of Theorem 3, the first boundary value problem for (1.1) has at most one generalized solution.

*Proof of Theorem 3* By Proposition 8

$$\iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u_1 - u_2| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \operatorname{sgn}(u_1 - u_2) \left[ (g(u_1, x, t) - g(u_2, x, t)) \varphi - (f^i(u_1, x, t) - f^i(u_2, x, t)) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} - \left( \widehat{a^{ij}}(u_1, x, t) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} - \widehat{a^{ij}}(u_2, x, t) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right] \right\} dx dt \geq 0,$$

where  $\varphi \geq 0, \varphi \in C^2(\bar{Q}_T), \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T)$  and  $\varphi = 0$  near  $S_1$ .

Since  $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$ , we can construct function  $\rho'_h(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho'_h(x) = 1 & \text{near } \Sigma_1 \\ \rho'_h(x) = 0 & \text{near } \Sigma_2 \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \rho'_h(x) = 0 & \text{for any } x \in \Omega \\ 0 \leq \rho'_h(x) \leq 1, & |\operatorname{grad} \rho'_h| \leq C/h, \end{cases}$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

Take  $\varphi = (1 - \rho'_h(x)) \psi(t), \psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(0, T), \psi(t) \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} \left\{ |u_1 - u_2| (1 - \rho'_h) \psi' + \operatorname{sgn}(u_1 - u_2) \left[ (g(u_1, x, t) - g(u_2, x, t)) (1 - \rho'_h) \psi \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (f^i(u_1, x, t) - f^i(u_2, x, t)) \frac{\partial \rho'_h}{\partial x_i} \psi \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left( \widehat{a^{ij}}(u_1, x, t) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} - \widehat{a^{ij}}(u_2, x, t) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \rho'_h}{\partial x_i} \psi \right] \right\} dx dt \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $h \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 7 and Lemma 3, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} |u_1 - u_2| \psi' dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_1 - u_2) (g(u_1, x, t) - g(u_2, x, t)) \psi(t) dx dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_2} \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u_1 - \gamma u_2) (f^i(\gamma u_1, x, t) - f^i(\gamma u_2, x, t)) n_i d\sigma dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$k(x, t) = \begin{cases} \gamma u_1(x, t), & \text{if } \gamma u_1 \in I(0, \gamma u_2), \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \in I(\gamma u_1, \gamma u_2), \\ \gamma u_2(x, t), & \text{if } \gamma u_2 \in I(0, \gamma u_1). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u_1 - \gamma u_2) (f^i(\gamma u_1, x, t) - f^i(\gamma u_2, x, t)) n_i \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u_1 - k) (f^i(\gamma u_1, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) n_i \\ & \quad + \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u_2 - k) (f^i(\gamma u_2, x, t) - f^i(k, x, t)) n_i. \end{aligned}$$

This and Proposition 5 give

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\gamma u_1 - \gamma u_2) (f^i(\gamma u_1, x, t) - f^i(\gamma u_2, x, t)) n_i \geq 0 \quad \text{a. e. on } \Sigma_1.$$

Hence

$$\iint_{Q_T} |u_1 - u_2| \psi' dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} \operatorname{sgn}(u_1 - u_2) (g(u_1, x, t) - g(u_2, x, t)) \psi(t) dx dt \geq 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Let  $0 < s < \tau < T$ . Taking

$$\psi(t) = \int_{t-\tau}^{t-s} \delta_h(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where  $\delta_h(\sigma)$  is the function in § 1, we obtain

$$\int_0^T [\delta_h(t-s) - \delta_h(t-\tau)] |u_1 - u_2|_{L^1(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T C_0 |u_1 - u_2|_{L^1(\Omega)} \left( \int_{t-\tau}^{t-s} \delta_h(\sigma) d\sigma \right) dt \geq 0,$$

where  $C_0$  is a constant. From this we deduce by letting  $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1(\cdot, \tau) - u_2(\cdot, \tau)|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\leq |u_1(\cdot, s) - u_2(\cdot, s)|_{L^1(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C_0 \int_s^\tau |u_1(\cdot, t) - u_2(\cdot, t)|_{L^1(\Omega)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Gronwall's lemma we obtain

$$|u_1(\cdot, \tau) - u_2(\cdot, \tau)|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq |u_1(\cdot, s) - u_2(\cdot, s)|_{L^1(\Omega)} e^{C_0(\tau-s)}$$

and the desired result follows by letting  $s \rightarrow 0$  and using initial value conditions.

### References

- [1] Олейник, О. А., Калашников, А. С., *Ужой Юи-минь, изв. АН СССР*, **22** (1958), 667—704.
- [2] Gilding, B. H., Peletier, L. A., *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **61** (1976), 127—140.
- [3] Gilding, B. H. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa*, **4** (1977), 393—432.
- [4] Вольперт, А. И., Худяев С. И., *Мат. сб.*, **78** (120):3 (1969), 374—396.
- [5] Wu Zhuoqun, Wang Junyu, to appear in *Proceedings of International Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Partial Differential Equations*, 1981.
- [6] Bardos, C., Leroux, A. Y., Nedelec, J. C., *Comm. in PDE.*, **4**:9 (1979), 1017—1034.