

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE l_2 -VALUED LONG JAMES BANACH SPACE $J(\eta, l_2)$

ZHAO JUNFENG (赵俊峰)*

Abstract

The main result of this paper is to show that the bidual $J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ of the long James type l_2 -valued Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)$ can be identified with transfinite matrices of scalars $[(b_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)}]_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]}$ with some conditions and the norm of the element x^{**} in $J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ equals $\sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta]} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma]} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i} \right\|_{J(\eta, l_2)^{**}}$.

Suppose η is an ordinal number. Let the set of ordinal numbers $[0, \eta]$ have the order topology. Let ω be the first infinite ordinal number. Suppose the unit vector e_i ($i \in [0, \omega)$) in the Banach space l_2 is the vector that has 1 in its $(i+1)$ th slot and zeros elsewhere.

The vector valued long James type Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)$ defined in [6] consists of the vector valued functions F defined on $[0, \eta]$ and valued in l_2 which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $F(0) = 0$;

(ii) $\|F\| = \sup \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|F(\alpha_i) - F(\alpha_{i-1})\|_{l_2}^2 \right)^{1/2}$,

where the sup is taken over all finite sequences $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$ in $[0, \eta]$;

(iii) F is continuous in the norm of the space l_2 .

In this paper we will investigate some properties of the dual $J(\eta, l_2)^*$ and prove that the elements of the bidual $J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ can be identified with transfinite matrices with some conditions.

In [6] we prove that $[(\phi_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)}]_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]}$ is a transfinite basis of $J(\eta, l_2)$, where

$$\phi_{\alpha,i}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} e_i, & \text{if } \gamma \in (\alpha, \eta], \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \notin (\alpha, \eta]. \end{cases}$$

And for any $F \in J(\eta, l_2)$ we have

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} C_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i},$$

where $C_{\alpha,i} = F_{\alpha+1,i} - F_{\alpha,i}$.

Manuscript received April 16, 1984.

* Department of mathematics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China.

Let us now consider the dual $J(\eta, l_2)^*$ of the Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)$. Suppose

$$g_{\alpha,i}(F) = F_{\alpha,i}$$

for any $F \in J(\eta, l_2)$, $\alpha \in [0, \eta]$, $i \in [0, \omega)$. Since $|F_{\alpha,i}| \leq \|F\|$, we have $\|g_{\alpha,i}\| \leq 1$. Therefore $g_{\alpha,i}$ is a linear bounded functional on $J(\eta, l_2)$, i. e.

$$((g_{\alpha,i}) \ i \in [0, \omega)) \ \alpha \in [0, \eta] \in J(\eta, l_2)^*.$$

Proposition 1. $((\phi_{\alpha,i}, g_{\alpha,i}), i \in [0, \omega)), \alpha \in [0, \eta]$ is not a biorthogonal system.

The proof is easy, since

$$g_{\alpha,i}(\phi_{\beta,j}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i=j \text{ and } \alpha \in (\beta, \eta], \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ or } \alpha \notin (\beta, \eta]. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proposition 2.

$$[\phi_{\alpha,n+1}, \phi_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots] \subseteq [g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]^\perp$$

for any $\alpha \in [0, \eta]$ and for any $\eta \in [0, \omega)$, where the notation $[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ indicates the closure of linear span of the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. $[g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]^\perp = \{\phi \in J(\eta, l_2) : \langle \phi, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } g \in [g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]\}$.

Proof. Since $g_{\alpha,i}(\phi_{\beta,j}) = 0$ for any $i, j \in [0, \omega)$ when $\beta \geq \alpha$, in particular, we have $g_{\alpha,i}(\phi_{\alpha,i}) = 0$ for any i and j in $[0, \omega)$. So

$$[\phi_{\alpha,n+1}, \phi_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots] \subseteq [g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]^\perp.$$

Furthermore, $\phi_{\alpha,n} \notin [\phi_{\alpha,n+1}, \phi_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]$. It follows from $g_{\alpha,i}(\phi_{\alpha,n}) = 0$ that

$$\phi_{\alpha,n} \in [g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]^\perp.$$

Therefore

$$[\phi_{\alpha,n+1}, \phi_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots] \subseteq [g_{\alpha,n+1}, g_{\alpha,n+2}, \dots]^\perp.$$

Proposition 3. For any $l \in J(\eta, l_2)^*$, $l = \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} (U_{\alpha,i} - U_{\alpha+1,i}) g_{\alpha,i}$ holds in the topology $[J(\eta, l_2)^*, J(\eta, l_2)]$ in the Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)^*$ where

$$U_{\alpha,i} = \begin{cases} l(\phi_{\alpha-1,i}), & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a non-limit ordinal,} \\ \lim_{\beta < \alpha} l(\phi_{\beta,i}), & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal,} \end{cases}$$

$\alpha \in [0, \eta]$, $i \in [0, \omega)$.

Proof. Let $l \in J(\eta, l_2)^*$. We prove that $\lim_{\beta < \alpha} l(\phi_{\beta,i})$ exists for any limit ordinal $\alpha \in [0, \eta]$ and any $i \in [0, \omega)$. Suppose it does not exist. Then there are real numbers $a < b$ and ordinals $\beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \alpha$ with $l(\phi_{\beta_0,i}) < a$, $l(\phi_{\beta_{2j-1},i}) > b$. For any integer n

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\phi_{\beta_{2j-1},i} - \phi_{\beta_{2j},i}) \right\| = (2n \|e_i - 0\|_{l_2}^2)^{1/2} = (2n)^{1/2}.$$

$l(\phi_{\beta_{2j-1},i} - \phi_{\beta_{2j},i}) > b - a$. Therefore

$$n(b-a) \leq l\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{\beta_{2j-1},i} - \phi_{\beta_{2j},i}\right) \leq \|l\| (2n)^{1/2}.$$

So $\|l\| = \infty$, a contradiction.

According to the definition of $U_{\alpha,i}$, we have

$$\lim_{\beta < r} U_{\alpha, i} = \begin{cases} l(\phi_{r-1, i}), & \text{if } r \text{ is non-limit ordinal,} \\ \lim_{\beta < r} \lim_{\alpha < \beta} l(\phi_{\alpha, i}), & \text{if } r \text{ is limit ordinal,} \end{cases}$$

$$= U_{r, i}.$$

In particular, $U_{\eta+1, j} = l(\phi_{\eta, j}) = 0$.

We now prove that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} (U_{\alpha, i} - U_{\alpha+1, i}) g_{\alpha, i}$$

is w^* -convergent to l in the Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)^*$. It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} (U_{\alpha, i} - U_{\alpha+1, i}) g_{\alpha, i}, \phi_{\beta, j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} (U_{\alpha, i} - U_{\alpha+1, i}) g_{\alpha, i}(\phi_{\beta, j}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in [\beta, \eta)} (U_{\alpha, j} - U_{\alpha+1, j}) = U_{\beta+1, j}^* - U_{\eta+1, j} \\ &= U_{\beta+1, j} = l(\phi_{\beta, j}) = \langle l, \phi_{\beta, j} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $\beta \in [0, \eta)$, any $j \in [0, \omega)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, r)} \sum_{i \in [0, N)} (U_{\alpha, i} - U_{\alpha+1, i}) g_{\alpha, i} \xrightarrow{w^*} l$$

as $N \rightarrow \omega$ and $r \rightarrow \eta$, and

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} (U_{\alpha, i} - U_{\alpha+1, i}) g_{\alpha, i} = l$$

holds in the topology $[J(\eta, l_2)^*, J(\eta, l_2)]$ in the Banach space $J(\eta, l_2)^*$.

Proposition 4. Suppose $\beta \in [0, \eta]$ is a limit ordinal number in the topological space $[0, \eta]$, $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of ordinal numbers in $[0, \eta]$ and $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n < \dots \uparrow \beta$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$V_1 = \{l \in J(\eta, l_2)^* \mid \|l\|_{r_n} = \|l|_{[(\phi_{\alpha, i}) i \in [0, \omega)] \alpha \in [r_n, \beta)}\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\},$$

$$V_2 = \{l \in J(\eta, l_2)^* \mid \langle l, f_m \rangle \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all sequences } k_1 < k_2 < \dots$$

and all

$$f_m \in ((\phi_{\alpha, i}) i \in [0, \omega)) \alpha \in [r_{k_m}, r_{k_{m+1}})).$$

Then $V_1 = V_2$.

Proof We show that $V_2 \subseteq V_1$ first.

Suppose $V_2 \not\subseteq V_1$. Then for any $l \in J(\eta, l_2)^*$ and $l \notin V_1$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|l\|_{r_1} \geq \|l\|_{r_2} \geq \dots \geq \|l\|_{r_n} \geq \dots > \varepsilon.$$

Since $\|l\|_{r_1} > \varepsilon$ we can choose

$$g_1 \in ((\phi_{\alpha, i}) i \in [0, \omega)) \alpha \in [r_1, \beta) \text{ and } \|g_1\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

such that $\langle l, g_1 \rangle > \varepsilon$. There is $\phi_1 \in \text{span}\{[(\phi_{\alpha, i}) i \in [0, \omega)) \alpha \in [r_1, \beta)\}$ such that $\|g_1 - \phi_1\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\langle l, \phi_1 \rangle > \varepsilon$. Let the biggest index of the finite combination of ϕ_1 in $[r_1, \beta)$ be less than r_{k_2} . So

$$\phi_1 \in ((\phi_{\alpha, i}) i \in [0, \omega)) \alpha \in [r_1, r_{k_2}),$$

say

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in [r_1, r_{k_2})} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} t_{\alpha, i}^{(1)} \phi_{\alpha, i}.$$

Since $\|l\|_{r_{k_2}} > \varepsilon$, choose

$$g_2 \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_2}, \beta)}] \text{ and } \|g_2\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

such that $\langle l, g_2 \rangle > \varepsilon$ and there is

$$\phi_2 \in \text{span}\{((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_2}, \beta)}\},$$

$\|g_2 - \phi_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $\langle l, \phi_2 \rangle > \varepsilon$. Let the biggest index of the finite combination of ϕ_2 in $[r_{k_2}, \beta)$ be less than r_{k_3} . So

$$\phi_2 \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_2}, r_{k_3})}],$$

say

$$\phi_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in [r_{k_2}, r_{k_3})} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} t_{\alpha, i}^{(2)} \phi_{\alpha, i}.$$

Continuing by induction, choose

$$g_m \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, \beta)}] \text{ and } \|g_m\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

such that $\langle l, g_m \rangle > \varepsilon$, and there is

$$\phi_m \in \text{span}\{((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, \beta)}\},$$

$\|g_m - \phi_m\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\langle l, \phi_m \rangle > \varepsilon$. Let the biggest index of the finite combination of ϕ_m in $[r_{k_m}, \beta)$ be less than $r_{k_{m+1}}$. So

$$\phi_m \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, r_{k_{m+1}})}],$$

say

$$\phi_m = \sum_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, r_{k_{m+1}})} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} t_{\alpha, i}^{(m)} \phi_{\alpha, i}, \dots$$

Now we get

$$\phi_m \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, r_{k_{m+1}})}],$$

but $\langle l, \phi_m \rangle > \varepsilon$. So $l \notin V_2$.

Next show that $V_1 \subseteq V_2$.

Suppose $l \in V_1$. Let

$$f_m \in [((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [r_{k_m}, r_{k_{m+1}})}]$$

and

$$\|f_m\| \leq 1, \|l\|_{r_{k_m}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

We have

$$\langle l, f_m \rangle \leq \|l\|_{r_{k_m}} \|f_m\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

So $l \in V_2$.

Suppose $((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)}$ are the coefficient functionals associated with the transfinite basis $((\phi_{\alpha, i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)}$ of $J(\eta, l_2)$ as we mentioned before stating Proposition 1.

Now we have

Theorem 5. The bidual $J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ can be identified with the Banach Space of all transfinite matrices of scalars $((b_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]}$ such that for any $\gamma \in [0, \eta]$ the series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i}$$

is w^* convergent and

$$\sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta]} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i} \right\|_{J(\eta, l_2)^{**}} < +\infty.$$

This correspondence is given by

$$x^{**} \leftrightarrow ((x^{**}(C_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]},$$

for $x^{**} \in J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$. The norm of x^{**} is equal to

$$\sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta]} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(C_{\alpha,i}) \phi_{\alpha,i} \right\|_{J(\eta, l_2)^{***}}.$$

Furthermore, for any $i \in [0, \omega)$, $\alpha \in [0, \eta]$ we have

$$|x^{**}(C_{\alpha,i})| \leq \|x^{**}\|,$$

where $\phi_{\alpha,i}$, $C_{\alpha,i}$ are defined as before.

Proof Suppose $[(b_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)}]_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]}$ is a transfinite matrix such that for any $\gamma \in [0, \eta]$ the series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i}$$

is w^* convergent and

$$\sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta]} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i} \right\|_{J(\eta, l_2)^{**}} < +\infty.$$

Let $x^{**} \in J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ and let

$$\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i} \xrightarrow{w^*} x^{**}.$$

So for any $j \in [0, \omega)$ and any $\beta \in [0, \eta]$ we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i}, C_{\beta,j} \right\rangle \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \langle x^{**}, C_{\beta,j} \rangle.$$

By the biorthogonality

$$\left\langle \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} b_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i}, C_{\beta,j} \right\rangle \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} b_{\beta,j}.$$

So $\langle x^{**}, C_{\beta,j} \rangle = b_{\beta,j}$ for any $j \in [0, \omega)$ and any $\beta \in [0, \eta]$.

Conversely, suppose $x^{**} \in J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$ and P_γ is the projection from $J(\eta, l_2)$ into $J(\eta, l_2)$ defined by

$$P_\gamma \phi = \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} C_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i},$$

where $\phi = \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \eta)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} C_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha,i}$.

$P_\gamma \phi \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \phi$ in the space $J(\eta, l_2)$, since $((\phi_{\alpha,i})_{i \in [0, \omega)})_{\alpha \in [0, \eta]}$ is a transfinite basis of $J(\eta, l_2)$ (see [6]). For the adjoint operator P_γ^* we have

$$P_\gamma^* l = \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} l(\phi_{\alpha,i}) C_{\alpha,i} \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} l.$$

in the space $J(\eta, l_2)^*$, $l \in J(\eta, l_2)^*$. So

$$\langle P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}, l \rangle = \langle x^{**}, P_\gamma^* l \rangle \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \langle x^{**}, l \rangle.$$

Suppose now x^{**} is given in the space $J(\eta, l_2)^{**}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $l \in J(\eta, l_2)^*$, $\|l\|=1$ such that

$$|\langle x^{**}, l \rangle| \geq \|x^{**}\| - \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\| \geq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \langle P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}, l \rangle \geq \|x^{**}\| - \varepsilon.$$

So

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\| \geq \|x^{**}\| - \varepsilon.$$

Now we show that $\|P_\gamma\|=1$ for any $\gamma \in [0, \eta]$.

For any $\phi \in J(\eta, l_2)$ we have

$$\|P_\gamma \phi\| \leq \|P_\gamma\| \|\phi\|, \text{ so } \|P_\gamma\| \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, for any $\phi \in J(\eta, l_2)$, $\|P_\gamma \phi\| \leq \|\phi\|$. In fact, if γ is a partition point of a partition;

$$\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \gamma < \dots < \alpha_n$$

in $[0, \eta]$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|P_\gamma \phi(\alpha_i) - P_\gamma \phi(\alpha_{i-1})\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha_{i-1})\|^2.$$

If γ is not a partition point of a partition, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|P_\gamma \phi(\alpha_i) - P_\gamma \phi(\alpha_{i-1})\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha_{i-1})\|^2$$

(by the definitions of P_γ and $\phi_{\alpha, i}$). So $\|P_\gamma\|=1$, $\gamma \in [0, \eta]$. Hence $\|P_\gamma^{**}\|=1$, $\gamma \in [0, \eta]$. Therefore

$$\|x^{**}\| - \varepsilon \leq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\| \leq \|P_\gamma^{**}\| \|x^{**}\| = \|x^{**}\|.$$

So $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\| = \|x^{**}\|$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle \phi_{\alpha, i}) \right\| &= \|P_\gamma^{**} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma+1)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle \phi_{\alpha, i}) \right)\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma+1)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle \phi_{\alpha, i}) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\{\|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\|\}$ is increasing. Therefore

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \eta} \|P_\gamma^{**} x^{**}\| = \sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta)} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle \phi_{\alpha, i}) \right\|.$$

So $\|x^{**}\| = \sup_{\gamma \in [0, \eta]} \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in [0, \gamma)} \sum_{i \in [0, \omega)} x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle \phi_{\alpha, i}) \right\|$.

Finally we show that

$$|x^{**}(\langle \phi_{\alpha, i} \rangle)| \leq \|x^{**}\|, \quad i \in [0, \omega), \alpha \in [0, \eta).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\alpha, i}\| &= \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} |\phi_{\alpha, i}(f)| = \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|f_{\alpha+1, i} - f_{\alpha, i}\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|f\| \leq 1 \quad (\text{since } \|f_{\alpha+1, i} - f_{\alpha, i}\| \leq \|f\|_{J(\eta, l_2)}, f \in J(\eta, l_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and $|\phi_{a,i}(\phi_{a,i})| = 1$, $\|\phi_{a,i}\| = 1$, we have

$$(x^{**}(\phi_{a,i})) \leq \|x^{**}\| \|\phi_{a,i}\| = \|x^{**}\|.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 6. Theorem 5 remains true for the space $J(\eta, l_p)$ ($1 < p < \infty$).

The author cordially thanks professor G. A. Edgar for his valuable advice while the author was visiting the Ohio State University.

References

- [1] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J. T., Linear operators, Part I. Interscience, 1958.
- [2] Edgar, G. A., A long James space. In Measure Theory, Oberwolfach, 1979, edited by v. Kolzow, Lecture notes in Mathematics 794, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [3] Edgar, G. A. and Zhao Junfeng (赵俊峰), The ordering structure on Banach spaces, *Pacific J. of Math.*, **116**, 2(1985), 255—263.
- [4] Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L., Classical Banach spaces I, *Ergebniss der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* 92, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [5] Singer, I., Bases in Banach spaces I, 1970.
- [6] Zhao, Junfeng (赵俊峰), The vector valued long James type Banach spaces $J(\eta, l_p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), *J. Sps. Sci. & Math. Scis.*, **5**: 1 (1985), 52—62.
- [7] Zhao Junfeng (赵俊峰), The transfinite basis of the bidual space of the long James space, *Acta Mathematica scientia*, **5**: 3(1985), 295—301.
- [8] Zhao Junfeng (赵俊峰), The ordering structure on Banach Spaces II, *Journal of Wuhan University (Natural Science Edition)*, **3**(1985), 11—18.