

A NOTE ON THE RATES OF ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF LINEAR PERMUTATION STATISTICS

BAI ZHIDONG (白志东)

(University of Science and Technology of China)

Abstract

In his pervious paper^[3], Prof. Chen Xiru proposed a question: can the rate of asymptotic normality of linear permutation statistics be arbitrarily slow when one of $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ satisfies the condition W and the other satisfies the condition N ? This note shows that it can. Moreover, a wrong statement about this problem is corrected.

§ 1. Introduction

Let $A_n = \{a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nN_n}\}$ and $B_n = \{b_{n1}, \dots, b_{nN_n}\}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be two sequences of real vectors, and $\{R_{n1}, \dots, R_{nN_n}\}$ be a random vector with a uniform distribution over the set of all permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, N_n)$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = \infty$. Call

$$L_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} b_{ni} a_{nR_{ni}} \quad (1)$$

the linear permutation statistics generated by A_n and B_n . Define

$$\bar{a}_n = \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} a_{ni}$$

$$\mu_r(A_n) = \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} (a_{ni} - \bar{a}_n)^r, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } r=2, 3, \dots,$$

and similarly for \bar{b}_n , $\mu_r(B_n)$. We have

$$\lambda_n = EL_n = N_n \bar{a}_n \bar{b}_n, \quad \sigma_n^2 = \text{Var } L_n = \frac{N_n^2}{N_n - 1} \mu_2(A_n) \mu_2(B_n).$$

We say that $\{A_n\}$ satisfies the condition W or N , if

$$\sup_n \mu_r(A_n) / [\mu_2(A_n)]^{r/2} \leq M < \infty, \text{ for each integer } r \geq 3 \quad (2)$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n^{-\frac{r}{2}+1} \mu_r(A_n) / [\mu_2(A_n)]^{r/2} = 0, \text{ for each } r \geq 3 \quad (3)$$

respectively, where M is independent of r . Likewise for $\{B_n\}$.

The well-known theorem of Wald-Wolfowitz-Noether [1, 2] guarantees the

asymptotic normality of $(L_n - \lambda_n)/\sigma_n$ under the condition that one of $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ satisfies the condition W while the other satisfies the condition N . Recently, Chen [3] considered the rate of this convergence and obtained some results. Among the results in Chen's paper, there is an attempt to establish the fact that the above-mentioned convergence rate can be arbitrarily slow when $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ both satisfy the condition N . But in reality, his result does not establish this fact for obvious reason. One aim of this note is to establish the correct result (Theorem 2 of the present paper. Note the difference between our Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 of [3]). Chen also proposed the question: does this result remain true in the case that one of $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ satisfies the condition W and the other satisfies the condition N ? The second aim of this note is to answer affirmatively this question (Theorem 2, too).

§ 2. The Main Results and Proofs

Choose a sequence of even positive integers $\{N_n\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = \infty$, and a sequence of strictly decreasing positive numbers $\{q_n\}$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^2 N_n^\alpha = \infty \quad (4)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/6$ is a constant. Without losing generality we assume that $q_n < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Write $p_n = \frac{1}{2} - q_n$. Define

$$A_n = \begin{cases} a_{ni} = 1/D_n & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, [p_n N_n] \\ a_{ni} = -1/D_n & \text{for } i = [p_n N_n] + 1, \dots, 2[p_n N_n] \\ a_{ni} = 0 & \text{for } i = 2[p_n N_n] + 1, \dots, N_n - 2 \\ a_{nN_n-1} = q_n^{\frac{1}{2}} N_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n = -a_{nN_n}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$B_n = \begin{cases} b_{ni} = N_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2} N_n \\ b_{ni} = -N_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } i = \frac{1}{2} N_n + 1, \dots, N_n, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where

$$D_n^2 = N_n^{-1}(2[p_n N_n] + 2q_n N_n) = 1 - 2\theta_n/N_n, \quad 0 \leq \theta_n \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

It is not difficult to verify that $\{A_n\}$ satisfies the condition N with $\bar{a}_n = 0$ and $\mu_2(A_n) = 1$ while $\{B_n\}$ satisfies the condition W with $\bar{b}_n = 0$, $\mu_2(B_n) = 1/N_n$.

Denote by L_n the linear permutation statistic generated by A_n and B_n and denote by F_n the distribution of $L_n/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n}$.

Theorem 1. Under the above notations we have

- (i) $F_n \xrightarrow{c} \Phi$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(ii) $\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq c q_n^2$, for n large enough, where c is a positive constant independent of n .

Proof Assertion (i) follows from Noether's Theorem. For the proof of (ii), set

$$A_n^* = \begin{cases} a_{ni}^* = a_{ni} & \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, N_n-2, \\ a_{ni}^* = 0 & \text{for } i=N_n-1, N_n, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and $L_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} b_{ni} a_{ni}^*$. It is easy to verify that $\{A_n^*\}$ satisfies the condition W . Denote by

F_n^* the distribution of L_n^* . By Theorem 1 in [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x |F_n^*(x) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})| &\leq \sup_x |P(L_n^*/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n^*} \leq x/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n^*}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n^*})| \\ &\quad + \sup_x |\Phi(x/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n^*}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})| \\ &= o(N_n^{-\alpha}) + \sup_x |\Phi(x/\sqrt{\text{Var } L_n^*}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})|. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since $\text{Var } L_n^* = \frac{1}{N_n-1} (2[p_n N_n]/D_n^2) = 2p_n(1+O(1/N_n))$, the second term on the right hand side of (10) is equal to $O(1/N_n)$. Hence

$$\sup_x |F_n^*(x) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})| = o(N_n^{-\alpha}). \quad (11)$$

Let $Q_n = L_n - L_n^*$. By the definition of A_n , A_n^* and B_n , we see that Q_n assumes only three possible values: 0 and $\pm 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(L_n \leq x) &= P(L_n \leq x, Q_n = 0) + P(L_n \leq x, Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) + P(L_n \leq x, Q_n = 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) \\ &= P(L_n^* \leq x, Q_n = 0) + P(L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n, Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) \\ &\quad + P(L_n^* \leq x - 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n, Q_n = 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) = I_1 + I_2 - I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} I_1 = P(L_n^* \leq x), \\ I_2 = P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n, Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) \\ I_3 = P(x - 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n < L_n^* \leq x, Q_n = 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Applying Eq. (11), we have

$$I_1 = \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) + o(N_n^{-2}). \quad (14)$$

Furthermore

$$I_2 = P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{1/2}/D_n | Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) P(Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n). \quad (15)$$

It is easy to compute that

$$P(Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} N_n\right)^2 / N_n(N_n-1) = \frac{1}{4} + O(1/N_n). \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, we can split the event $(Q_n = -2q_n^{1/2}/D_n)$ into $\left(\frac{1}{2} N_n\right)^2$ mutual-disjoint events $E_{ij} = (R_{nN_n-1} = i, R_{nN_n} = j)$, $i = \frac{1}{2} N_n + 1, \dots, N_n$; $j = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} N_n$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | E_{ij}) &= P(x \leq L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | E_{N_n,1}) \\
 \text{for } i &= \frac{1}{2} N_n + 1, \dots, N_n; j = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} N_n. \text{ Hence} \\
 P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | Q_n = -2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n) \\
 &= \sum_{i=\frac{1}{2} N_n+1}^{N_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2} N_n} P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | E_{ij}) P(E_{ij}) / P(Q_n = -2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n) \\
 &= P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | E_{N_n,1}). \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{A}_n &= \{\tilde{a}_{ni} = a_{ni}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N_n - 2\}, \\
 \tilde{B}_n &= \begin{cases} \tilde{b}_{ni} = N_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2} N_n - 1 \\ \tilde{b}_{ni} = -N_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } i = \frac{1}{2} N_n, \dots, N_n - 2, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and let $\tilde{L}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_n-2} \tilde{b}_{ni} \tilde{a}_{nmi}$, where $(\tilde{R}_{n1}, \dots, \tilde{R}_{nN_n-2})$ is a random vector, each of the $(N_n - 2)!$ permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, N_n - 2)$ being with the equal probability $1/(N_n - 2)!$. Similarly, as in the derivation of Eq. (11), we can prove that

$$P(x < \tilde{L}_n \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n) = \Phi((x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) + o(N_n^{-\alpha}). \tag{18}$$

It is easy to see that

$$P(x < \tilde{L}_n \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n) = P(x < L_n^* \leq x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/D_n | E_{N_n,1}).$$

From this relation and Eq. (15), (16), (17), (18), we obtain

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{4} (\Phi((x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})) + o(N_n^{-\alpha}). \tag{19}$$

In a similar way we can derive that

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{4} (\Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi((x - 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n})) + o(N_n^{-\alpha}). \tag{20}$$

From (12), (13), (14), (19), (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(L_n \leq x) &= \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) + \frac{1}{4} (\Phi(x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n})) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} (\Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi(x - 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/\sqrt{2p_n})) + o(N_n^{-\alpha}) \\
 &= \Phi(x) + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + o(N_n^{-\alpha}), \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1 &= \Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) - \Phi(x), \\
 \Delta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \{ \Phi((x + 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}) - 2\Phi(x/\sqrt{2p_n}) + \Phi((x - 2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \int_x^{x/\sqrt{2p_n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} dt = \int_{-x(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1)}^0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(t+\frac{x}{\sqrt{2p_n}}\right)^2\right\} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \int_0^{x(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{xt}{\sqrt{2p_n}}\right\} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \int_0^{x(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1)} \left(1 + \frac{xt}{\sqrt{2p_n}} + O(t^2)\right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \left[x\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1\right) + \frac{x^3}{2\sqrt{2p_n}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1\right)^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_n}}-1\right)^3\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1/\sqrt{2p_n} = 1 + q_n + \frac{3}{2}q_n^2 + O(q_n^3)$, we have

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \left[xq_n + \frac{3}{2}xq_n^2 + \frac{x^3}{2}q_n^2 \right] + o(q_n^2). \quad (22)$$

Secondly, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{x/\sqrt{2p_n}}^{(x+2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}} - \int_{(x-2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{2p_n}}^{x/\sqrt{2p_n}} \right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_0^{2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/\sqrt{2p_n}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(t+x/\sqrt{2p_n}\right)^2\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(t-x/\sqrt{2p_n}\right)^2\right) \right) dt \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \int_0^{2q_n^{\frac{1}{2}}/\sqrt{2p_n}} \left[-\frac{2xt}{\sqrt{2p_n}} + \frac{xt^3}{\sqrt{2p_n}} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{xt}{\sqrt{2p_n}}\right)^3 + O(t^4) \right] dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \left\{ -xq_n(2p_n)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + xq_n^2(2p_n)^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{x^3}{3}q_n^2(2p_n)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \right\} + o(q_n^{\frac{5}{2}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}\right\} \left\{ -xq_n - 2xq_n^2 - \frac{x^3}{3}q_n^2 \right\} + o(q_n^2). \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (21), (22), (23) and noticing the fact that $e^{-\frac{x^2}{4p_n}} = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}(1+O(1))$, we get

$$P(L_n \leq x) = \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \right) q_n^2 + o(q_n^2).$$

Since $\text{Var } L_n = \frac{N_n^2}{(N_n-1)} \mu_2(A_n) \mu_2(B_n) = N_N/(N_N-1) = 1 + O(1/N_n)$, we get

$$F_n(x) = P(L_n \leq x \text{ Var } L_n) = \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \right) q_n^2 + o(q_n^2).$$

Inserting $x=1$, we get

$$\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq |F(1) - \Phi(1)| \geq \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2\pi}e} q_n^2 + o(q_n^2).$$

From this and assumption (5), assertion (ii) follows and the proof is concluded.

Theorem 2. For an arbitrarily given non-increasing positive-valued function $\varphi(x)$, $\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, there exist $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ satisfying the conditions N and W

respectively, such that the distribution F_n of $(L_n - \lambda_n)/\sigma_n$ converges to Φ , but for n large enough

$$\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq \varphi(N_n).$$

Proof Choose arbitrarily a sequence of positive even integers N_n such that $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\varphi(N_n)$ is a sequence of positive numbers with $\varphi(N_n) \rightarrow 0$. Choose a sequence of positive numbers $\{q_n\}$ such that (1) $q_n^2 \geq 12\sqrt{2\pi e} \varphi(N_n)$ for all large n , (2) $q_n^2 N_n^\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (3) $q_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$, constructed according to Theorem 1, satisfying the conditions N and W respectively, are such that

$$\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2\pi e}} q_n^2 \geq \varphi(N_n) \text{ for all large } n,$$

which proves Theorem 2.

In Theorem 1, if we construct A_n and B_n with $q_n = N_n^{-2c}$, where $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is a constant, then $\{A_n\}$ satisfies a condition stronger than the condition N , i. e.

$$\mu_r(A_n)/[\mu_2(A_n)]^{r/2} = O(N_n^{(\frac{1}{2}-c)r-1}). \quad (24)$$

We shall say that $\{A_n\}$ satisfies condition N_c if (24) holds. In this case we have

$$\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq CN_n^{-4c}. \text{ Therefore we get}$$

Theorem 3. For each $c \in (0, 1/24)$, there exist $\{A_n\}$ satisfying the condition N_c and $\{B_n\}$ satisfying the condition W , such that

$$\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq CN_n^{-4c},$$

where F_n is the distribution of $(L_n - \lambda_n)/\sigma_n$ which is the standardized linear permutation statistics generated by $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$, while C is a constant independent of n .

Remark 1. If the bound M in (2) is dependent of r , we say that $\{A_n\}$ satisfies the condition W^* . In Theorem 1, choose N_n being $6n$ set

$$B_n = \begin{cases} b_{ni} = 1/\sqrt{3n} & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n, \\ b_{ni} = -1/2\sqrt{3n} & \text{if } i = 2n+1, \dots, 6n, \end{cases}$$

and define $\{A_n\}$ as before, then we can prove $\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq Cq_n^{3/2}$.

Hence we have

Theorem 3' If $c \in (0, 1/18)$ is a constant, then there exist $\{A_n\}$ satisfying the condition N_c and $\{B_n\}$ satisfying the condition W^* , such that $\|F_n - \Phi\| \geq CN_n^{-3c}$.

Remark 2. Since the estimate (29) in [3] is not true, we cannot know whether the assertion of Theorem 3 in [3] is true. If so, Theorem 3 and Theorem 3' would be valid also for $c \in (0, 1/8)$ and $c \in (0, 1/6)$, respectively.

References

- [1] Wald, A. & Wolfowitz, J., *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **15** (1944), 358—372.
- [2] Noether, G. E., *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **20** (1949), 455—558.
- [3] 陈希孺, 应用数学学报, **4:4** (1981), 342—355.