

ON THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{x_i} = \frac{a}{n}$

SHEN ZUN (单 尊)*

Abstract

In this paper, the author proves the following result:

Let $E_{a,k}(N)$ denote the number of natural numbers $n \leq N$ for which equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{x_i} = \frac{a}{n}$$

is insoluble in positive integers $x_i (i=0, 1, \dots, k)$. Then

$$E_{a,k}(N) \ll N \exp\{-C(\log N)^{1-\frac{1}{k+1}}\}$$

where the implied constant depends on a and k .

§ 1. Introduction

The main result in this paper is the following

Theorem 1. Let $E_{a,k}(N)$ denote the number of natural numbers $n \leq N$ for which equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{x_i} = \frac{a}{n} \tag{1}$$

is insoluble in positive integers $x_i (i=0, 1, \dots, k)$. Then

$$E_{a,k}(N) \ll N \exp\{-C(\log N)^{1-\frac{1}{k+1}}\}, \tag{2}$$

where the implied constant depends on a and k .

(2) is better than the result of Viola^[1], where the index $1-\frac{1}{k+1}$ is replaced by $1-\frac{1}{k}$. When $k=2$, (2) is just the same early result of Vaughan^[2]. So we may assume k is an integer >2 .

§ 2. Lemma

The ordered $k+1$ -tuple $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1})$ is called an admissible $k+1$ -factorization of v whenever $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} y_i = v$, y_i positive integer, and y_{k+1} square free.

Manuscript received February 29, 1984.

* Department of Mathematics, China University of Science and Technology, Hefei, Anhui, China.

Lemma 1. Let integers $v_1 \geq v \geq (k-1)^8$. Let $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1})$ and $(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_{k+1})$ be admissible $k+1$ -factorization of v . If $y_i \leq v^{\frac{3}{4^i}}, y'_i \geq v^{\frac{3}{4^i}}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$) $v^{\frac{1}{k \cdot 4^{k+2}}} \leq y_k, y' \leq v^{\frac{1}{k \cdot 4^{k+1}}}$ and there exists a natural number satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} y_k n &\equiv - (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{k-1}) \\ y'_k n &\equiv - (y'_1 + y'_2 + \dots + y'_{k-1}) \end{aligned} \pmod{v_1}, \quad (3)$$

then

$$y_i = y'_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1).$$

Proof From (3) we have

$$y_k (y'_1 + y'_2 + \dots + y'_{k-1}) \equiv y'_k (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{k-1}) \pmod{v_1}. \quad (4)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} y_k (y'_1 + y'_2 + \dots + y'_{k-1}) &\leq y_k \cdot y'_1 (k-1) \leq (k-1) \cdot \frac{v}{y_1 y_2} \cdot \frac{v}{y'_2} \\ &\leq (k-1) v^{\frac{1}{16}} v^{1 - \frac{3}{16}} = (k-1) v^{\frac{7}{8}} \leq v \leq v_1, \\ y'_k (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{k-1}) &\leq v_1, \end{aligned}$$

(4) becomes

$$y_k (y'_1 + y'_2 + \dots + y'_{k-1}) = y'_k (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{k-1}). \quad (5)$$

If $y_k y'_1 \neq y'_k y_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |y_k y'_1 - y'_k y_1| &= \left| y_k \cdot \frac{v}{y'_2 y'_3 \dots y'_{k+1}} - y'_k \cdot \frac{v}{y_2 y_3 \dots y_{k+1}} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{v}{y'_2 y'_3 \dots y'_{k+1} y_2 y_3 \dots y_{k+1}} = \frac{y_1 y'_1}{v} \geq v^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

But on the otherhand, from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |y_k y'_1 - y'_k y_1| &= |y'_k (y_2 + y_3 + \dots + y_{k-1}) - y_k (y'_2 + y'_3 + \dots + y'_{k-1})| \\ &< \max(y'_k (y_2 + y_3 + \dots + y_{k-1}), y_k (y'_2 + y'_3 + \dots + y'_{k-1})) \\ &\leq \max(y_2 y_3 \dots y_{k-1} y'_k, y'_2 y'_3 \dots y'_{k-1} y_k) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{v y'_k}{y_1}, \frac{v y_k}{y'_1}\right) \leq v^{1 - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}} = v^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

Now we assume that $y_k y'_1 = y'_k y_1, y_k y'_2 = y'_k y_2, \dots, y_k y'_{s-1} = y'_k y_{s-1}$ ($s < k-1$). Let $y_k^{1-s} \cdot u = \frac{v}{y_1 y_2 \dots y_{s-1}} = y_s y_{s+1} \dots y_{k+1}$. Since

$$y_s (y_1 y_2 \dots y_{s-1})^{\frac{3}{4}} \geq v^{\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{s-1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4s}} = v^{\frac{3}{4}},$$

we have

$$y_s \geq \left(\frac{v}{y_1 y_2 \dots y_{s-1}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = (u y_k^{1-s})^{3/4}.$$

If $y_k y'_s \neq y'_k y_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |y_k y'_s - y'_k y_s| &= \left| \frac{y_k u y_k^{1-s}}{y'_{s+1} y'_{s+2} \dots y'_{k+1}} - \frac{y'_k u y_k^{1-s}}{y_{s+1} y_{s+2} \dots y_{k+1}} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{u y_k^{1-s} y_k^{1-s}}{y'_{s+1} y'_{s+2} \dots y'_{k+1} y_{s+1} y_{s+2} \dots y_{k+1}} = \frac{y_s y'_s}{u} \end{aligned}$$

But on the otherhand, from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |y_k y'_s - y'_k y_s| &= |y'_k (y_{s+1} + y_{s+2} + \cdots + y_{k-1}) - y_k (y'_{s+1} + y'_{s+2} + \cdots + y'_{k-1})| \\ &< \max(y'_k (y_{s+1} + y_{s+2} + \cdots + y_{k-1}), y_k (y'_{s+1} + y'_{s+2} + \cdots + y'_{k-1})) \\ &\leq \max(y_{s+1} y_{s+2} \cdots y_{k-1} y'_k, y'_{s+1} y'_{s+2} \cdots y'_{k-1} y_k) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{u y'_k}{y_s} y_k^{1-s}, \frac{u y_k}{y'_s} y'_k{}^{1-s}\right) \leq \frac{y_s y'_s}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction again. Hence we have

$$y_k y'_1 = y'_k y_1, y_k y'_2 = y'_k y_2, \cdots, y_k y'_{k-1} = y'_k y_{k-1}.$$

i. e.

$$\frac{y_1}{y'_1} = \frac{y_2}{y'_2} = \cdots = \frac{y_k}{y'_k}. \quad (6)$$

From (6) and

$$y_1 y_2 \cdots y_k y_{k+1} = y'_1 y'_2 \cdots y'_k y'_{k+1} (=v)$$

we have

$$y_k y_{k+1} = y'_k y'_{k+1}.$$

Since y_{k+1} and y'_{k+1} are square free, it follows that

$$y_k = y'_k, y_{k+1} = y'_{k+1}. \quad (7)$$

Thus by (6) and (7)

$$y_1 = y'_1, y_2 = y'_2, \cdots, y_{k+1} = y'_{k+1}.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Lemma 2 (Brun-Titchmarsh). If $q \leq x^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $(q, l) = 1$, then

$$\pi(x; q, l) \ll \frac{x}{\varphi(q) \log x}.$$

Lemma 3 (Bombieri). For any $A > 0$, there is $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{q \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{-B}}} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(q, l)=1} \left| \pi(y; q, l) - \frac{l y}{\varphi(q)} \right| \ll x (\log x)^{-A}.$$

Lemma 4^[1]. Let $d_{k(n)} = \sum_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = n} 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{d_{k(n)}^l}{\varphi(n)} \ll (\log x)^{k^l}.$$

Lemma 5 (Montgomery). If $\omega(p)$ ($0 < \omega(p) < p$) residue classes (mod p) are removed from the first N natural numbers for each prime $p \leq \sqrt{N}$, then the number Z of natural numbers which remain satisfies

$$Z \leq \frac{4N}{\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \mu_{(m)}^2 \prod_{p|m} \frac{\omega(p)}{p - \omega(p)}}.$$

§ 3. Theorem 2 and its Proof

Let

$$f_k(v, \xi) = \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = v \\ x_i \leq \xi^{1/k} \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, k-1)}} |\mu_{(x_{k+1})}|$$

$$\xi^{\frac{1}{k-4k+9}} \leq x_k \leq \xi^{\frac{1}{k-4k+1}}$$

$$\omega_{a,k(p)} = \begin{cases} f_k\left(\frac{p+1}{a}, \frac{p+1}{a}\right), & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}, p > a(k-1)^2. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this section, we give the average order of the function $\omega_{a,k(p)}$ i. e. the following

Theorem 2.

$$(\log \xi)^k \ll \sum_{p \leq \xi} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} \ll (\log \xi)^k.$$

Proof By partial summations, it suffices to prove that

$$\xi (\log \xi)^{k-1} \ll \sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k(p)} \ll \xi (\log \xi)^{k-1}. \quad (8)$$

The upper bound. If $p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$, $p \geq a(k-1)^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{a,k(p)} &= \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_i \geq \left(\frac{p+1}{a}\right)^{1/(k+1)} \\ (i=1,2,\dots,k)}} |\mu(x_{k+1})| \leq \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_i \geq \left(\frac{p+1}{a}\right)^{1/4} \\ (i=1,2,\dots,k)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r \mid \frac{p+1}{a} \\ r \leq \left(\frac{p+1}{a}\right)^{1/4}}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_i \geq \left(\frac{p+1}{a}\right)^{1/4} \\ (i=1,2,\dots,k)}} 1 = \sum_{r \mid \frac{p+1}{a}} d_{k(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k(p)} \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \sum_{\substack{r \mid \frac{p+1}{a} \\ r \leq \left(\frac{p+1}{a}\right)^{1/4}}} d_{k(r)} \leq \sum_{r \leq (\xi+1)^{1/4}} d_{k(r)} \pi\left(\frac{\xi}{ar}, -1\right).$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 2 and 4

$$\sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k(p)} \ll \frac{\xi}{\log \xi} \sum_{r \leq (\xi+1)^{1/4}} \frac{d_{k(r)}}{\varphi(r)} \ll \xi (\log \xi)^{k-1}.$$

The lower bound.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k(p)} &\geq \sum_{\substack{\frac{\xi}{2} < p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} f_k\left(\frac{p+1}{a}, \xi\right) = \sum_{\substack{\frac{\xi}{2} < p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{1/4} \\ (i=1,2,\dots,k)}} |\mu(x_{k+1})| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\frac{\xi}{2} < p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 \mid \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_1 \geq \xi^{1/4}}} \sum_{\substack{x_2 x_3 \cdots x_{k+1} = \frac{p+1}{a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{1/4} \\ (i=2,3,\dots,k)}} |\mu(x_{k+1})| \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{\frac{\xi}{2} < p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \sum_{\substack{r \mid \frac{p+1}{a} \\ r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}}} \sum_{\substack{x_2 x_3 \cdots x_{k+1} = r \\ x_i \geq \xi^{1/4} \\ (i=2,3,\dots,k)}} |\mu(x_{k+1})| \\ &= \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k = r \\ x_i \geq \xi^{1/4} \\ (i=1,2,\dots,k-1)}} |\mu(x_k)| \sum_{\substack{\frac{\xi}{2} < p \leq \xi \\ p \equiv -1 \pmod{ar}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} f_{k-1}\left(r, \xi^{1/4}\right) \left\{ \pi\left(\frac{\xi}{ar}, -1\right) - \pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; ar, -1\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k(p)} \geq \left(l_k \xi - l_k \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \frac{f_{k-1}\left(r, \xi^{1/4}\right)}{\varphi(ar)} + R \quad (9)$$

where

$$R = \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} f_{k-1}(r, \xi^{1/4}) \left\{ \left(\pi(\xi; ar, -1) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) - \left(\pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; ar, -1\right) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) \right\}.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |R| &\leq \left(\sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} f_{k-1}^2(r, \xi^{1/4}) / \varphi(ar) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \varphi(ar) \left\{ \left(\pi(\xi; ar, -1) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left(\pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; ar, -1\right) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) \right\}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \frac{d_k^2(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \varphi(ar) \left\{ \left(\pi(\xi; ar, -1) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left(\pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; ar, -1\right) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(ar)} \right) \right\}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 4

$$\left(\sum_{r \leq \xi} \frac{d_k^2(r)}{\varphi(r)} \right)^{1/2} \ll (\log \xi)^{k/2} \quad (11)$$

and by Lemma 2 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$

$$\varphi(s) \cdot \left| \pi(\xi; s, -1) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(s)} - \left(\pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; s, -1\right) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(s)} \right) \right| \ll \frac{\xi}{\log \xi}. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 3 gives, for any $A > 0$,

$$\sum_{s \leq \xi^{1/4}} \left| \pi(\xi; s, -1) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(s)} - \left(\pi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}; s, -1\right) - \frac{l_i \xi}{\varphi(s)} \right) \right| \ll \xi (\log \xi)^{-A}. \quad (13)$$

From (10), (11), (12) and (13) we obtain

$$|R| \ll \xi (\log \xi)^{-A_1}. \quad (14)$$

For the main term in (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \frac{f_{k-1}(r, \xi^{1/4})}{\varphi(ar)} &= \sum_{r \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} = r \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4+i} \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, k-1)}} \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{\varphi(ar)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4+i} \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, k-1)}} \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{\varphi(ax_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1})} \geq \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4+i} \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, k-1)}} \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{\varphi(x_k) a x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4+i} \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, k-1)}} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \sum_{x_k \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}}} \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{\varphi(x_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{\varphi(x_k)} = |\mu(x_k)| \cdot \prod_{p|x_k} \frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{x_k} \prod_{p|x_k} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^h},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{r < \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \frac{f_{k-1}(r, \xi^{1/4})}{\varphi(ar)} &\geq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4k+1}}} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{x_k \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \\ x_k \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}}}} \frac{|\mu(x_k)|}{x_k} \\
 &\gg \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4k+1}}} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \log \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \\
 &\gg \log \xi \sum_{\substack{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} \leq \frac{\xi^{1/4-\epsilon}}{2a} \\ x_i \geq \xi^{3/4k+1}}} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \\
 &\quad \left(\text{where } \epsilon \text{ is a positive number } < \frac{1}{4^k} \right) \\
 &\gg \log \xi \sum_{\xi^{3/4k+1} \leq x_i \leq \xi^{3/4k+1+\epsilon_i}} \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}} \\
 &\quad \left(\text{where } \epsilon_i \text{ are positive satisfying } \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \epsilon_i < \frac{1}{4^k} - \epsilon \right) \\
 &\gg (\log \xi)^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $l_i \xi - l_i \frac{\xi}{2} \gg \frac{\xi}{\log \xi}$, we obtain

$$\left(l_i \xi - l_i \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \sum_{r < \frac{\xi^{1/4}}{2a}} \frac{f_{k-1}(r, \xi^{1/4})}{\varphi(ar)} \gg \xi (\log \xi)^{k-1}. \tag{16}$$

(9), (14) and (16) give

$$\sum_{p \leq \xi} \omega_{a,k}(r) \gg \xi (\log \xi)^{k-1},$$

which proves the theorem.

§ 4. The Proof of Theorem 1

Let $p \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$ and $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1})$ be admissible $k+1$ -factorization of $\frac{p+1}{a}$. If a natural number n satisfies

$$y_k n \equiv -(y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{k-1}) \pmod{p},$$

then

$$y_k n + (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{k-1}) = y_0 p = y_0 (a y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{k+1} - 1),$$

$$y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_{k-1} + y_k n = a y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{k+1},$$

$$\frac{a}{n} = \frac{y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_{k-1} + y_k n}{n y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x_0} + \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_k}$$

with

$$x_0 = n y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{k+1}, \quad x_1 = n y_0 y_2 \cdots y_{k+1}, \quad \dots, \quad x_{k-1} = n y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{k-2} y_k y_{k+1}, \quad x_k = y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{k-1} y_{k+1},$$

i. e. the equation

$$\frac{a}{n} = \frac{1}{x_0} + \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_k} \tag{1}$$

is soluble. Therefore by Lemma 1, there are at least $\omega_{a,k(p)}$ residue classes (mod p) such that, for any n belonging to one of them, equation (1) is soluble. It follows from Lemma 5 that

$$E_{a,k}(N) \leq \frac{4N}{\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \mu^2(m) \prod_{p|m} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p - \omega_{a,k(p)}}}. \quad (17)$$

Let $\omega_{a,k(m)}$ be, for any integer $m > 0$, the completely multiplication function generated by $\omega_{a,k(p)}$. Then

$$\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \mu^2(m) \prod_{p|m} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p - \omega_{a,k(p)}} \geq \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \mu^2(m) \prod_{p|m} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} = \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu^2(m) \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m}.$$

Hence, in view of (17), Theorem 1 is proved if we show that

$$\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu^2(m) \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m} \gg \exp \{O(\log N)^{1 - \frac{1}{k+1}}\}. \quad (18)$$

Since $\omega_{a,k(m)} \ll m^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the Dirichlet series

$$F_{a,k}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m) \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m^s}$$

converges for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. Also

$$\sum_p \left(\frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} \right)^2 < \infty,$$

hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$F_{a,k}(1+\varepsilon) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \right) = \exp \left\{ \sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} + O(1) \right\} = \exp \sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}}. \quad (19)$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that

$$\sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \geq \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \geq x^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} \gg x^{-\varepsilon} (\log x)^k;$$

taking $x = \exp \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ we obtain

$$\sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \gg \varepsilon^{-k}. \quad (20)$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^n \leq p < 2^{n+1}} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon n} \sum_{2^n \leq p < 2^{n+1}} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{-\varepsilon n} - 2^{-\varepsilon(n+1)}) \sum_{p < 2^{n+1}} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p} = (1 - 2^{-\varepsilon}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\varepsilon n} \sum_{p < 2^{n+1}} \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p}; \end{aligned}$$

hence by Theorem 2

$$\sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll (1 - 2^{-\varepsilon}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^k 2^{-\varepsilon n}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^k 2^{-\varepsilon n} \ll \varepsilon^{-k-1}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_p \frac{\omega_{a,k(p)}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll \varepsilon^{-k}. \quad (21)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m} &\geq \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} = F_{a,k}(1+\varepsilon) - \sum_{m > \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \\ &\geq F_{a,k}(1+\varepsilon) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{\varepsilon/2} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} = F_{a,k}(1+\varepsilon) - N^{-\varepsilon/4} F_{a,k} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

From (19), (20), (21) and (22) we deduce that for any sufficiently small ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m} &\geq C_1 \exp(C_2 \varepsilon^{-k}) - C_3 N^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \exp(C_4 \varepsilon^{-k}) \\ &= C_1 \exp(C_2 \varepsilon^{-k}) - C_3 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \log N + C_4 \varepsilon^{-k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\varepsilon = (4C_4)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} (\log N)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}$ we obtain.

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \log N - C_4 \varepsilon^{-k} = 0.$$

Hence the above choice for ε gives, if N is large,

$$\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{\mu_{(m)}^2 \omega_{a,k(m)}}{m} \geq C_1 \exp\{C_2 (\log N)^{1-\frac{1}{k+1}}\} - C_3 \gg \exp\{C_2 (\log N)^{1-\frac{1}{k+1}}\},$$

and (18) is proved.

References

- [1] Viola. C., On the diophantine equations $\sum_{i=0}^k x_i - \sum_0^k x_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{x_i} = \frac{a}{n}$, *Acta Arith.*, **22**(1973), 339—352.
- [2] Vaughan. R. C., On a problem of Erdos, Straus and Schinzel, *Mathematika*, **17**(1970), 193—198.