

OSCILLATORY CRITERIA FOR n -ORDER NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS WITH CONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTED DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

RUAN JIONG (阮 炯)*

Abstract

This paper deals with more general n -order nonlinear functional differential inequalities with continuous distributed deviating arguments and equations of this type.

The author obtains some oscillatory criteria and generalizes and modifies some results given by Lu-San Chen and Chen-Chih Yeh.

§ 1. Introduction

In the last few years several results about the oscillatory criteria for n -order nonlinear functional differential inequalities with finite deviating arguments have been obtained, e. g. see [1, 2]. The purpose of this paper is to obtain some oscillatory criteria for more general n -order nonlinear functional differential inequalities with continuous distributed deviating arguments and to give some results for equations of this type. We generalize and modify some results in [2, 3].

In this paper we consider the following inequalities

$$u(t) \left[L_n u(t) + \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], u[G_2(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) - h(t) \right] \leq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$u(t) \left[L_n u(t) - \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], u[G_2(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) - p(t) \right] \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $n \geq 2$ and L_n is an operator defined by

$$L_0 u(t) = u(t), \quad L_i u(t) = \frac{1}{r_i(t)} (L_{i-1} u(t))', \quad (1.3)$$

$$r_i(t) > 0 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n), \quad r_n(t) = 1.$$

Manuscript received January 14, 1984. Revised April 21, 1984.

* Department of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

Also we study equations

$$L_n u(t) + \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], u[G_2(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) = h(t), \quad (1.1)'$$

$$L_n u(t) - \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], u[G_2(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) = h(t). \quad (1.2)'$$

A function $u(t)$ which satisfies some inequality as (1.1), (1.2) or some equation as (1.1)', (1.2)' is said to be oscillatory if it has an unbounded set of zeros but $u(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq T$, where T is an appropriate constant. Otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

Throughout this paper, we suppose:

(R₁) $F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in C[R_+ \times [a, b] \times R^m, R]$, $F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$, where t_1 is an appropriate constant. If $x_i > 0$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), then $F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is positive and non-decreasing with respect to x_1, \dots, x_m for all $t \geq 0$, $\xi \in [a, b]$. If n is even, then

$$F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, x_m) \leq -F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, -x_m), \quad \text{for all } x_i > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$t \geq 0, \xi \in [a, b], (i=1, 2, \dots, m).$$

If n is odd, then

$$F(t, \xi, x_1, \dots, x_m) \geq -F(t, \xi, -x_1, \dots, -x_m), \quad \text{for all } x_i > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$t \geq 0 (i=1, 2, \dots, m).$$

$$(R_2) \quad r_i(t) \in C[R_+, R_+ - \{0\}], \quad \int_0^\infty r_i(t) dt = \infty (i=1, 2, \dots, n-1). \quad (1.6)$$

$$(R_3) \quad G_i(t, \xi) \in C[R_+ \times [a, b], R], \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(t, \xi) = \infty (\xi \in [a, b]).$$

(R₄) The integrals in inequalities are Stieltjes integrals.

(R₅) $h(t) \in C[R_+, R]$ and there exists an oscillatory solution $p(t)$ such that

$$L_n p(t) = h(t), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ and $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

For convenience, we define $W_i(t) \in C[R_+, R_+]$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$, as follows:

$$W_1(t) = \int_0^t r_1(s) ds, \quad (1.8)$$

$$W_i(t) = \int_0^t r_i(s) W_{i-1}(s) ds, \quad i=2, 3, \dots, n-1.$$

In section 2 of this paper we give some results for inequalities of even order. In section 3 we give some results for inequalities of odd order. In section 4 we give some results for equations of this type. In section 5 we give some examples.

§ 2. Oscillatory Criteria for Inequalities (1.1), (1.2) of Even Order

In order to obtain our main results we need the following lemma, which is a simple generalization of results given by Philos^[4]. The proof of this Lemma is omitted here.

Lemma. *Let u be a positive function defined on an interval $[T, \infty)$, $T \geq 0$. If $L_n u$ is of constant sign on $[T, \infty)$, then there exists a $T_0 \geq T$ and an integer K , $0 \leq K \leq n$, with $n+K$ odd for $L_n u \leq 0$ or $n+K$ even for $L_n u \geq 0$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq k \leq n-1, L_i u(t) > 0, \quad i=0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\ (-1)^{k+i} L_i u(t) > 0, \quad i=k, k+1, \dots, n-1; \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k=0, (-1)^i L_i u(t) > 0, \quad i=0, 1, \dots, n-1; \\ k=n, L_i u(t) > 0, \quad i=0, 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 1. *If n is even and*

$$\int_a^\infty W_{n-1}(t) \left[\int_a^b F(t, \xi, c, \dots, c) d\sigma(\xi) \right] dt = \pm \infty \tag{2.3}$$

for any nonzero constant c , then every bounded solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. As in [2], we can see that if $u(t)$ is a bounded positive nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then

$$L_n x(t) + \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], u[G_2(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0,$$

where $x(t) = u(t) - p(t)$ and $p(t)$ satisfies the condition (R_5) . Obviously, we have $L_n x(t) < 0$ and $x(t) > 0$ for t large enough. By Lemma 1, we have $k=1, 3, \dots, n-1$, but for $k \geq 3$, we can obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = +\infty$, this contradicts the fact that $x(t)$ is bounded.

So we only have $k=1$, and $(-1)^{i+1} L_i x(t) > 0$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Specialy, when $i=1$, we have $x'(t) > 0$ for t large enough.

Therefore

$$A(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} W_i(t) L_i x(t) \geq 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= K + A(t) - \int_T^t W_{n-1}(s) L_n x(s) ds \\ &\geq K + \int_T^t W_{n-1}(s) \left[\int_a^b F(s, \xi, u[G_1(s, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(s, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $K = x(T) - A(T)$. We have $u(t) = x(t) + p(t) \geq x(T) - |p(t)|$ for $t \geq T$. Because $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0$, there exists $T^* \geq T$ such that $|p(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} x(T)$ for $t \geq T^*$. So we have

$$u(t) \geq x(T) - \frac{1}{2} x(T) = \frac{1}{2} x(T) = C, \quad (t \geq T^*).$$

Because $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(t, \xi) = +\infty$, for $\xi \in [a, b], i=1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists $T^{**} > T^*$, when $t \geq T^{**}$, $G_i(t, \xi) \geq T^*$ for $\xi \in [a, b]$. Hence for $t \geq T^{**}$,

$$x(t) \geq K + \int_{T^*}^t W_{n-1}(s) \left[\int_a^b F(s, \xi, c, \dots, c) d\sigma(\xi) \right] ds \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $x(t)$ is bounded. For $u(t)$ is a bounded negative nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), we only need notice that (1.4) and (1.5) hold, we can similarly obtain a contradiction. Thus our proof is complete.

Remark. In [2], it is supposed that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} L_i p(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } i=0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

But from this one can not obtain $u'(t) = x'(t) + p'(t) \geq 0$, because there exists $p(t)$ and $x(t)$ such that $p'(x_n) < 0$ and $|p'(t_n)| > x'(t_n)$ i. e. $u'(t_n) = x'(t_n) - |p'(t_n)| < 0$ for some $\{t_n, n=1, 2, \dots\}$. In fact there exists $x(t)$ such that $\lim_{t_n \rightarrow +\infty} x'(t_n) = 0$ for some $\{t_n, n=1, 2, \dots\}$. So there is something wrong in the proof of Theorem 1 in [2]. In fact as in this paper we only need

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} L_0 p(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0.$$

In this paper we give a modified and simple condition to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *If n is even and the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.2) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. If there exists $u(t)$ which is a bounded positive nonoscillatory solution of (1.2) and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \neq 0$, then as in [2], we can see that $L_n x(t) > 0$, where $x(t) = u(t) - p(t)$ is positive eventually. So we have $(-1)^i L_i x(t) > 0$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$) and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} W_i(t) L_i x(t) \leq 0$ for t large enough. Hence

$$x(t) \leq K - \int_T^t W_{n-1}(s) \int_a^b F(s, \xi, u[G_1(s, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(s, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) ds,$$

where $K = x(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} W_i(T) L_i x(T)$. Notice $x'(t) < 0$, so the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = C^* = x(\infty)$ exists, and $C^* \geq 0$. Also $C^* > 0$, because if $C^* = 0$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) + \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)$, i. e. this leads to a contradiction to $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \neq 0$. So for $t \geq T$ we have

$$u(t) = x(t) + p(t) \geq x(\infty) + p(t) \geq C^* - |p(t)|.$$

From $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0$ we can see that there exists T such that, for $t \geq T^*$, $|p(t)| < C^*/2$.

Hence $u(t) > C^*/2 = C$. So we have

$$x(t) \leq K - \int_T^t W_{n-1}(s) \left[\int_a^b F(s, \xi, c, \dots, c) d\sigma(\xi) \right] ds \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which contradicts the boundedness of $x(t)$.

Likewise, we can prove that there cannot exist a bounded negative solution $u(t)$

of (1.2), $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(t) \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Remark. As the remark of Theorem 1, we easily see that from the condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} L_i p(t) = 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$), $u'(t) < 0$ can not be obtained. So there is something wrong in the proof of Theorem 3 in [2]. In this paper we only need $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0$ to obtain $u(t) > C \neq 0$ ($t \geq T^*$), i. e. we give a modified and simple condition to complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Also we see that $u(t) - p(t)$ is a decreasing function

§ 3. Oscillatory Criteria for inequalities

(1.1), (1.2) of Odd Order

For inequalities (1.1), (1.2) of odd order, we have following results:

Theorem 3. *Suppose n is odd. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to that of Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Suppose n is odd. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to that of Theorem 2.

§ 4. Oscillatory Criteria for Equations (1.1)', (1.2)'

Theorem 5. *Suppose n is even. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.1)' is oscillatory.*

Proof Notice that

$$L_n u(t) + \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) - h(t) \leq 0$$

has no eventually bounded positive solution and

$$L_n u(t) + \int_a^b F(t, \xi, u[G_1(t, \xi)], \dots, u[G_m(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) - h(t) \geq 0$$

has no eventually bounded negative solution. So we can see that every bounded solution of (1.1)' is oscillatory. The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

Similarly, we can prove following Theorems.

Theorem 6. *Suppose n is odd. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.1)' either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Theorem 7. *Suppose n is even. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.2)' either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Theorem 8. *Suppose n is odd. If the condition (2.3) holds, then every bounded solution of (1.2)' is oscillatory.*

§ 5. Some Examples

Example 1.

$$u^{(3)}(t) + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} [u^3(t+\xi) + u^3(t-\xi)] d\xi = 2(\sin t + \cos t) e^{-t}. \quad (5.1)$$

Obviously, $F(t, \xi, u[G(t, \xi)])$ satisfies hypothesis (R_1) and $p(t) = \sin te^{-t}$ satisfies $p^{(3)}(t) = 2(\sin t + \cos t) e^{-t}$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0$. Notice, $W_2(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}$,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^2}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} (c^3 + c^3) d\xi dt = \infty.$$

So the condition (2.3) is satisfied. By Theorem 6, we can see that every bounded solution of (5.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Example 2.

$$u^{(3)}(t) - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} [u^3(t+\xi) + u^3(t-\xi)] d\xi = 2(\sin t + \cos t) e^{-t} \quad (5.2)$$

By Theorem 8, we can prove that every bounded solution of (5.2) is oscillatory.

Example 3.

$$u^{(4)}(t) + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} [u^3(t+\xi) + u^3(t-\xi)] d\xi = -4 \sin te^{-t} \quad (5.3)$$

Notice $p(t) = \sin te^{-t}$ satisfies $p^{(4)}(t) = -4 \sin te^{-t}$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = 0$, $p(t)$ is oscillatory, $W_3(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^3}{6}$, $\int_0^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} (c^3 + c^3) d\xi dt = \infty$, i. e. the condition (2.3) is satisfied. So by Theorem 5, we can see that every bounded solution of (5.3) is oscillatory.

Example 4.

$$u^{(4)}(t) - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\xi^2}{t} [u^3(t+\xi) + u^3(t-\xi)] d\xi = -4 \sin te^{-t} \quad (5.4)$$

By Theorem 7, we can prove that every bounded solution of (5.4) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

References

- [1] Kusano, T., and Onose, H., Remarks on the oscillatory behavior of solution of functional differential equation with deviating arguments, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **6**(1976), 183—189.
- [2] Chen Lusan and Yeh Chehchih Oscillation criteria for arbitrary order nonlinear inequalities with deviating arguments. *Bollettino Unione Matematica Italiana Analisi Funzionale e Applicazioni Serie VI, 1-C: 1* (1982), 279—284.
- [3] Chen Lusan, Yeh Chehchin and Lin Jersan, On nonlinear oscillations for n -th order delay inequalities, *Indian J. pure and applied Mathematics*, **9** (1978), 270—274.
- [4] Philos, C. H., Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of all solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments, *Proc. Royal Soc., Edinburgh. Sect. A*, **81** (1978), 195—210.