

A REMARK ON KOLMOGOROV'S COMPARISON THEOREM

SUN YONGSHENG (孙永生)*

Abstract

In approximation theory the theorem of Kolmogorov concerning the comparison of derivatives of differentiable functions defined on the real line is well-known. It plays an important rôle in establishing sharp inequalities between the norms of derivatives of a function. In this note we establish a comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type on a class of functions which are defined on the real line and can be continued analytically in a stripped region containing the real line. As a consequence we have derived an inequality of Landau-Kolmogorov type on this function class, and moreover, we have applied it to get the exact estimation for the Kolmogorov's N -widths of the analytic function class.

§ 1. Preliminaries

In approximation theory, Kolmogorov's theorem concerning the comparison of derivatives of differentiable functions is well known^[1]. It serves as a basic tool for establishing some sharp inequalities between norms of derivatives. In this remark we will give some comparison theorems of Kolmogorov type for a class of analytic functions and will apply them to the computation of Kolmogorov's width numbers. As in our previous paper^[2], we consider the set $H_\delta(L_p)$ which is defined as follows. For $1 < p \leq +\infty$, $f(x) \in H_\delta(L_p) \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t)h(t)dt, \quad (1)$$

$\|h\|_p = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |h(t)|^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$), $\|h\|_\infty = \text{ess sup } |h(t)|$. For $p = 1$, $f(x) \in H_\delta(L_1) \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t)d\lambda(t), \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda(t) \in V[0, 2\pi]$, $\int_0^{2\pi} |d\lambda| \leq 1$. The kernel is

$$H(x) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kx}{\text{ch } k\delta}, \quad \delta > 0. \quad (3)$$

Manuscript received July 15, 1984.

* Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.

Denote $E_n(f)_p = \min_{t_n \in T_n} \|f - t_n\|_p$, $T_n = \text{span} \left\{ 1, \frac{\sin t}{\cos t}, \dots, \frac{\sin(n-1)t}{\cos(n-1)t} \right\}$, H. H. AXNES^[3] proved

$$E_n(H_\delta(L_\infty))_\infty = \sup_{f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)} E_n(f)_\infty = (2\pi)^{-1} E_n(H)_1 = \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty, \quad (4)$$

where

$$f_{n\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t) \operatorname{sgn} \cos nt \, dt = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\nu+1)nx}{(2\nu+1)\operatorname{ch}(2\nu+1)n\delta}. \quad (5)$$

We call $f_{n\delta}$ standard in $H_\delta(L_\infty)$. It has the following properties:

- (1) $f_{n\delta}\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) = -f_{n\delta}(x)$.
- (2) $\xi_k = \frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{k\pi}{n}$ ($k=0, \dots, 2n-1$) are zeros of $f_{n\delta}$ in $[0, 2\pi)$. $x_k = \frac{k\pi}{n}$ ($k=0, \dots, 2n-1$) are extremal points of $f_{n\delta}$ in $[0, 2\pi)$.
- (3) $f_{n\delta}(x_k) = (-1)^k \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$.
- (4) $f_{n\delta}$ is strictly monotonic in $\Delta_k = \left(\frac{k\pi}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\pi\right)$, $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$.

§ 2. Comparison Theorems of Kolmogorov Type on $H_\delta L_\infty$

Theorem 1. Let $f(x) \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ be such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$ for some positive integer n and $f(\alpha) = f_{n\delta}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha, \alpha \in R$. Then

$$|f'(\alpha)| \leq |f'_{n\delta}(\alpha)|. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha = 0$. Suppose that Theorem 1 is not true. Then for some $f(x) \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$, positive integer n and $\alpha \in R$, we have $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$, $f(\alpha) = f_{n\delta}(\alpha)$ and $|f'(\alpha)| > |f'_{n\delta}(\alpha)|$. The continuity of f' and $f'_{n\delta}$ ensures the existence of $\rho > 1$, $\beta \in R$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho} f(\beta) = f_{n\delta}(\beta), \quad \frac{1}{\rho} |f'(\beta)| > |f'_{n\delta}(\beta)|.$$

Denote $\bar{f}(x) = \frac{1}{\rho} f(x)$. We have $\bar{f} \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ and $\|\bar{f}\|_\infty < \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$. It is enough to consider one possible case $\bar{f}(\beta) = f_{n\delta}(\beta) \geq 0$, $\bar{f}'(\beta) > f'_{n\delta}(\beta) > 0$. Let Δ_k be the interval which contains β . By simple geometrical consideration we see that on Δ_k the graphs of \bar{f} and $f_{n\delta}$ intersect at least three times, while on each of the other intervals Δ_j ($j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\} \setminus \{k\}$) these graphs intersect at least once. So, for $g(x) = f_{n\delta}(x) - \bar{f}(x)$ we have $S_c^-(g) \geq 2n+2$. On the other hand, it is known^[4] that the kernel $H(x-t)$ is totally positive. Hence it possesses the cyclic variation-diminishing property (CVD). Thus, on account of $S_c^-\left(\operatorname{sgn} \cos nt - \frac{1}{\rho} h(t)\right) \leq 2n$ and

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t) \left[\operatorname{sgn} \cos nt - \frac{1}{\rho} h(t) \right] dt$$

we have $S_c^-(g) \leq 2n$. This is a contradiction. Theorem 1 is proved.

Corollary 1. Let $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ be such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$ for some n , and $f(\xi_0) = f_{n\delta}(\eta_0)$, $f(\xi_1) = f_{n\delta}(\eta_1)$ for $\xi_0, \xi_1, \eta_0, \eta_1 \in R$, where η_0, η_1 are contained in one interval of monotonicity of $f_{n\delta}$. Then

$$|\xi_0 - \xi_1| \geq |\eta_0 - \eta_1|.$$

Corollary 2. Let $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ be such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$ for some n and $f(\xi_0) = f_{n\delta}(\eta_0)$ for $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in R$ and $\eta_0 \in \Delta_k$. Then

(1) for the case $f_{n\delta} \downarrow$ in Δ_k we have

$$f(\xi_0 + u) \leq f_{n\delta}(\eta_0 + u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k+1}{n} \pi - \eta_0,$$

$$f(\xi_0 - u) \geq f_{n\delta}(\eta_0 - u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq \eta_0 - \frac{k}{n} \pi,$$

(2) for the case $f_{n\delta} \uparrow$ in Δ_k we have

$$f(\xi_0 + u) \geq f_{n\delta}(\eta_0 + u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k+1}{n} \pi - \eta_0,$$

$$f(\xi_0 - u) \leq f_{n\delta}(\eta_0 - u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq \eta_0 - \frac{k}{n} \pi.$$

Corollary 3. Let $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ be such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n\delta}\|_\infty$ for some n . Then

$$\|f'\|_\infty \leq \|f'_{n\delta}\|_\infty. \quad (7)$$

Let us put

$$F_{n\delta}(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\nu+1)nx}{n(2\nu+1)^2 \operatorname{ch}(2\nu+1)n\delta}. \quad (8)$$

It is obvious that $F'_{n\delta}(x) = f_{n\delta}(x)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(x) \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$, and $F(x)$ be a periodic integral of f such that $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|F_{n\delta}\|_\infty$ for some n , $F(a) = F_{n\delta}(\alpha)$ for $a, \alpha \in R$. Then

$$|f(a)| \leq |f_{n\delta}(\alpha)|. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The argument is quite similar to that of Theorem 1. Suppose $a = \alpha$, $|F'(a)| > |F'_{n\delta}(\alpha)|$. Then there exist $\rho > 1$ and β such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho} F(\beta) = F_{n\delta}(\beta), \quad \frac{1}{\rho} |F'(\beta)| > |F'_{n\delta}(\beta)|.$$

For $G(x) = F_{n\delta}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho} F(x)$ we have $S_c^-(G) \geq 2n+2$. Hence by Rolle's theorem $S_c^-(G') \geq 2n+2$. But for

$$G'(x) = F'_{n\delta}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho} F'(x) = f_{n\delta}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho} f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(x-t) \left[\operatorname{sgn} \cos nt - \frac{1}{\rho} h(t) \right] dt$$

we have just proved $S_c^-(G') \leq 2n$. This is absurd. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

§ 3. Some Sharp Inequalities Derived from the Comparison Theorem

For a 2π -periodic summable function f denote by $p(f, t)$ the non-increasing

rearrangement of $|f|$ (cf. [1]). We have

Theorem 3. Let $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ and F be a periodic integral of f such that $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|F_n\|_\infty$ for some n . Then

$$\int_0^x p(f, t) dt \leq \int_0^x p(f_n, t) dt, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi. \quad (10)$$

The proof of (10) is essentially similar to that given by Корнейчук^[1] for the class \widetilde{W}_∞^r . We omit the details.

By (10) and one lemma of Chong Kong-ming^[5] we have

Theorem 4. Let $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty)$ and F be a periodic integral of f such that $\|F\|_\infty \leq \|F_n\|_\infty$ for some n . Then for every p , $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, we have

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f_n\|_p. \quad (11)$$

In what follows we give some applications of (11). Consider one subset of $H_\delta(L_\infty)$ defined by

$$H_\delta(L_\infty) \cap T_n^\perp \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \left\{ f \in H_\delta(L_\infty) : \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \frac{\sin kt}{\cos kt} dt = 0, \quad k=0, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

Let F be the periodic integral of $f \in H_\delta(L_\infty) \cap T_n^\perp$ such that $\int_0^{2\pi} F(t) dt = 0$. Then we have $\int_0^{2\pi} F(t) \frac{\sin kt}{\cos kt} dt = 0$ for $k=0, \dots, n-1$. It is easily seen that $F(x)$ may be presented by 2π -periodic convolution, in which the kernel is the composition of $H(x-u)$ and $D_1(u-t)$, where $D_1(u) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \nu u}{\nu}$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree 1. The composition $(H * D_1)(x-t)$ satisfies the Markov-Nicolsky condition A_n (cf^[11]). Therefore we have

$$\|F\|_\infty \leq \|F_n\|_\infty.$$

Now by Theorem 4 we obtain

Theorem 5. For $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and p , $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$,

$$\sup_{f \in H_\delta(L_\infty) \cap T_n^\perp} \|f\|_p = \|f_n\|_p. \quad (12)$$

By applying the duality theorem of the best approximation of convolution class^[11] we have

Theorem 6. For $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and p , $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$,

$$E_n(H_\delta(L_p))_1 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sup_{f \in H_\delta(L_p)} E_n(f)_1 = \sup_{f \in H_\delta(L_\infty) \cap T_n^\perp} \|f\|_{p'} = \|f_n\|_{p'} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \right). \quad (13)$$

A. Pinkus^[6] obtained some exact relations for the Kolmogorov $2n$ -width of 2π -periodic convolution class with a periodic TP kernel. For the $(2n-1)$ -width the exact formulas are not obtained, because the inequalities (11) (known as the Taikov inequality) can not be established in general. For $H(x-t)$ we have

Theorem 7. For $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$, any p , $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$,

$$(1) \quad d_{2n-1}[H_\delta(L_p); L_1] = d_{2n}[H_\delta(L_p); L_1] = \|f_n\|_{p'} \quad (14)$$

$$(2) \quad d^{2n-1}[H_\delta(L_\infty); L_p] = d^{2n}[H_\delta(L_\infty); L_p] = \|f_n\|_p. \quad (15)$$

Proof By A. Pinkus^[6] we get

$$\|f_{n\delta}\|_{p'} = d_{2n}[H_{\delta}(L_p); L_1] \leq d_{2n-1}[H_{\delta}(L_p); L_1].$$

Comparing with (13) we have

$$d_{2n-1}[H_{\delta}(L_p); L_1] \leq E_n(H_{\delta}(L_p))_1 = \|f_{n\delta}\|_{p'}.$$

(14) is proved. T_n yields an extremal subspace. (15) can be derived readily by duality theorems of the Kolmogorov and Gelfand widths numbers.

References

- [1] Корнейчук, Н. П., Экстремальные Задачи Теории Приближения, ИЗД НАУКА, М. 1976.
- [2] Sun Yongsheng, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **2**: 1 (Eng. Issue), (1981), 53—58.
- [3] Ахизер, Н. И., Лекций по теории аппроксимации, ИЗД, НАУКА, М, 1965.
- [4] Forst, W., *Jour. A. T.*, **19**(1977), 325—331.
- [5] Chong Kongming, *Can. Jour. Math.*, **26**: 6(1974), 1321—1340.
- [6] Pinkus, A., *Jour. D'analyse Math.*, **35**(1979), 209—235.