

SMOOTH POINT MEASURES AND DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUPS

ZHANG YINGNAN (张荫南)

(Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University)

Abstract

Let $X = R^d (d > 1)$. Consider the unitary representations of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by quasi-invariant measures under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$. The author proposes smooth point measures as generalization of Poisson point measures and proves that every smooth point measure is quasi-invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$ and if $\{U_g^i\}$, $i=1, 2$, are the unitary representations of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by the smooth point measures μ_i , $i=1, 2$, respectively, then $\{U_g^1\}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\{U_g^2\}$ iff μ_1 is equivalent to μ_2 as measure.

§ 1. Introduction

Let $X = R^d (d > 1)$ and $\text{Diff}(X)$ be the group of all C^∞ diffeomorphism from X onto X having compact support, i. e., for every element ψ of $\text{Diff}(X)$ there exists a compact subset O_ψ of X such that $\psi(x) = x$ for all $x \in O_\psi$. Assume

$$\text{Diff}(X, K) = \{\psi : \psi \in \text{Diff}(X), O_\psi \subset K\},$$

where K is a compact subset of X . Endow $\text{Diff}(X, K)$ with the topology defined by the countable family of metrics

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_n = \max_{0 \leq |m| \leq n} \sup_{x \in X} \|D^{(m)}\varphi(x) - D^{(m)}\psi(x)\|,$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Diff}(X, K)$ and $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $(m) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d)$ is a d -tuple of non-negative integer, $|m| = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d$, and $D^{(m)} = \partial^{|m|} / \partial x_1^{m_1} \dots \partial x_d^{m_d}$. We say a sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ of $\text{Diff}(X)$ converge to ψ in $\text{Diff}(X)$ if there exists a compact subset K of X such that $\{\psi_n\} \subset \text{Diff}(X, K)$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ converges to ψ in $\text{Diff}(X, K)$. In this way, $\text{Diff}(X)$ becomes a non-locally compact topological group.

We write

$$\Delta(A) = \{r : r = (x_n) \subset A, \text{ for every compact subset } K, |K \cap r| \text{ is finite}\},$$

where A is a subset of X and $|B|$ is the cardinal number of the set B . For every compact set K , we define a function $K(\cdot)$ on $\Delta(A)$ such that

$$K(r) = |r \cap K|, \quad \text{for } r = (x_n) \in \Delta(A).$$

The σ -algebra generated by these functions is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_A$. We call the measurable

space $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ the configuration space with $\text{Diff}(X)$. We define the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$ on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ such that

$$\psi(r) = (\psi(a_n)), \quad \text{for } r = (a_n) \in \Delta(X) \text{ and } \psi \in \text{Diff}(X).$$

This paper is devoted to the measures on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ which are quasi-invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$ and the unitary representations of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by such measures. Our main result is as follows. First, we propose smooth point measures on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ as a generalization of Poisson measures and we prove that every smooth point measure is quasi-invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$. If we define the unitary representation $\{U_\psi^\mu\}$ of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by μ such that

$$U_\psi^\mu(F) = F(\psi^{-1}r) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu}{d\mu}(r) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } F \in L_\mu^2(\Delta(X)), \psi \in \text{Diff}(X),$$

then it must be continuous. It is obvious that $\{U_\psi^{\mu_1}\}$ and $\{U_\psi^{\mu_2}\}$ are unitarily equivalent if μ_1 and μ_2 are equivalent as measures. Conversely, it is difficult to find the necessary condition of the equivalence of $\{U_\psi^{\mu_1}\}$ and $\{U_\psi^{\mu_2}\}$. In this paper we prove that if μ_1 and μ_2 are smooth point measures, then $\{U_\psi^{\mu_1}\}$ is equivalent to $\{U_\psi^{\mu_2}\}$ iff μ_1 is equivalent to μ_2 as measure. In particular, if $\mathcal{P}_{m_i}$ is a Poisson measure of smooth measure m_i , $i=1, 2$, then $\{U_\psi^{m_1}\}$ is unitary equivalent to $\{U_\psi^{m_2}\}$ iff its Kakutani's distance $\left(\int (\sqrt{dm_1} - \sqrt{dm_2})^2 \right)^{1/2}$ is finite.

§ 2. Smooth point measures

Set

$$A = S_r = \{x: x \in X, \|x\|_d < r < \infty\},$$

$$\text{Diff}(A) = \{\psi: \psi \in \text{Diff}(X), O_\psi \subset A\}.$$

A measure m on X is called a smooth measure if $dm = f(x)dx$, where $f \in C^\infty$, $f(x) > 0$ everywhere, and dx is the Lebesgue measure on X . We write

$$\text{Diff}(X, m) = \{\psi: \psi \in \text{Diff}(X), \psi m = m\},$$

$$\text{Diff}(A, m) = \text{Diff}(X, m) \cap \text{Diff}(A).$$

Definition 1. A probability measure μ on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ is a smooth point measure if

a. $\mu\{r: |r \cap A| = k\} > 0$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for every A .

b. there exists a smooth measure m such that μ is invariant under the action of the subgroup $\text{Diff}(X, m)$, i. e., $\psi\mu = \mu$ for every $\psi \in \text{Diff}(X, m)$.

Remark. We write $\mathbb{Z} = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = (1, 2, \dots)$.

If m is a smooth measure on X , we can construct a Poisson measure $\mathcal{P}_m$ on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_m\{r: |r \cap O| = k\} = e^{-m(O)} \frac{(m(O))^k}{k!}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where O is a compact subset of X .

Obviously, every Poisson measure is smooth. Moreover, there exist a lot of smooth measures which are essentially different from Poisson measures. For example, let m be a smooth measure and $m(X) = \infty$, we define

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_{t_1 m} + \mathcal{P}_{t_2 m}), \text{ where } t_1 > t_2 > 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}$ is smooth, but it is not equivalent to any Poisson measure. Now we consider

$$A^{(k)} = \{r: r \text{ is a finite subset of } A \text{ and } |r| = k\}, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$A^{(0)} = \{\phi\}.$$

By the definition, we have $\psi A^{(k)} = A^{(k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A)$.

Suppose

$$(A^k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in A^k, x_i \neq x_j, i, j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Thus (A^k) is an open subset of X^k . Let O be a connected component of (A^k) , we define a map T from O onto $A^{(k)}$ such that

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \in A^{(k)}.$$

Evidently, T is bijective. We endow $A^{(k)}$ with a topology such that T is a homeomorphism from O onto $A^{(k)}$. Hence $A^{(k)}$ becomes a C^∞ manifold of $k \cdot d$ dimension. Denote the Borel σ -algebra of $A^{(k)}$ by $B(A^{(k)})$, and write $Tm^k = m_k$, where m^k is the product measure of m on X^k , we conclude that m_k is invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(A, m)$.

Proposition 1. *Let λ be a finite measure on $(A^{(k)}, B(A^{(k)}))$ and m be a smooth measure on X . If λ is invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(A, m)$, then there exists non-negative constant O such that $d\lambda = O dm$.*

Proof By [1], it is well known that λ is equivalent to m . Moreover, λ is invariant with respect to $\text{Diff}(A, m)$, and this ends the proof.

Next we observe a smooth point measure μ with respect to m . Define a map $P_A(r) = r \cap A$, $P_{A^c}(r) = r \cap A^c$, for $r \in \Delta(X)$. Clearly, P_A and P_{A^c} are measurable maps from $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ into $(\Delta(A), \mathcal{F}_A)$ and $(\Delta(A^c), \mathcal{F}_{A^c})$ respectively. Furthermore, we have $P_A(\psi r) = \psi(P_A r)$, $P_{A^c}(\psi r) = P_{A^c}(r)$, and $\psi \mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{F}_A$, $\psi B = B$ for $r \in \Delta(X)$ $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A)$, $B \in \mathcal{F}_{A^c}$. Let us write $\mu^A = P_A \mu$, $\mu_k^A(B) = \mu^A(B)$, for $B \in B(A^{(k)})$, then μ_k^A is invariant under $\text{Diff}(A, m)$.

Proposition 2. *If μ_1, μ_2 are smooth point measure, then μ_1^A is equivalent to μ_2^A .*

Proof It is immediate that $A = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} A^{(k)}$, and $A^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}_A$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we have

$$\mu_1^A(B) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu_1^A(B \cap A^{(k)}), \quad \mu_2^A(B) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu_2^A(B \cap A^{(k)}), \text{ for } B \in \mathcal{F}_A.$$

According to Proposition 1 and Definition 1, there exist constants $O_k^{(i)} > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$\mu_1^A(B \cap A^{(k)}) = O_k^{(1)} m_k^{(1)}(B \cap A^{(k)}), \quad \mu_2^A(B \cap A^{(k)}) = O_k^{(2)} m_k^{(2)}(B \cap A^{(k)}), \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $m_k^{(1)}, m_k^{(2)}$ are smooth measures on $A^{(k)}$ which are defined as before. Because

$m_k^{(1)}$ is equivalent to $m_k^{(2)}$ for $k \in Z_+$, and $\mu_1^A(B \cap A^{(0)}) = 0$ iff $\mu_2^A(B \cap A^{(0)}) = 0$, thus the conclusion follows.

Corollary. If K_1, K_2 are compact subsets of X and μ is a smooth point measure with respect to m . Suppose that $m(K_1 \cap K_2) = 0$, $\mu(B_1) > 0$, $\mu(B_2) > 0$, where $B_1 \in P_{K_1}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{K_1}$, $B_2 \in P_{K_2}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{K_2}$, then $\mu(B_1 \cap B_2) > 0$.

Proposition 3. Let (Ω, S) be a measurable space, μ_i be finite measure on (Ω, S) , $i=1, 2$, and ψ be a measurable isomorphism on (Ω, S) such that

$$\mu_1 \approx \mu_2 \approx \psi \mu_1 \approx \psi \mu_2, \text{ then } \frac{d\psi \mu_1}{d\psi \mu_2}(\omega) = \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2}(\psi^{-1}\omega), \text{ a. s., } \mu_2.$$

Proof Since $\psi \mu_1(B) = \mu_1(\psi^{-1}B)$, for $B \in S$, we have

$$\int_B \frac{d\psi \mu_1}{d\psi \mu_2}(\omega) d\psi \mu_2 = \psi \mu_1(B) = \int_B \mathbf{1}_B(\psi \omega) d\mu_1 = \int_B \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2}(\psi^{-1}\omega) d\psi \mu_2,$$

and this ends the proof.

Proposition 4. If (Ω, S) is a measurable space, G is a group of measurable maps on (Ω, S) . Let μ_1, μ_2 be finite measures on (Ω, S) . Assume they both are quasi-invariant with G . We define G 's unitary representations $\{U_g^1\}$ and $\{U_g^2\}$ on $L_{\mu_1}^2$ and $L_{\mu_2}^2$ respectively, $U_g^i F = F(g^{-1}\omega) \left(\frac{dg \mu_i}{d\mu_i}(\omega) \right)^{1/2}$, for $F \in L_{\mu_i}^2$, $i=1, 2$. If μ_1 is equivalent to μ_2 as measure, then $\{U_g^1\}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\{U_g^2\}$.

Proof Set

$$T(F) = F(\omega) \left(\frac{d\mu_2}{d\mu_1}(\omega) \right)^{1/2}, \text{ for } F \in L_{\mu_1}^2,$$

thus, T is a unitary map from $L_{\mu_1}^2$ onto $L_{\mu_2}^2$ and $T^{-1}U_g^2 T = U_g^1$, for $g \in G$.

Proposition 5. Let μ be a probability measure on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$, $\psi_n \in \text{Diff}(X)$, $\psi \in \text{Diff}(X)$. If $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\psi_n \mu = \mu$ for every n , then $\psi \mu = \mu$.

Proof Suppose that $A = S_r \supset \bigcup_n O_{\psi_n}$, and $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(X)$, $i=1, \dots, m$, which support $O_i \subset A$. Define

$$P = \{r: r \in \Delta(X), (\langle r, \varphi_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle r, \varphi_m \rangle) \in O\},$$

where $\langle r, \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_{x \in r} \varphi_i(x)$, O is a compact subset of R^m . By Fatou's lemma,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\psi_n^{-1}P) \leq \mu(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n^{-1}P).$$

It is clear that $P = \{r: (\langle P_A r, \varphi_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle P_A r, \varphi_m \rangle) \in O\}$, and $\psi_n P_A r = P_A(\psi_n r)$, for n . If $P_A(r) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, $k \in Z_+$, then $\psi_n P_A(r) = (\psi_n(a_1), \dots, \psi_n(a_k))$. Because $\psi_n(a_j) \rightarrow \psi(a_j)$, $j=1, \dots, k$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n^{-1}P \subset \psi_0^{-1}P$.

On the other hand, $\psi_n^{-1} \rightarrow \psi^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the fact that $\text{Diff}(X)$ is a topological group. Thus, $\mu(P) = \mu(\psi_0 P)$ for each P , this ends the proof.

Definition 2. Let μ be a smooth point measure. for $A = S_r$, we define $\tilde{\mu}$ on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ such that $\tilde{\mu} = \mu^A \times \mu^{A^0}$, where $\mu^A = P_A \mu$, $\mu^{A^0} = P_{A^0} \mu$.

Remark. $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X) = (\Delta(A) \times \Delta(A^0), \mathcal{F}_A \times \mathcal{F}_{A^0})$.

Proposition 6. If μ is a smooth point measure, then $\mu \ll \tilde{\mu}$ and

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\tilde{\mu}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \rho_k(P_{A^c} r) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(P_A r),$$

where $\rho_k(\cdot)$ is a non-negative measurable function on $(\Delta(A^0, \mathcal{F}_{A^0})$, and $\mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}$ is the indicator of $A^{(k)}$.

Proof If $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_A = P_A^{-1} \mathcal{F}_A$, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0} = P_{A^0} \mathcal{F}_{A^0}$, then there exists a regular conditional probability of μ on $\mathcal{F}_X$ given by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0}$. It is denoted by $\mu(\cdot | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})$. Obviously

$$\mu(\hat{B} \cap \hat{O}) = \int_{\hat{O}} \mu^A(B | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) d\mu,$$

where $\hat{B} = P_A^{-1} B$ and $\hat{O} = P_{A^0}^{-1} O$, $B \in \mathcal{F}_A$ and $O \in \mathcal{F}_{A^0}$, and

$$\mu^A(\cdot | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) = P_A \mu(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{A^0})(r).$$

Given $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m)$, by assumption, μ is invariant under $\text{Diff}(X, m)$. We can write

$$\int \mathbf{1}_{\hat{B}}(\psi r) \mathbf{1}_{\hat{O}}(\psi r) d\mu = \int \mathbf{1}_{\hat{B}}(\psi r) \mathbf{1}_{\hat{O}}(\psi r) d\psi^{-1} \mu = \mu(\hat{B} \cap \hat{O}).$$

Thus

$$\mu^A(\psi^{-1} B | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) = \mu^A(B | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu$$

By Proposition 5 and the fact that $\text{Diff}(X)$ is separable topological group and the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ is generated by some countable subalgebra, we can find a set $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0}$, $\mu(M) = 0$ such that, for every $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m)$,

$$\psi \mu^A(\cdot | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) = \mu^A(\cdot | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r), \quad \text{for } r \in M.$$

According to Proposition 2, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists non-negative number $O_k(r)$ such that

$$\mu^A(B | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) = O_k(r) \mu^A(B), \quad \text{for } B \in B(A^{(k)}).$$

As $\mu^A(A^{(k)}) = \mu\{r: |r \cap A| = k\} > 0$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we have

$$O_k(r) = \mu^A(A^{(k)} | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0})(r) / \mu^A(A^{(k)}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is tantamount to that $O_k(r)$ is $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^0}$ -measurable. By Doob's lemma, we can write $O_k(r) = \rho_k(P_{A^0} r)$, where $\rho_k(\cdot)$ is a measurable function on $(\Delta(A^0, \mathcal{F}_{A^0})$. Therefore

$$\mu(\hat{B} \cap \hat{O}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\hat{O}} \left(\int_B \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) d\mu^A(r) \right) \rho_k(P_{A^0} r) d\mu(r).$$

Consequently, $\mu \ll \tilde{\mu}$ and

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\tilde{\mu}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \rho_k(P_{A^0} r) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(P_A r), \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}.$$

Proposition 7. Let μ be a smooth point measure, then μ is quasi-invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$, and

$$\frac{d\psi \mu}{d\mu}(r) = \frac{d\psi \mu^A}{d\mu^A}(P_A r), \quad \text{a. s.,}$$

where $\psi \in \text{Diff}(X)$ and $O_\psi \subset A = S_r$.

Proof Let

$$\rho(r) = \frac{d\mu}{d\tilde{\mu}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \rho_k(P_A r) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(P_A r).$$

Hence, $\rho(\psi r) = \rho(r)$, $\mu(\psi^{-1}B) = \int_B \rho(r) d(\psi \tilde{\mu})$, for $B \in \mathcal{F}_X$.

Obviously, $\psi \tilde{\mu} \approx \tilde{\mu}$ and $\frac{d\psi \tilde{\mu}}{d\tilde{\mu}}(r) = \frac{d\psi \mu^A}{d\mu^A}(P_A r)$, the conclusion holds.

Corollary. If μ is a smooth point measure with respect to m , then

$$\frac{d\psi \mu}{d\mu}(r) = \prod_{x \in r} \left(\frac{d\psi m}{dm}(x) \right), \text{ for } \psi \in \text{Diff}(X).$$

Proof Suppose that $C_\psi \subset A = S_r$. By Proposition 2, we have

$$\frac{d\mu^A}{d\mathcal{P}_m^A}(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} C_k \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x), \quad C > 0.$$

Moreover

$$\frac{d\psi \mu^A}{d\mu^A} = \frac{d\psi \mu^A}{d\psi \mathcal{P}_m^A} \cdot \frac{d\psi \mathcal{P}_m^A}{d\mathcal{P}_m^A} \cdot \frac{d\mathcal{P}_m^A}{d\mu^A}.$$

According to Proposition 3

$$\left(\frac{d\psi \mu^A}{d\psi \mathcal{P}_m^A} \right)(x) = \frac{d\mu^A}{d\mathcal{P}_m^A}(\psi^{-1}x) = \frac{d\mu^A}{d\mathcal{P}_m^A}(x),$$

which is tantamount to that

$$\frac{d\psi \mu}{d\mu}(r) = \frac{d\psi \mathcal{P}_m^A}{d\mathcal{P}_m^A}(r) = \prod_{x \in r} \left(\frac{d\psi m}{dm}(x) \right).$$

Proposition 8. Let m be a smooth measure on X . If $\{U_\psi^m\}$ is the unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by $\mathcal{P}_m$, then it is continuous.

Proof We first consider the unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(A)$ on $L^2(A^{(k)}, \mathcal{P}_m^A)$ which is given by $\mathcal{P}_m^A$. Denote the Kakutani's inner product and distance by K_ρ and K_d respectively, then

$$M_1^2(\psi) = 2 \left(1 - \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} K_d(\psi m, m) \right) \right), \text{ for } \psi \in \text{Diff}(A),$$

which ensures that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_1^2(\psi_n) = 0$ as ψ_n converges to I_d in $\text{Diff}(A)$.

Following [2], the unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(A)$ on $L^2(A^{(k)}, \mathcal{P}_m^A)$ given by $\mathcal{P}_m^A$ is continuous. Because $L^2(\Delta(A), \mathcal{P}_m^A) = \sum \oplus L^2(A^{(k)}, \mathcal{P}_m^A)$, it is easy to show that the unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(A)$ on $L^2(\Delta(A), \mathcal{P}_m^A)$ given by $\mathcal{P}_m$ is continuous. Let $F \in L^\infty(\Delta(X), \hat{\mathcal{F}}_A)$, $J \in L^\infty(\Delta(X), \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{A^c})$, then

$$\|U_{\psi_n}^m(F \cdot J) - F \cdot J\|^2 = \|J\|^2 \|U_{\psi_n}^m(F) - F\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\{U_\psi^m\}$ is continuous.

Moreover, in a completely analogous fashion, we can prove that the conclusion holds in the case of smooth point measure.

Summing Propositions 1—8, we have the following

Theorem 1. Let μ be a smooth point measure with respect to m , then μ is quasi-invariant under the action of $\text{Diff}(X)$, and

$$\frac{d\psi\mu}{d\mu}(r) = \prod_{x \in r} \left(\frac{d\psi m}{dm}(x) \right), \text{ for } \psi \in \text{Diff}(X).$$

Furthermore, the representation $\{U_\psi^n\}$ of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by μ is continuous.

§ 3. Unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by smooth point measures

Let μ_1 and μ_2 be smooth point measures on $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$, and $\{U_\psi^1\}$, $\{U_\psi^2\}$ be unitary representations given by μ_1 and μ_2 respectively. In this section, we shall prove that $\{U_\psi^1\}$ and $\{U_\psi^2\}$ are unitarily equivalent iff μ_1 is equivalent to μ_2 .

Proposition 9. If μ_i , $i=1, 2$, is smooth point measure with respect to m_i , $i=1, 2$, and $P_0 \in L^2(\Delta(X), \mu_2)$ such that, for every $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m_1)$,

$$(*) \quad P_0(\psi^{-1}r) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2}{d\mu_2}(r) \right)^{1/2} = P_0(r) \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2,$$

then

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(r) P_0(r) \mathbf{1}_{A^{\circ}}(P_A r) = O(A, k, P_{A^{\circ}} r) \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(P_A r) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{\circ}}(P_A r) \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}_2,$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_2 = \mu_2^A \times \mu_2^{A^{\circ}}$, $\frac{d\mu_2}{d\tilde{\mu}_2} = \rho_2$, $O(A, k, \cdot)$ is a measurable function on $(\Delta(A^{\circ}), \mathcal{F}_{A^{\circ}})$,

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof Define a map $T: \Delta(X) \rightarrow \Delta(A) \times \Delta(A^{\circ})$ such that $T(r) = (P_A r, P_{A^{\circ}} r)$. Thus, T is a measurable isomorphism from $(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$ onto $(\Delta(A) \times \Delta(A^{\circ}), \mathcal{F}_A \times \mathcal{F}_{A^{\circ}})$. Obviously, we can write $F(r) = F(P_A r, P_{A^{\circ}} r)$, for $F \in L^0(\Delta(X), \mathcal{F}_X)$, where $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is measurable on $(\Delta(A) \times \Delta(A^{\circ}), \mathcal{F}_A \times \mathcal{F}_{A^{\circ}})$, and $T \tilde{\mu}_2 = \mu_2^A \times \mu_2^{A^{\circ}}$.

We set $P(r) = \rho_2^{1/2}(r) P_0(r) = P(P_A r, P_{A^{\circ}} r)$. Then

$$\iint |P(x, y)|^2 d\mu_2^A(x) d\mu_2^{A^{\circ}}(y) = \int \rho_2(r) |P_0(r)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_2 = \int |P_0(r)|^2 d\mu_2 < \infty,$$

so that $P(x, y) \in L^2(\Delta(A) \times \Delta(A^{\circ}), \mu_2^A \times \mu_2^{A^{\circ}})$.

By Fubini's theorem, there exists a set $M_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{A^{\circ}}$ such that $\mu_2^{A^{\circ}}(M_1) = 0$, and $P_y(\cdot) = P(\cdot, y) \in L^2(\mu_2^A)$ as $y \in M_1$.

For $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m_1)$, there exists $M(\psi) \in \mathcal{F}_X$ such that $\mu_2(M(\psi)) = 0$, and $(*)$ holds as $r \in M(\cdot)$. As

$$\int_{M(\psi)} \rho_2 d\tilde{\mu}_2 = \iint \mathbf{1}_{M(\psi)}(x, y) \rho_2(x, y) d\mu_2^A(x) d\mu_2^{A^{\circ}}(y) = 0,$$

we can find a set $M(\psi, A^{\circ}) \in \mathcal{F}_{A^{\circ}}$, $\mu_2^{A^{\circ}}(M(\psi, A^{\circ})) = 0$ and, for $y \in M(\psi, A^{\circ})$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{M(\psi)}(x, y) \rho_2(x, y) = 0, \text{ a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

Clearly, as $y \in M(\psi, A^{\circ})$, we have

$$P(\psi^{-1}x, y) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} = P(x, y), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

Suppose that $\{\psi_n\}$ is a countable subset of $\text{Diff}(A, m)$ which is dense in $\text{Diff}(A, m_1)$.

Let $M = \bigcup_n M(\psi_n, A^0) \cup M_1$, then $M \in \mathcal{F}_{A^0}$, $\mu_2^{A^0}(M) = 0$. As $y \in M$, we have $P_y(\cdot) \in L^2(\mu_2^A)$ and

$$P_y(\psi_n^{-1}x) \left(\frac{d\psi_n \mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} = P_y(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

According to Theorem 1, if $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m_1)$ and $y \in M$, then

$$P_y(\psi^{-1}x) \left(\frac{d\psi \mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} = P_y(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

As $\mu_1^A = \psi \mu_1^A \approx \mu_2^A \approx \psi \mu_2^A$ for $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m_1)$, we have

$$\frac{d\psi \mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) = \frac{d\psi \mu_2^A}{d\psi \mu_1^A}(x) \cdot \frac{d\psi \mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) = \frac{d\mu_2^A}{d\mu_1^A}(\psi^{-1}x) \cdot \frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x).$$

Then

$$P_y(\psi^{-1}x) \left(\frac{d\mu_2^A}{d\mu_1^A}(\psi^{-1}x) \right)^{1/2} = P_y(x) \frac{d\mu_2^A}{d\mu_1^A}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

for $y \in M$.

Define

$$\Phi_y(x) = P_y(x) \left(\frac{d\mu_2^A}{d\mu_1^A}(x) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } y \in M,$$

thus, $\Phi_y(\cdot)$ belongs in $L^1(\Delta(A), \mu_1^A)$ and $\Phi_y(\psi^{-1}x) = \Phi_y(x)$ a. s., μ_1^A , for $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A, m_1)$. By Proposition 1, we can find constant $O(A, r, y)$, $k \in Z$, such that

$$\Phi_y(x) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) = O(A, r, y) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_1^A.$$

It is clear that

$$O(A, k, y) = \int_{A^{(k)}} P_0(x, y) \rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) \left(\frac{d\mu_2^A}{d\mu_1^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} d\mu_1^A / \mu_1^A(A^{(k)}),$$

where $\mu_1^A(A^{(k)}) = \mu_1\{r: |r \cap A| = k\} > 0$ and

$$|O(A, k, y)|^2 \leq \int_{A^{(k)}} |P_0(x, y)|^2 \rho_2(x, y) d\mu_2^A(x) / \mu_1^A(A^{(k)}).$$

It means that $O(A, k, y)$ must be in $L^2(\Delta(A^0), \mathcal{F}_{A^0})$. Then we can say that for $y \in M$, $k \in Z$,

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) P_0(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) = O(A, k, y) \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(r) P_0(r) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(r) = O(A, k, P_{A^0} r) \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(P_{A^0} r) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(P_{A^0} r), \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}_2.$$

Theorem 2. Let μ_i , $i=1, 2$, is a smooth point measure with respect to m_i , $i=1, 2$. If $\{U_\psi^1\}$ and $\{U_\psi^2\}$ are the unitary representations of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by μ_1 and μ_2 respectively, then $\{U_\psi^1\}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\{U_\psi^2\}$ iff μ_1 is equivalent to μ_2 as measure.

Proof By Proposition 4, the sufficiency follows. Next we consider the necessity. Denote the inner product of $L_{\mu_i}^2$, $i=1, 2$, by $(\cdot, \cdot)_i$, $i=1, 2$. If there exists a unitary map T from $L_{\mu_1}^2$ onto $L_{\mu_2}^2$ such that $T U_\psi^1 T^{-1} = U_\psi^2$, for $\psi \in \text{Diff}(X)$, setting $F = 1 \in L_{\mu_1}^2$, and $G = T(F) \in L_{\mu_2}^2$, then, for $\psi \in \text{Diff}(X, m_1)$,

$$G(\psi^{-1}r) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2}{d\mu_2}(r) \right)^{1/2} = G(r), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2.$$

From Proposition 9, we claim that for each $A = S_r$,

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) G(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} O(A, k, y) \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}_2,$$

where

$$\rho_2 = \frac{d\mu_2}{d\tilde{\mu}_2}.$$

If $\psi \in \text{Diff}(A)$, then

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) G(\psi^{-1}x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} O(A, k, y) \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(\psi^{-1}x) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}_2,$$

and

$$\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(\psi^{-1}x) \frac{d\psi\mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) = \frac{d\psi\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

$$\rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) G(\psi^{-1}x, y) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} O(A, k, y) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint \rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) G(\psi^{-1}x, y) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \rho_2^{1/2}(x, y) \overline{G(x, y)} d\mu_2^A(x) d\mu_2^{A^o}(y) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \iint |O(A, k, y)|^2 \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) d\mu_2^A(x) d\mu_2^{A^o}(y) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\int_{A^{(k)}} |O(A, k, y)|^2 d\mu_2^{A^o}(y) \right) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} d\mu_1^A(x). \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} (U_\psi^2 G, G)_2 &= \iint G(\psi^{-1}x, y) \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_2^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} \overline{G(x, y)} \rho_2 d\mu_2^A d\mu_2^{A^o}, \\ (U_\psi^1 F, F) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{A^{(k)}} \left(\frac{d\psi\mu_1^A}{d\mu_1^A}(x) \right)^{1/2} d\mu_1^A \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{e^{-m_1(A)}}{k!} D(k, A) \left(\int_A \left(\frac{d\psi m_1}{dm_1}(x) \right)^{1/2} dm_1 \right)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $D(k, A) = \mu_1\{r: |r \cap A| = k\} / \mathcal{P}_{m_1}(A^{(k)}) > 0$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\int |O(A, k, y)|^2 d\mu_2^{A^o}(y) \right) \frac{D(k, A)}{k!} \left(\int_A \left(\frac{d\psi m_1}{dm_1}(x) \right)^{1/2} dm_1 \right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{D(k, A)}{k!} \left(\int_A \left(\frac{d\psi m_1}{dm_1}(x) \right)^{1/2} dm_1 \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for every $A = S_r$, we always can find a sequence $\{\psi_n\} \subset \text{Diff}(A)$ such that

$$\int_A \left(\frac{d\psi_n m_1}{dm_1}(x) \right)^{1/2} dm_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$\int |O(A, k, y)|^2 d\mu_2^{A^o} = 1, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because

$$\rho_2(x, y) |G(x, y)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x) = |O(A, k, y)|^2 \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right) \mathbf{1}_{A^{(k)}}(x), \quad \text{a. s., } \tilde{\mu}_2,$$

according to Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\int \rho_2(x, y) |G(x, y)|^2 d\mu_2^A(y) = \left(\frac{d\mu_1^A}{d\mu_2^A}(x) \right), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2^A.$$

Set $A_n = S_n$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Clearly, $\{\mathcal{F}_{A_n}, n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is increasing and $\bigvee_n \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A_n} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_X$. Moreover, $\left\{ \frac{d\mu_1^{A_n}}{d\mu_2^{A_n}}(P_{A_n}r), \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A_n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$ is a martingale. On the other hand

$$E^{\mu_1}(|G|^2 | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A_n}) = \int |G(P_{A_n}r, y)|^2 \rho_2(P_{A_n}r, y) d\mu_2^A(y), \quad \text{a. s., } \mu_2.$$

So that

$$\int \left| \left(\frac{d\mu_1^{A_n}}{d\mu_2^{A_n}}(P_{A_n}r) \right) - |G(r)|^2 \right| d\mu_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is well known that $d\mu_1 = g d\mu_2 + d\mu_{12}$, where $g \in L^1_{\mu_2}$, μ_{12} is the singular part of μ_1 in its Lebesgue decomposition. As $\mu_1(B) = 0$ iff $\mu_2(B) = 0$ for $B \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A_n}$, we have

$$\int_B d\mu_1 = \int_B g d\mu_2 \quad \text{for } B \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{A_n}.$$

Thus

$$\int_B \frac{d\mu_1^{A_n}}{d\mu_2^{A_n}}(P_{A_n}r) d\mu_2 = \int_B g d\mu_2.$$

Setting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see

$$\int_B |G|^2 d\mu_2 = \int_B g d\mu_2 \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{F}_X.$$

Consequently

$$1 = \int_{\Delta(x)} |G|^2 d\mu_2 = \int_{\Delta(x)} g d\mu_2,$$

which implies that $\mu_{12} = 0$, i. e., $\mu_1 \ll \mu_2$. In completely analogous fashion, we can prove that $\mu_2 \ll \mu_1$, this ends the proof.

Corollary. If $m, i=1, 2$, is a smooth measure on X , and $\{U_\psi^{m_i}\}, i=1, 2$, is the unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(X)$ given by $\mathcal{P}_{m_i}, i=1, 2$, then $\{U_\psi^{m_i}\}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\{U_\psi^{m_2}\}$ iff the Kakutani distance $\left(\int (\sqrt{dm_1} - \sqrt{dm_2})^2 \right)^{1/2}$ is finite.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank Professor Xia Daoxing for his encouragement and help.

References

- [1] Vershik, A. M., Gelfand, I. M., Graev, M. I., *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **30** (1975), 1—50.
- [2] Xia, Daoxing, *Measure and Integration Theory on Infinite-Dimensional Space* (translated by E. J. Brody), Acad. Press.
- [3] Xia, Daoxing, On the representations of the local current algebra and the group of diffeomorphism(I), *Sci. Sinica*, Special Issue (II), (1979), 249—260.