

A NOTE ON REDUCTIVITY OF OPERATORS

LIU LONGFU (刘隆复)

(Jilin University)

Abstract

P. Rosenthal^[1] introduced the following property (P):

If \mathfrak{U} is any reductive algebra and $T \in \mathfrak{U}$, then $T^* \in \mathfrak{U}$.

In this note, the author proves

(1) A reductive spectral operator with polynomially compact quasinilpotent part has property (P);

(2) A reductive spectral operator with algebraic quasinilpotent part has property (P);

(3) The countable direct sum of scalar operators has property (P);

(4) If T is reductive and T is quasi-similar to a polynomially compact operator, then T is normal.

1. In [1], P. Rosenthal introduced the following property (P) which an operator T may have in connection with reductive algebras.

Property (P). If \mathfrak{U} is any reductive algebra and $T \in \mathfrak{U}$, then $T^* \in \mathfrak{U}$.

In this note we present some sufficient conditions to guarantee that a reductive spectral operator has property (P), and that a reductive operator is normal.

2. when have reductive spectral operators have property (P)?

Theorem 1. *If T is a reductive spectral operator with polynomially compact quasinilpotent part, then T has property (P).*

Proof Since T is a reductive spectral operator, we can assume that the canonical decomposition of T is $T = N + Q$, where $N = \int \lambda E(d\lambda)$ is a normal operator and Q is a quasinilpotent operator commuting with N . Let \mathfrak{U} be a reductive algebra such that $T \in \mathfrak{U}$. Then for every Borel set $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $E(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{U}$, and consequently $N \in \mathfrak{U}$ so that $Q \in \mathfrak{U}$. Note that N is normal, therefore, by Fuglede's Theorem, $N \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $N^* \in \mathfrak{U}$. Also, since the hypothesis that Q is polynomially compact, it follows from $Q \in \mathfrak{U}$ that $Q^* \in \mathfrak{U}$ by [2, Theorem 2]. Thus $T^* = N^* + Q^* \in \mathfrak{U}$ and hence T has property (P).

Corollary 1. *If T is a reductive spectral operator with polynomially compact quasinilpotent part, then T is normal.*

Proof Let \mathfrak{U} be the weakly closed algebra generated by T and I . Then \mathfrak{U} is

reductive and $T \in \mathcal{U}$. By Theorem 1, T^* commutes with T , that is, T is normal.

Theorem 2. *If T is a reductive spectral operator with algebraic quasinilpotent part, then T has property (P).*

Proof By the same argument as one we used in the proof of Theorem 1, we have $N^* \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that since Q is algebraic, therefore, by [3, Lemma 9.3], $Q \in \mathcal{U}'$ implies $Q^* \in \mathcal{U}'$. Thus $T^* \in \mathcal{U}'$.

Corollary 2. *If T is a reductive spectral operator with algebraic quasinilpotent part, then T is normal.*

The above Corollaries 1 and 2 are generalizations of the corollary to Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 of [4], respectively.

Theorem 3. *The countable direct sum of scalar operators has property (P).*

Proof Let $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus S_n$ denote a direct sum of scalar operators. Then there is a sequence of invertible self-adjoint operators $\{B_n\}$ such that $\{N_n\} = \{B_n^{-1}S_nB_n\}$ is a sequence of normal operators. Since we have

$$\|N_n\| = r(N_n) = r(S_n) \leq \|S_n\|,$$

so we may define the normal operator $N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus N_n$. To complete the proof, we note that since S_n is similar to N_n for each n , then [5, Theorem 2.5] implies that S is quasi-similar to N . Hence, by [2, Theorem 3], S has property (P).

3. A sufficient condition for reductive operators to be normal.

The argument used to prove [1, Theorem 5], with minor modifications will yield the following fact:

Theorem 4. *If T is reductive and T is quasi-similar to a polynomially compact operator S , then T is normal.*

Proof Suppose that $p(S) = K$ is compact where p is a nonconstant polynomial and there are quasi-affinities X and Y such that $TX = XS$, $YT = SY$. It is easy to check that T commutes with the compact operator $C = XKY$. Let \mathfrak{M} be the reducing Kernel of C , that is $\mathfrak{M} = \ker C \cap \ker C^*$, then \mathfrak{M} reduces T and $T|_{\mathfrak{M}^\perp}$ is normal, by [6, Theorem 2]. If $x \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $x_C = 0$ implies $XKYx = Xp(S)Yx = XYp(T)x = 0$, and thus $p(T)x = 0$. Hence $p(T|_{\mathfrak{M}}) = 0$, that is, $T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is algebraic. Now $T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is also reductive. It follows that $T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is normal, and thus so is $T = T|_{\mathfrak{M}} \oplus T|_{\mathfrak{M}^\perp}$.

Reference

- [1] Rosenthal, P., On commutants of reductive operator algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **41**(1974), 829—834.
- [2] Fong, C. K., On commutants of reductive algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **63**(1977), 111—114.
- [3] Radjavi, H. and Rosenthal, P., *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
- [4] Nordgren, E., Radjavi, H. and Rosenthal, P., On operators with reducing invariant subspaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **97**(1975), 559—570.
- [5] Hoover, T. B., Quasi-similarity of operators, *Illinois J. Math.*, **16** (1972), 678—686.
- [6] Moore, R. L., Hyperinvariant subspaces of reductive operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **63**(1977), 91—94.